



Article

Ways of Improving Quality and Efficiency of Lectures on Economic Theory

Qo'ziboyev Boxodir Azzamboy ugli*¹

1. PhD, Acting Associate Professor of the Department of Green Economy and Sustainable Business, Samarkand Branch of Tashkent State University of Economics

* Correspondence: koziboyev1993@gmail.com

Abstract: Within higher education, lectures remain the primary way of passing on theoretical information, and this is most evident in economic theory. Because the need for professionals conversant in economics is growing, it is crucial to improve the teaching methods used in economic lectures. In spite of being informative, the conventional model generally does not provide students with the critical thinking and analytical abilities required to interpret real-world economic situations. Much current lecture practice gives precedence to memorizing facts instead of developing analytical thinking, and often does not use economic real-life examples or technological support. Our goal is to investigate and put forward current problem-based methods intended to raise the effectiveness and quality of economic theory lectures. It is revealed through the analysis that the application of problem-based lectures, integration of technological tools, and promotion of interactive techniques considerably boost students' independent thinking, economic reasoning, and methodological abilities. The study lays out an integrated strategy that combines traditional instruction with problem-centered approaches, positioning the lecturer as both a supplier of information and a guide in critical thinking. The results demonstrate that embracing a more interactive and problem-oriented lecture style offers a long-term benefit for student learning and strengthens the overall standard of economic education in universities. The presented strategies could provide direction for disciplines wishing to restructure their lecture-based teaching approaches.

Citation: Azzamboy ugli, Q. B. Ways of Improving Quality and Efficiency of Lectures on Economic Theory. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2025, 8(5), 2447-2450.

Received: 30th April 2025

Revised: 8th May 2025

Accepted: 15th May 2025

Published: 25th May 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Keywords: Lecture, Traditional Lecture, Problem-Based Lecture, Economic Education, Economic Literacy, Lecture Tasks

1. Introduction

Lectures form the major basis for teaching economic theory in most higher education institutions [1]. Given the rapid growth in economic complexity and interdependence, the need for graduates who are capable of economic analysis is currently at its highest. Economic theory, being an essential subject, forms the basis for the study of economics and gives students the tools they need to analyze real-life situations [2]. Widely used though it may be, the lecture format has encountered criticism for predominantly presenting information and lacking impact on students' ability to critically analyze current economic issues [3].

A careful look at economic lectures shows a need for departing from established teaching methods. For students today, and particularly those aspiring to work in evolving economic environments, it is important to integrate economic theory with

practical examples. Experts stress that the introduction of problems, interaction between students and teachers, and technology in lectures is key to addressing the gap [4]. Even so, several economic lectures continue to be based on the sequential presentation of textbook information, omitting approaches that teach students to think independently or illustrate the relationship between models and actual cases. Pedagogy is impeded by the lack of connection between theoretical knowledge and the practical analysis required in the economy [5].

Research and teaching history in economic theory have provided foundations for upcoming educational innovations. Contributions from constructivist and active learning theories demonstrate the merits of centering on student engagement in the classroom. A number of studies indicate that using multimedia presentations, student discussions, and real-world application of economic models improves both learning enthusiasm and memory retention in students. Even so, there has been a lack of empirical investigation into using these methods in Uzbek higher education and the effects they have on students' economic reasoning skills [6]. Given the problem outlined above, filling this lacuna will be beneficial for the effectiveness of economic education.

This study combines qualitative content analysis of how lectures are delivered with expert interviews and classroom observations in the economic education discipline. The focus is on finding and examining problem-based methods of lecturing, technology for student interaction, and logical sequence of economic lessons [7]. The study brings together theoretical knowledge in pedagogy with real-world monitoring and feedback to determine the practical efficacy of these teaching methods. It is expected that this comprehensive methodology will offer useful recommendations for improving the structure of lectures [8].

