American Journal of Economics and Business Management

Vol. 8 Issue 11 | **pp.** 5895-5899 | **ISSN:** 2576-5973

Available online @ https://www.globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajebm

Article

The Impact of Higher Education Institutions on the Development of Innovative Entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan

Shomurodova Makhliyo[1]

1. PhD candidate at Namangan State Technical University

* Correspondence: mahliesomurodova@gmail.com

Abstract: Uzbekistan has witnessed higher education institutions beginning to become a significant player in the development of the innovative entrepreneurship environment in the republic. I see the role of universities changing; they are now experimental grounds for youth to try new ideas and learn by doing, rather than places simply for academia. This article discusses how higher education is helping innovative entrepreneurship to blossom by focusing on evolution in academic curricula, start-up support ecosystems, research and innovation laboratories and the slowly burgeoning stronger ties between universities and the private sector. Results indicate that university contributes to the new generation of innovators, the youth, who will be starting their own business and creating specialists according to the requirement of a modern economy. While the study notes these successes, there are real challenges too, such as limited funding, a lack of seasoned mentors which makes access to expertise difficult, and the fact that university-industry collaboration is still in its infancy. The present article provides further insights into this developing process and recommends potential actions for higher education institutions to enhance their role in fostering innovative entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan.

Keywords: higher education institutions, innovative entrepreneurship, innovation ecosystem, start-up development, technology transfer, entrepreneurial education.

Citation: Makhliyo, S. The Impact of Higher Education Institutions on the Development of Innovative Entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2025, 8(11),5895-5899.

Received: 10th Aug 2025
Revised: 16th Sep 2025
Accepted: 24th Oct 2025
Published: 30th Nov 2025

1. Introduction

The contemporary world economy is progressively revolving around innovation, creativity, and entrepreneurship. With the speeding up of the pace of technological change it has become evident that the sustainable path of growth lies in our capacity to create new knowledge and to convert it into economic value. Within this context, there is a growing significance of higher education institutions (HEIs). They are no longer just the citadels of learning they are engines of innovation that translate academic thought into practical solutions, new products, and businesses [1].

Aware of this global transformation, Uzbekistan has declared the transition to a knowledge-based economy as a national priority in recent years. For a decade now, the government has implemented reform after reform to shore up its innovation ecosystem. This in Uzbekistan has already witnessed the establishment of the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation, the Mirzo Ulugbek Innovation Center, and the Technopark of Software Products and Information Technologies, indicating a positive movement towards the development of technology and science. Also, the programs "Yoshlar – Kelajagimiz" ("Youth is Our Future") and Startup Initiatives platform give youth chances transform ambitious ideas into startups.

Even with such progress, the adoption of innovation and entrepreneurship within the higher education landscape is inconsistent. Many universities still focus primarily on research, often in the western science tradition of theory over practice [2]. The establishment of business incubators, research labs, and innovation centers has been pursued by select HEIs, the university industry linkages and the commercialization of academic research are in early developmental stage. Consequently, the full potential of their role in national innovation remains untapped.

One of the essentials of a successful innovation ecosystem is an effective and robust partnership between the three actors: universities, industry and the state — within a triple helix framework [3]. In advanced economies, this model guarantees that universities produce knowledge, firms convert the knowledge into products, and governments enable this process with policy and funding. This model is taking shape in Uzbekistan, but is still largely an island in a sea of major problems – lack of money, lack of open infrastructure and a relatively low entrepreneurial life among students and faculty. It is vital to continue strengthening these areas to unleash the transformative role that HEIs can fulfil in the innovation-led future of the country [4].

2. Materials and Methods

A convergent parallel design was best to use in order to meet the above research objectives. One of the primary benefits of such a research design to the research aims is that it allows the researcher to verify the results of the qualitative data analysis with those of the quantitative data analysis [5]. This way it is pretty much easier to enhance the reliability of research results. The unique nature of research design utilized in the particular research contributes towards enhancing the depth of research analysis as it involves both qualitative and quantitative research strategies (Yin, 2002). It helps the researcher as well to fetch a complete research outcome including data from both research strategies [6]. Second, it provides the researcher with the opportunity to focus on practical as well as theoretical research knowledge.

