American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research

Vol. 6 Issue 2 **| pp.** 250-258 **| ISSN:** 2690-9626
Available online @ https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr

GLOBAL RESEARCH NETWORK
ONLINE RESEARCH HUB

Article

Identification of Low-Income Families and Service Provision

Fotimaxon Maxammadjon-qizi Mamatqulova [1]

1. Doctoral Degree Researcher, Fergana State University, Fergana, Uzbekistan
* Correspondence: fotimamatqulova95@gmail.com

Abstract: The identification of low-income families and the provision of necessary services remain critical in addressing socio-economic disparities. This study explores effective methodologies for identifying low-income households and delivering targeted support services. It highlights the intersection of economic, social, and health factors that contribute to poverty and examines the role of governmental and non-governmental organizations in service provision. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating qualitative and quantitative data to assess the accessibility and effectiveness of support programs. Key findings suggest that existing welfare structures often fail to capture the full scope of low-income family needs due to bureaucratic barriers and a lack of personalized intervention strategies. The research underscores the importance of community-based support systems, financial assistance programs, and policy reforms aimed at reducing systemic poverty. By refining identification processes and service delivery models, policymakers can create more inclusive and sustainable strategies for low-income families.

Keywords: Low-income families, social services, poverty, policy intervention, support systems.

Citation: F.M.Mamatqulova Identification of Low-Income Families and Service Provision. . American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research 2025, 6(2), 250-258

Received: 10th Jan 2025
Revised: 11th Jan 2025
Accepted: 24th Jan 2025
Published: 17th Feb 2025

1. Introduction

The identification of low-income families and the provision of services to support them is a critical area of inquiry within social policy and public health. Understanding the multifaceted challenges faced by these families is essential for the development of effective interventions and support mechanisms. The literature on this topic reveals a complex interplay between economic, social, and health factors that contribute to the experiences of low-income households.

(Angel & Lein, 2006) provides a foundational perspective by exploring the lived experiences of low-income families in the context of diminishing welfare support. Their study highlights that many families, whether on welfare or not, struggle to secure basic needs due to insufficient resources, leading to a "scramble" for additional support. This work underscores the flawed assumptions surrounding welfare dependency and coerced employment, emphasizing that many working families still face significant barriers that impede their ability to thrive.

(M Bond, 2013) delves into the role of parental self-efficacy among low-income mothers navigating available supports. Through a qualitative case study, she illustrates how access to community resources can significantly influence the well-being and self-efficacy of these mothers. The findings suggest that while formal assistance programs provide essential support, the broader context of poverty and limited funding for interventions exacerbates the challenges faced by families raising young children.

(Leyda Nicoll, 2017) further complicates the narrative by investigating how low-income individuals define their own needs in relation to public assistance programs. This study reveals a critical gap in the literature: the voices of low-income individuals are often absent from discussions about their needs, leading to a mismatch between eligibility for assistance and actual participation. (Leyda Nicoll, 2017) argues that understanding low-income individuals' perspectives is vital for designing effective support systems.

(Weon & W. Rothwell, 2017) contribute to this discourse by examining the relationship between material hardship and asset poverty in South Korea. Their research suggests that traditional income measures may not fully capture the complexities of poverty, particularly as they relate to essential living conditions. This study advocates for a more nuanced approach to poverty measurement that considers the multidimensional nature of hardship, which has implications for policy responses aimed at alleviating poverty.

The perspectives of future professionals working with low-income populations are addressed by (Newfield et al., 2019), who assess Canadian occupational therapy students' perceptions regarding their preparedness to engage with low-income communities. The findings indicate significant gaps in educational programs, highlighting the need for curricula that better equip students to advocate for and address the socio-economic inequities faced by low-income individuals.

(Scheffler, 2020) shifts the focus to family homelessness, linking it to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). This study emphasizes the compounded vulnerabilities of families experiencing homelessness and the necessity for comprehensive policy interventions that address the root causes of homelessness, including systemic inequalities in housing and education.

In a broader context, (Sarica et al., 2021) examine the political, economic, and social mechanisms necessary for fostering an inclusive economy. Their systematic review protocol reflects a growing recognition of the need to address widening inequalities exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. The authors advocate for evidence-based policy frameworks that can effectively promote inclusion and equitable resource distribution.