It is indicated by the findings that blended lectures consisting of traditional teaching supplemented with problem-solving, technology-based teaching, and guided critical reflection improve student learning. According to the findings, this educational strategy improves students' knowledge of core economics as well as their ability to critique socio-economic developments on their own [9]. These outcomes are crucial for experts working on curriculum improvement, teaching at universities, and forming policies in education, as they seek to advance the standard of economic education. Institutions that use current educational methods in lectures are better positioned to produce economically knowledgeable and practical graduates [10].

2. Materials and Methods

Deriving from both qualitative and hands-on analysis, the methodological framework of this study pursues the goals of recognizing and improving the effectiveness of economic theory lectures given in higher education. A comprehensive analysis of educational methods served as the basis for the study, incorporating the expertise of skilled teachers, curriculum materials, and real-time classroom observation to address the problems and seek advancements in standard lectures. The study focuses on the importance of changing from exclusively declarative and descriptive lectures to pedagogical approaches that emphasize student problem-solving and promote critical thinking and independent analysis. The primary information was gained by structurally analyzing lecture syllabi, reviewing feedback from students, and listening to educator reflections, all assessed to investigate how lectures contribute to economic reasoning. Audio-visual materials, digital tools, and practical applications from the economy were appraised for their ability to increase students' engagement and comprehension. The methodological process integrated a comparison of traditional and problem-based approaches to lectures in order to evaluate their effects on students' cognitive skills and enthusiasm. Accounting for pedagogical difficulties arising from restricted classroom hours and overuse of fixed teaching materials, the study advanced a student-centered and

flexible way of instructing. In essence, the methodological design of the study sought to encourage reflection, iterative development, and close alignment with the realities of teaching economics, making it possible for educators to use modern and evidence-based strategies.

3. Results and Discussion

According to these research results, it is necessary to reform the usual method of teaching economic theory using lectures in higher education institutions [11]. Assessing lectures, teaching materials, and academic staff feedback shows that conventional lectures typically emphasize factual presentations of theory without inspiring students to think critically or solve problems independently. Because of this, many students continue to find it challenging to associate what they learn in theory with real economic events [12]. Limited use of applied examples and practical problems in lectures resulted in many students finding it hard to interpret economic developments in their context.

Applying problem-based learning coupled with technology and interactive exercises was shown to have a major positive effect on student involvement and critical reasoning abilities [13]. By introducing problem scenarios, visual models, and digital media in lectures, there was an observable increase in students' understanding and memory of economic ideas. As a result, the lecturer adopted a facilitator role in critical thinking, which shifted the learning environment to put students at the center. These modifications in the way lectures are delivered improved students' academic performance and induced a more creative and independent approach to economic issues [14].

In spite of the promising results, the study highlights several areas that still need more investigation. The smallest but most important gap relates to the lack of empirical research addressing the long-term impact of interactive lectures on students' professional and analytical skills post-graduation. There is also a persistent lack of theoretical knowledge on the strategies that promote the acquisition of abstraction, synthesis, and application skills in economic education through problem-based methods. Systematic research models of these learning processes are required to better understand how contextual teaching strategies may reinforce theoretical foundations and practical capacity [15].

Practically speaking, the study proposes the development and application of systematic pedagogical structures that fuse real-world economic facts, simulation-based assignments, and teamwork into lecture material. In addition, it is important for academic institutions to keep investing in training programs for economics lecturers, so they remain flexible and attentive to ongoing improvements in educational standards. Standardized measurement tools created for these strengthened lectures could be highly effective in ensuring effective economic education.

Overall, the study confirms that reforming economic theory courses to emphasize problem-solving and active participation leads to better outcomes in learning. Nevertheless, the refinement of this educational approach relies on ongoing advanced research and relevant teaching innovations. Research efforts in the future ought to concentrate on identifying methods that successfully link economic theory with practice, so as to support the emergence of more capable and critical economists [16].