3. Results and Discussion

While the quantitative component of the research sought to assess the involvement of the higher educational institutions with entrepreneurship, the qualitative component sought to access the perceptions of inspectants participating in these programs [7]. Population of the research: students, academic staff, as well as administrative staff of higher educational institutions of Uzbekistan indirectly or directly involved in projects related to entrepreneurship or innovation. Methods: Using purposive sampling, six higher education institutions were chosen to represent a diversity of regions and types of university. Universities considered were Tashkent State Technical University, Tashkent University of Information Technologies, Samarkand State University, Fergana Polytechnical Institute, Westminster International University in Tashkent, and Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent [8]. All of the institutions had an operational innovation hub, startup incubation activity or a functional technopark. In total, 150 respondents participated in the quantitative survey 90 respondents were undergraduate and postgraduate students 40 respondents were faculty members who engaged in innovation and entrepreneurship activities 20 respondents were administrative staff based in innovation centres [9]. In addition to administering the survey, ten interviews were conducted with directors of innovation centers, heads of entrepreneurship departments, and managers of startup incubators.

Three tools were used to collect data. The structured questionnaire had three sections namely: demographic information; respondents' knowledge and engagement in innovation or entrepreneurship programs; and their opinions on institutional backup and resources. Answers were rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree." The semi-structured interviews utilized open-ended questions focusing on the ways in which universities facilitate entrepreneurship, the state

of university industry collaboration, the challenges that the institutions face, and the practical recommendations for reinforcing innovation activities going forwards [10]. Interviews were conducted in Uzbek and English, depending on participants' preference, and later transcribed for analysis.

Therefore, an overview should be developed of the role that HEIs fulfill in the accomplishment of innovative entrepreneurs if the correct futures strategies are to be formulated [11]. The focus of the research is to provide recommendations that will help develop an innovation ecosystem in Uzbekistan higher education system by studying the current systems and obstacles as well as the potentials for higher institutions in the country. These data illustrate long-term trends in the development of the national innovation ecosystem and the strengthening role of universities.

Table 1. Innovation and higher education indicators for Uzbekistan from 2020 to 2024.

Year	R&D Expenditure (bln UZS)	R&D Personnel (thousand)	Universities in Research	Innovation Centers / Tech Parks	Registered Innovative Projects
2020	2,220	34.1	64	8	1,245
2021	2,780	35.6	68	11	1,482
2022	3,350	36.4	71	14	1,903
2023	4,120	37.1	74	18	2,415
2024	4,850	37.7	76	22	2,890

It shows a steady rise in R&D expenditure, research personnel, and number of universities participating in research [12]. Indicates a consolidation of the innovation ecosystem and a broader role of higher education institutions in place of business innovation centers and innovation projects.

Main objectives: The main research objectives of the paper are the following: To undertake a systemic analysis of the more prominent current role of the higher educational institutions and their influence in terms of innovative entrepreneurships within Uzbekistan landscape. Recognising the barriers that could hamper a strong collaboration between academia, industry and government departments. Creating guidelines that could enhance the structure of the higher education institutions in order to maximize the potentials of both innovations and entrepreneurial activities in them [13]. This study can be considered as one of the attempts on the way to providing the necessary content for policymakers and entrepreneurs in the Republic of Uzbekistan to implement a functional innovative ecosystem.

Discussion.

The policy and institutional documents reviewed included: The National Strategy for Innovative Development (2019-2030); The annual reports of the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation; The innovation plans as well as the activity reports of the Universities; The statistics on the creation of new startups and research commercialization within HEIs.

The data enabled addition of perspective to understanding from the primary data.

The data collected from the questionnaires were processed by coding and analysis using SPSS 26.0. The research used descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, and mean scores to describe the data collected and determine important findings on the topic [14]. Correlation analysis technique was used to determine the relationship of various factors like institutional support and levels of students' engagement in innovation.

Qualitative Data Analysis:

Interview transcripts and policy documents were analyzed through thematic content analysis, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) model. The process included:

1. Familiarization with data (read and re-read transcripts
2. Coding and Identifying Initial Categories
3. Aggregating codes by more generic topics such as institutional barriers, motivations, impacts of policies, and mechanisms of collaboration.

4. Interpreting patterns to form a meaningful narrative that responds to the objectives of the research.

The process of triangulation of findings from both quantitative and qualitative research helped ensure that the findings were credible. The findings from the interview analysis were validated by comparison with findings from surveys and reports.