(Morelli et al., 2021) further explore the dynamics of wealth and income among low-income households, focusing on the role of intergenerational wealth transfers. Their findings indicate that low-income households are often disadvantaged in terms of past wealth transfers, which has significant implications for their current economic status. This research suggests that policies aimed at facilitating wealth accumulation could help mitigate income disparities.

Finally, (Burley et al., 2022) present a systematic review on the integration of healthcare and income maximization services for families with young children. Their analysis highlights the potential of coordinated care models to enhance both health outcomes and financial stability, underscoring the importance of holistic approaches to supporting low-income families.

Collectively, these studies illuminate the diverse challenges faced by low-income families and the critical need for policies and programs that address the complexities of poverty, health, and social support systems.

2. Methods

This study employs a mixed-methods research approach, combining qualitative and quantitative methodologies to comprehensively assess the identification and service provision for low-income families.

Quantitative Data Collection. A survey was conducted among 500 low-income households to examine their access to welfare services, financial assistance, and healthcare provisions. The questionnaire included demographic data, income levels, and perceptions of available support programs. Additionally, secondary data from government reports and non-governmental organizations were analyzed to understand service utilization rates and policy effectiveness.

Qualitative Data Collection. In-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with 30 low-income families, social workers, and policymakers to gain insights into the challenges faced in accessing services. A thematic analysis was used to identify recurring barriers, including bureaucratic inefficiencies, social stigma, and gaps in service provision.

Data Analysis. Statistical analysis was applied to survey results using SPSS, while interview data was coded and analyzed through NVivo for emerging themes. The study also applied a comparative policy analysis to assess best practices from international case studies.

By integrating these methods, the research aims to provide a holistic understanding of low-income family needs and propose evidence-based recommendations for improving service accessibility and efficiency.

3. Literature review

The article "Living on a Poverty Income: The Role of Non-Governmental Agencies in the Scramble for Resources" by (Angel & Lein, 2006) provides a comprehensive examination of the challenges faced by low-income families and the role of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in providing necessary resources during a period of diminishing public assistance. The authors conducted a survey involving approximately 800 families residing in select neighborhoods characterized by a predominance of low-income households. This demographic focus underscores the urgency of understanding the lived experiences of families navigating poverty amidst a backdrop of reduced cash payments and public services.

Angel and Lein articulate that the welfare reform initiatives, often predicated on unfounded assumptions regarding welfare dependency, have had a detrimental impact on low-income families. The authors argue that these reforms, aimed at promoting employment and reducing reliance on welfare, fail to acknowledge the realities faced by many families who struggle to sustain themselves on welfare benefits or low-wage employment. This critique is particularly salient as it highlights the flawed assumptions surrounding coerced employment, suggesting that many families are caught in a cycle of poverty that is not easily escaped through employment alone.

The article delves into the multifaceted challenges that low-income households encounter, including poor health, inadequate healthcare, insufficient childcare, transportation difficulties, food insecurity, and inadequate housing. These interconnected crises often necessitate a "scramble for resources," where families must navigate a complex landscape of support systems, often relying on NGOs to fill the gaps left by public services. The authors effectively illustrate how crises can compound, leading to a precarious existence where the absence of adequate resources can precipitate destitution.

Moreover, the article sheds light on the plight of the working poor, emphasizing that even families with employed adults frequently face barriers that hinder their ability to meet basic needs. This observation is critical as it challenges the narrative that employment alone is a panacea for poverty, revealing the systemic issues that perpetuate economic insecurity.

The article titled "The role of parental self-efficacy: The voices of mothers with low-income navigating supports, services, and obstacles" by (M Bond, 2013) presents a nuanced exploration of the experiences of low-income families, particularly mothers, in accessing and utilizing various support systems. The study emphasizes the critical role of parental self-efficacy in navigating the complexities of available resources amidst the challenges posed by poverty.

Bond's research highlights that families in poverty are often confronted with significant contextual challenges, exacerbated by economic downturns and insufficient funding for formal intervention programs. This backdrop is crucial, as it sets the stage for understanding the reliance of low-income families on both formal assistance programs—such as Food Stamps and housing assistance—and informal supports. The article underscores that these resources are essential for meeting basic needs, indicating a direct link between access to these supports and the well-being of low-income families.

The study employs a qualitative methodology, utilizing a sample of six mothers enrolled in the Early Head Start (EHS) home visiting program. This approach allows for an in-depth exploration of the mothers' experiences, shedding light on the interplay between access to resources and parental self-efficacy. Through semi-structured interviews, Bond captures the voices of these mothers, providing valuable insights into their navigation of supports and services. The inclusion of EHS home visitors in the interview process further enriches the data, offering a broader perspective on the challenges faced by these families.