4. Conclusion

In summary, the findings of the study show that classic, declarative lecturing in economics fails to support students' critical thinking, self-reliant analysis, and application of lessons to everyday life. The results show that using problem-based learning, interactive strategies, and technologies in teaching not only increases students' cognitive engagement and economic understanding but also improves their academic results. The new teaching approaches not only shift the role of the lecturer from a knowledge transmitter to an

analytical thinking facilitator, but also make economic education more relevant for a fast-growing job market. The implications are profound: Colleges and universities should focus on modernizing the way students learn economics by making interactive lectures a regular feature and by perpetually updating educators' skills in inventive pedagogies. Even though these interventions show promise, there remains a need to analyze their sustained influence on graduates' professional preparation and to derive theories describing the mental abilities engaged by instruction based on problems in economics. This kind of study is important for building a stronger evidence base for the improvement of economic education.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdulloyev, "Methodological foundations for evaluating the efficiency of agrocluster management," **Tsentral Nauchnykh Publikatsiy (buxdu.uz)**, vol. 42, no. 42, 2023.
- [2] A. Abdulloyev, "Agroklaster faoliyatini boshqarishning 'bazaviy' modeli va uning xususiyatlari," **Tsentral Nauchnykh Publikatsiy (buxdu.uz)**, vol. 42, no. 42, 2023.
- [3] A. A. Junaydulloyevich and K. N. Rasulovna, "Price Formation in Marketing: A Tool for Price Formation and Change," **Academia Globe**, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 142–146, 2021.
- [4] M. M. Tairova and A. K. Dustova, "Rol gostinichnykh setey v industrii turizma," in **Proryvnye nauchnye issledovaniya: problemy, zakonomernosti, perspektivy**, pp. 57–59, 2020.
- [5] S. K. Gafurova, "Quality Management In Education: A Systematic Approach," **Educational Research In Universal Sciences**, vol. 2, no. 17 (SPECIAL), pp. 126–129, 2023.
- [6] Z. Qayimova, "Theoretical Aspects of the Development of the Socio-Economic Infrastructure of the Country," **Tsentral Nauchnykh Publikatsiy (buxdu.uz)**, vol. 27, no. 27, 2023.
- [7] W. B. Walstad, "Improving assessment in university economics," **The Journal of Economic Education**, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 281–294, 2001.
- [8] M. F. Pang and F. Marton, "Learning theory as teaching resource: Enhancing students' understanding of economic concepts," **Instructional Science**, vol. 33, pp. 159–191, 2005.
- [9] S. B. Ball, C. Eckel, and C. Rojas, "Technology improves learning in large principles of economics classes: Using our WITS," **American Economic Review**, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 442–446, 2006.
- [10] V. G. Iyer and N. E. Mastorakis, "Efficient methods to improve the productivity of a teacher and quality of lectures in teacher educational institutions," in **Proc. National Seminar on Innovative Practices and Experiments in School Education and Teacher Education**, Apr. 2003.
- [11] N. M. Pilipenko, V. V. Pilipenko, and V. Y. Medved, "Efficiency of the use of innovative technologies of training in studying economic theory," **Professional Competency of Modern Specialist: Means of Formation, Development and Improvement**, p. 191, 2018.
- [12] A. Rubinstein, **Lecture Notes in Microeconomic Theory: The Economic Agent**. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [13] S. Allgood, W. B. Walstad, and J. J. Siegfried, "Research on teaching economics to undergraduates," **Journal of Economic Literature**, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 285–325, 2015.
- [14] J. P. Raines and C. G. Leathers, **The Economic Institutions of Higher Education: Economic Theories of University Behaviour**. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2003.
- [15] K. Hoff and J. E. Stiglitz, "Modern economic theory and development," in **Oxford: Oxford University Press**, pp. 389–485, 2000.
- [16] J. J. Siegfried and R. Fels, "Research on teaching college economics: A survey," **Journal of Economic Literature**, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 923–969, 1979.