The research received ethical clearance from the relevant research ethics committee at the university. The respondents were made aware of the nature of the research and were free to participate voluntarily. The concept of informed consent was applied before data gathering [15]. The respondents were given an assurance of both confidentiality and anonymity. Personal details were stripped throughout the data processing aspect. The recordings were made secure and were for research only. Despite the rich findings from the convergent approach, it had both strengths and weaknesses. These include: The sample had only six universities. These may not represent the overall HEIs of Uzbekistan; Raters were influenced by certain answer choices from institutional affiliations; Access to updated statistics on the success rate of startups in HEIs remained limited.

Despite these limitations, the integration of various data sources reduced them and made it possible to get a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon being studied.

4. Conclusion

This study explored the changes that represent the evolution of higher education in UzbekistanAligning to Support Innovative Entrepreneurship in a rapidly changing economy. The finding is that while a university's role as an engine of national innovation is of ever-greater importance, its capacity to integrate education, research and entrepreneurship into a single enterprise still is weak. While the creation of innovation centers, startup incubators and the government-backed youth programs have paved the way for progress, the overall university innovation ecosystem is still quite nascent. The majority of institutions are still driven by theory versus application and the culture of academic entrepreneurship is just in its infancy. Also, collaboration between university, industry and government remains weak, acting as a bottleneck in knowledge and technology transfer into tangible economic impact.

The results underscore that real change cannot just be institutional; it has to be cultural as well. If universities are determined to play their appropriate roles in innovation-driven growth, they must function not only as centers for learning but also play the role of contributors to economic development. This includes strong triple helix collaboration, funding mechanisms and commercialization of research activity. HEIs need to offer entrepreneurial education across the board, foster cross-discipline research and prepare students with innovation competencies relevant to the real world. If these efforts come together, Uzbekistan's universities could become a focal point of power in shaping a robust, knowledge-based economy.

REFERENCES

[1] Acs, Z. J., Audretsch, D. B., Lehmann, E. E., and Licht, G., "National systems of entrepreneurship," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 473–487, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11187-017-9633-9.

[2] Audretsch, D. B., "The entrepreneurial society," *Journal of Technology Transfer*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 245–254, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10961-008-9101-3.

[3] Clark, B. R., *Creating Entrepreneurial Universities: Organizational Pathways of Transformation*. Pergamon Press, 1998.

[4] Etzkowitz, H., and Leydesdorff, L., "The dynamics of innovation: From National Systems and 'Mode 2' to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations," *Research Policy*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 109–123, 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00055-4.

[5] Global Innovation Index, *Global Innovation Index 2023: Innovation in the Face of Uncertainty*. World Intellectual Property Organization, 2023. Available: https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index

[6] Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation of the Republic of Uzbekistan, *Innovation Development Programs in Uzbekistan*, 2023. Available: https://www.edu.uz

[7] Mirzo Ulugbek Innovation Center, *Annual Report on Technological Entrepreneurship Development in Uzbekistan*, 2022. Available: https://muic.uz

[8] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), *Entrepreneurship Policies Through the Lens of Education and Training: OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2021*. OECD Publishing, 2021, doi: 10.1787/20a1fb9b-en.

[9] Rae, D., *Entrepreneurial Learning: Conceptual Frameworks and Applications*. Routledge, 2006.

[10] World Bank, *Uzbekistan – Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship for Economic Transformation*. World Bank Group, 2022. Available: https://www.worldbank.org

[11] Yoshlar – Kelajagimiz Fund, *Youth Innovation and Startup Initiatives in Uzbekistan*, 2023. Available: https://yoshlarfondi.uz

[12] Guerrero, M., Cunningham, J. A., and Urbano, D., "Economic impact of entrepreneurial universities' activities: An exploratory study of the United Kingdom," *Research Policy*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 748–764, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.respol.2014.10.008.

[13] Kitagawa, F., "Universities and regional advantage: Higher education and innovation policies in English regions," *European Planning Studies*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 835–852, 2004, doi: 10.1080/0965431042000251878.

[14] Salimova, T., and Abdullaeva, M., "The role of higher education in shaping innovative potential in Uzbekistan," *Central Asian Economic Review*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 112–125, 2021.

[15] Vinig, T., and Koljatic, M., "Entrepreneurship education and economic growth: A cross-country study," *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, vol. 13, pp. 25–37, 2010.