One of the key findings of the study is that low-income families often encounter obstacles that can jeopardize their mental well-being. This observation is particularly significant, as it highlights the importance of both formal and informal resources in fostering resilience among these families. The article posits that parental self-efficacy plays a crucial role in how mothers perceive and utilize available supports, suggesting that enhancing self-efficacy could lead to better outcomes for families in poverty.

However, while the study provides valuable insights, it is important to consider its limitations. The small sample size may limit the generalizability of the findings to a broader population of low-income families. Moreover, the focus on mothers enrolled in a specific program may not fully capture the experiences of all low-income families, particularly those who may not have access to such structured support systems.

In the article "Who Defines Need?: Low-Income Individuals’ Interpretations of Need and the Implications for Participation in Public Assistance Programs," (Leyda Nicoll, 2017) presents a compelling critique of the prevailing paradigms surrounding the identification of need among low-income individuals. The central thesis posits that the lack of input from low-income individuals in defining their own needs significantly hinders the understanding of their participation—or lack thereof—in public assistance programs. This assertion is particularly relevant given the documented disparity between the number of eligible individuals and those who actually engage with available social services.

Nicoll's examination highlights a critical gap in social science research, which has traditionally approached the issue of public assistance participation through a lens that often overlooks the subjective experiences of low-income individuals. By emphasizing the importance of self-definition in need assessments, the article challenges the assumption that eligibility criteria alone are sufficient indicators of need. This perspective aligns with broader discussions in the field about the necessity of incorporating the voices of marginalized populations into policy-making processes.

Moreover, Nicoll provides a nuanced exploration of the factors influencing participation beyond mere eligibility. The article discusses how individual characteristics, program features, and societal stigma interact to shape the decisions of low-income individuals regarding public assistance. For instance, the social stigma associated with receiving aid can deter eligible individuals from seeking assistance, a phenomenon that is often inadequately addressed in existing literature. This insight is crucial for policymakers aiming to enhance participation rates in public programs, as it suggests that strategies must extend beyond merely informing individuals of their eligibility.

The research further underscores the importance of qualitative methodologies in understanding the lived experiences of low-income families. Nicoll advocates for a more participatory approach to research that prioritizes the perspectives of those directly affected by public assistance policies. By doing so, the study not only contributes to the academic discourse on welfare participation but also has practical implications for the design and implementation of social programs that are more responsive to the actual needs of low-income families.

The article "Asset Poverty and Material Hardship in South Korea" by (Weon & W. Rothwell, 2017) provides a comprehensive examination of the complexities surrounding poverty in South Korea, particularly emphasizing the distinction between income poverty and asset poverty. The authors argue that poverty cannot be adequately understood through income levels alone, highlighting the multifaceted nature of living conditions that significantly affect the well-being of low-income families.

One of the critical insights from the study is the relationship between asset poverty and material hardship. (Weon & W. Rothwell, 2017) reveal that households classified as asset-poor experience greater vulnerability to material hardships—such as difficulties in securing food, housing, utilities, and health care—compared to those who are only classified as income poor. This finding underscores the importance of considering both income and assets when identifying low-income families and designing service provisions. The authors suggest that traditional income-focused anti-poverty measures may overlook the broader spectrum of challenges faced by these households, thereby failing to address their fundamental needs effectively.

Moreover, the article emphasizes the necessity for future research to expand the definition of hardship beyond mere financial metrics. By incorporating various dimensions of living conditions, such as health and education access, the authors argue for a more nuanced understanding of poverty that reflects the realities faced by low-income families in South Korea. This perspective is particularly relevant in light of the economic disruptions caused by the Asian financial crisis of 1997, which significantly increased poverty rates and highlighted the inadequacies of existing poverty measures.

The implications of this research are profound for policymakers. (Weon & W. Rothwell, 2017) advocate for improved policy responses that take into account the type and quantity of economic resources available to households. This approach could lead to more effective interventions that address not just the symptoms of poverty, but also its root causes. By recognizing the interplay between asset and income poverty, policymakers can create more holistic strategies that support low-income families in overcoming the multifaceted barriers they face.

The article "Perceptions of Low Income by Canadian Student Occupational Therapists" by (Newfield et al., 2019) provides a critical examination of the perceptions and preparedness of final-year occupational therapy students in Canada regarding their roles in serving low-income populations. The study involved an online survey distributed to students across fourteen Canadian occupational therapy programs, yielding responses from eighty-eight participants. The findings reveal significant insights into both the awareness and educational gaps that exist within occupational therapy training concerning low-income communities.

A notable aspect of the study is the overwhelming consensus among respondents regarding the role of occupational therapists as change agents for marginalized populations, with ninety-one percent affirming this perspective. This indicates a strong recognition among students of the importance of advocacy and intervention within low-income contexts. However, the study also uncovers a critical shortfall in educational preparation, as fifty-seven percent of respondents felt inadequately equipped to address the complexities of low-income issues in their professional practice. This discrepancy highlights a significant gap in the curriculum that could hinder the effectiveness of future occupational therapists in advocating for and serving low-income individuals.

The authors articulate that low income is a pervasive issue affecting a substantial segment of the North American population, leading to a myriad of challenges such as difficulty affording basic necessities, budgeting for emergencies, and accessing essential services. These challenges underscore the necessity for occupational therapy programs to integrate comprehensive training that addresses socioeconomic disparities and enhances students' competencies in working with low-income populations.

The implications of the findings are profound, suggesting that without a robust educational framework that includes practical knowledge and skills related to low-income advocacy, occupational therapy students may enter the workforce ill-prepared to meet the needs of this vulnerable demographic. The authors advocate for curriculum reforms that prioritize experiential learning and direct engagement with low-income communities, thereby equipping future practitioners with the tools necessary to address the socioeconomic barriers that impact occupational engagement.

The article "It's Real": Experiences of Family Homelessness in Fort Worth, Texas by (Scheffler, 2020) provides a thorough examination of the interplay between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and family homelessness, highlighting the profound impact of socioeconomic factors on vulnerable families. The study reveals that children experiencing homelessness face a significantly higher incidence of ACEs compared to their stably-housed peers, with a staggering three-quarters of adults reporting four or more ACEs from their childhoods. This correlation underscores the critical need for understanding the long-term implications of childhood adversity on both physical and mental health outcomes, particularly within economically marginalized families.

Scheffler emphasizes the dual approach needed to address family homelessness: preventative measures aimed at keeping families from falling into homelessness and supportive interventions designed to assist families in transitioning to stable housing. The article advocates for public policy initiatives that focus on increasing the availability of affordable rental housing and expanding permanent housing subsidy programs. These strategies are positioned as essential components for mitigating the risk of homelessness among low-income families, thereby addressing the systemic issues that perpetuate poverty.

Furthermore, the article discusses the necessity of policy reforms that target the systemic opportunity gaps in education, income, and achievement, which are often exacerbated by de-facto housing segregation. By addressing these root causes, the potential for breaking the cycle of intergenerational poverty becomes more attainable. Scheffler categorizes housing interventions into four distinct types: emergency shelter programs, transitional housing programs, rapid rehousing, and permanent housing subsidies. Each of these interventions plays a crucial role in the broader strategy to combat family homelessness.

The article "Assessing the macro-level political, economic and social mechanisms that can deliver an inclusive economy: A protocol for a systematic review of reviews" by (Sarica et al., 2021) addresses the pressing issue of widening inequalities that have become increasingly pronounced over the last three decades. The authors underscore the multifaceted nature of these inequalities, which have implications not just for social and political stability but also for economic performance and health outcomes. This recognition aligns with the objectives set forth in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, particularly the aim to foster inclusive economies.

The article articulates a clear need for an inclusive economy that not only ensures equitable distribution of economic benefits but also guarantees access to essential resources for all segments of society. The unprecedented challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic have further accentuated the urgency of this aim, highlighting vulnerabilities within low-income families and the broader population. The authors propose a systematic review of reviews methodology, which is a robust approach to synthesizing existing literature on effective policies and mechanisms that can foster inclusivity.

A significant strength of this article is its emphasis on the necessity of understanding the context-specific effectiveness of various political, economic, and social mechanisms. By employing the PICO framework to formulate their review question, the authors ensure that their investigation will be comprehensive and targeted, focusing on population-level interventions. This methodological rigor is crucial for identifying not just what works, but also for whom and under what circumstances these mechanisms are effective.

However, the article also acknowledges the limitations inherent in the existing body of literature, particularly concerning the quality and comprehensiveness of evidence available. This recognition is vital, as it points to the need for further research to fill gaps in knowledge, particularly regarding low-income families who are often disproportionately affected by economic inequalities. The systematic review aims to illuminate these gaps, thereby providing a clearer roadmap for policymakers and stakeholders aiming to implement effective interventions.

The article "Inheritance, Gifts and the Wealth Deficit of Low-Income Households" by (Morelli et al., 2021) provides a comprehensive examination of the dynamics surrounding wealth transfers and their implications for low-income households across several countries. The authors utilize microdata from household wealth surveys in Great Britain, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Spain, and the United States, which enriches the analysis by providing a comparative perspective on wealth disparities.

A critical strength of this article lies in its detailed exploration of intergenerational wealth transfers and their impact on current wealth levels among low-income families. The authors adeptly highlight that households with low incomes often receive significantly less in terms of intergenerational transfers, which exacerbates their wealth deficits. This finding is particularly relevant as it underscores the mediating role that past wealth transfers play in perpetuating cycles of poverty and economic disadvantage. The data presented illustrates that mean or median wealth levels are markedly lower for low-income households, a point that is essential for policymakers aiming to understand the structural barriers that contribute to economic inequality.

Moreover, the article proposes a universal capital endowment as a potential policy response to mitigate wealth deficits among low-income households. This simulation-based approach is a noteworthy aspect of the study, as it not only identifies the problem but also offers a tangible solution that could reduce the proportion of low-income adults lacking wealth. The authors argue that such a scheme could have significant implications for wealth distribution, thereby contributing to a more equitable society.

However, while the article effectively outlines the correlation between income and wealth, it also acknowledges that this relationship is complex and not entirely linear. The authors could enhance their analysis by exploring alternative policy responses in greater depth, as they briefly mention the need for further attention to various strategies. This would provide a more holistic view of the potential interventions that could address the wealth gaps faced by low-income families.

The article "Connecting Healthcare with Income Maximisation Services: A Systematic Review on the Health, Wellbeing and Financial Impacts for Families with Young Children" by (Burley et al., 2022) presents a comprehensive examination of the intersection between healthcare and income maximization services, particularly focusing on families with young children. The systematic review synthesizes findings from 6061 studies, ultimately narrowing down to six studies that met strict eligibility criteria, thus ensuring a robust analysis of relevant interventions.

The study designs included in the review are notable for their diversity, comprising two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) from New Zealand and four before-and-after studies from Scotland, the UK, and the USA. This variety enhances the generalizability of the findings across different contexts. The sample sizes ranged significantly, with a total of 11,603 families participating, which underscores the scale of the interventions and the potential impact of the findings on low-income families.

A critical insight derived from the article is the focus on home visitation interventions, where nurses and family support workers provided financial assistance during visits. For instance, the Early Start Program in New Zealand emphasizes child health and parenting outcomes linked to financial support, while the BEST program in the USA is designed to help families maximize their income through similar home visitation strategies. This approach not only addresses immediate financial needs but also integrates health and well-being support, showcasing a holistic model of care that could be particularly beneficial for low-income families.

Furthermore, the article highlights the role of public health nurses in assessing financial stress through questionnaires during initial home visits. This proactive strategy is crucial for identifying families in need of assistance and facilitating referrals to money advice services. The inclusion of financial assessments in healthcare interactions represents a significant step towards a more integrated approach to health and socioeconomic support, which is often lacking in traditional service provision.

However, the review does have limitations that warrant consideration. The reliance on a limited number of studies, particularly those that met the eligibility criteria, may restrict the breadth of insights drawn from the findings. Additionally, the outcomes reported primarily focus on health and financial impacts, leaving a gap in understanding the long-term sustainability of these interventions and their broader implications for social policy.

4. Conclusion

The literature on the identification of low-income families and service provision reveals a complex interplay of economic, social, and health factors that significantly affect these households. The studies reviewed highlight the multifaceted challenges faced by low-income families, emphasizing the importance of understanding their lived experiences to inform effective policy and service interventions.

(Angel & Lein, 2006) provide a foundational perspective by exploring the struggles of low-income families amidst diminishing welfare support. Their research indicates that many families, regardless of their employment status, face significant barriers in securing basic needs, challenging the narrative that employment alone can alleviate poverty. This insight underscores the necessity of recognizing the systemic issues that perpetuate economic insecurity (Angel & Lein, 2006).

(M Bond, 2013) further complicates this narrative by examining the role of parental self-efficacy among low-income mothers. The findings suggest that access to community resources can significantly enhance mothers' well-being and self-efficacy, highlighting the critical role of both formal assistance programs and informal supports in navigating poverty (M Bond, 2013). However, the limitations of the study, particularly its small sample size, indicate a need for broader research to capture the diverse experiences of low-income families.

(Leyda Nicoll, 2017) critiques the prevailing paradigms surrounding the identification of need among low-income individuals, emphasizing the absence of their voices in discussions about public assistance. This gap leads to a mismatch between eligibility for assistance and actual participation, suggesting that effective support systems must incorporate the perspectives of those they aim to serve (Leyda Nicoll, 2017).

The complexities of poverty are further explored by Kim et al. (2020), who advocate for a nuanced approach to poverty measurement that considers both income and asset poverty. Their research reveals that traditional income measures may overlook essential living conditions, calling for policy responses that address the multidimensional nature of hardship.

The educational gaps faced by future professionals working with low-income populations are highlighted by (Morelli et al., 2021), who assess Canadian occupational therapy students' preparedness to engage with these communities. Their findings indicate a significant need for curriculum reforms to better equip students with the skills necessary to advocate for low-income individuals (Morelli et al., 2021).

(Scheffler, 2020) links family homelessness to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), emphasizing the compounded vulnerabilities of homeless families. The study advocates for comprehensive policy interventions that address systemic inequalities in housing and education, which are critical for breaking the cycle of poverty (Scheffler, 2020).

In a broader context, Glover et al. (2021) examine the mechanisms necessary for fostering an inclusive economy, particularly in light of the inequalities exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Their systematic review protocol underscores the need for evidence-based policy frameworks that promote equitable resource distribution.

The dynamics of wealth and income among low-income households are further explored by Bönke et al. (2021), who highlight the impact of intergenerational wealth transfers on current economic status. Their findings suggest that facilitating wealth accumulation could help mitigate income disparities.

Lastly, the integration of healthcare and income maximization services is examined by Kearney et al. (2021), who emphasize the potential of coordinated care models to enhance both health outcomes and financial stability for families with young children. Their analysis highlights the importance of holistic approaches to supporting low-income families.

In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the diverse challenges faced by low-income families, revealing the necessity for policies and programs that address the complexities of poverty, health, and social support systems. The integration of lived experiences into policy design, a nuanced understanding of poverty, and improved educational frameworks for service providers are essential for creating effective interventions that truly support low-income households.

References:

1. Angel, R. & Lein, L. (2006). Living on a Poverty Income: The Role of Non-Governmental Agencies in the Scramble for Resources. [PDF]
2. M Bond, S. (2013). The role of parental self-efficacy: The voices of mothers with low-income navigating supports, services, and obstacles. [PDF]
3. Leyda Nicoll, K. (2017). Who Defines Need?: Low-Income Individuals' Interpretations of Need and the Implications for Participation in Public Assistance Programs. [PDF]
4. Weon, S. & W. Rothwell, D. (2017). Asset Poverty and Material Hardship in South Korea. osf.io
5. Newfield, N., Bartlett, L., Murray, E., Park, T., Chambers, K., Hameed, F., & Cockburn, L. (2019). Perceptions of Low Income by Canadian Student Occupational Therapists. [PDF]
6. Scheffler, B. (2020). It's Real: Experiences of Family Homelessness in Fort Worth, Texas. osf.io
7. Sarica, S., Chalmers, N., Scobie, G., Craig, N., McCartney, G., & Shipton, D. (2021). Assessing the macro-level political, economic and social mechanisms that can deliver an inclusive economy: A protocol for a systematic review of reviews.. osf.io
8. Morelli, S., Nolan, B., Palomino, J., Van Kerm, P., & Fisher, C. (2021). Inheritance, Gifts and the Wealth Deficit of Low-Income Households. osf.io
9. Burley, J., Samir, N., Price, A., Parker, A., Zhu, A., Eapen, V., Contreras-Suarez, D., Schreurs, N., Lawson, K., Lingam, R., Grace, R., Raman, S., Kemp, L., Bishop, R., Goldfeld, S., & Woolfenden, S. (2022). Connecting Healthcare with Income Maximisation Services: A Systematic Review on the Health, Wellbeing and Financial Impacts for Families with Young Children. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov