



Article

International Trademark Protection

Hamid Thabat Ajab

1. University of Wasit, College of Administration and Economics

* Correspondence: hajab@uowasit.edu.iq

Abstract: The Madrid Protocol facilitates a streamlined system for international trademark registration, enabling businesses to protect their marks across multiple jurisdictions through a single application process. Administered by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), this system reduces the cost and complexity of filing separate applications in each country. While the Protocol covers a broad range of trademarks for goods and services, it excludes certain types such as certification and collective marks. Applicants benefit from centralized administration and a unified procedure for registration, renewal, and transfer. Despite its advantages, the Madrid Protocol also presents limitations, including dependency on national registrations and country-specific restrictions. Nonetheless, it offers a practical route for businesses—especially SMEs—to establish international brand presence and enforce trademark rights efficiently.

Keywords: trademark, manufacturer's mark, trade mark, individual trademarks, registered trademark

1. Introduction

A trade mark owner may profit from it. The international trademark registration protects the trademarked product from any trademark misuse in foreign countries by foreign parties. A corporation would strive to prohibit others from utilising its trademark since employing it would build its reputation and encourage consumer trust. Customers often buy products based on their trademark's reputation for quality or safety [1]. If a corporation has laboured to develop a good reputation around its trademark, it should use it. States can protect trademarks using two principles: first to use and first to utilise. The first-time trademark user is legally protected without registration expenses under the first-to-use concept. Disputes can make it difficult to determine who uses the trademark first, putting the burden of evidence on the trademark owner and increasing costs [2], [3]. The first-to-file principle ensures legal certainty for trademark protection by making it easier to track and reducing the need to register previously registered trademarks. In exchange for legal certainty, trademark owners may not object to registration fees per class, as the trademark registration certificate reduces the burden of proof. This makes trademark registration vital for firms worldwide [4], [5].

With globalisation, products from various countries can travel more easily. While trademark owners had trouble monitoring their products in another country, their trademarks became easier to assert and utilise. Manufacturers who register their trademarks but are copied lose. The IP protection system is territorial, therefore registration and enforcement must be done independently in each state. This arrangement often makes trademark enforcement difficult. Sometimes a well-known trademark is used without authorization or even registered by unauthorised parties since it has not been

Citation: Ajab, H. T. International Trademark Protection. American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research 2025, 6(6), 1641-1651.

Received: 10th Mar 2025

Revised: 16th Apr 2025

Accepted: 24th May 2025

Published: 23th Jun 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

registered in the nation [6]. The Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement of 1989 (the "Madrid Protocol"), a revision of the 1891 Madrid Agreement, provides an alternative for establishing administrative order in trademark registration internationally. To legally protect your trademark in another nation, you must register it separately at the trademark or intellectual property office of that country [7], [8], [9]. After obtaining trademark protection in certain countries, owners must follow specific measures to manage their rights (renew, licence, or divert). However, if the trademark owner is an Indonesian citizen, lives in Indonesia, or has an industrial or commercial establishment in Indonesia, they can use the Madrid Protocol, an international treaty that took effect in Indonesia on January 2, 2018, which is more attractive, cost-effective, and user-friendly [10], [11].

What is a trademark?

Trademarks permit shoppers to effectively distinguish the items and administrations of one merchant instead of another. Trademarks can frequently take many structures, including yet not restricted to words (counting human names), images, designs, illustrations, numbers, characters, colors, sounds, fragrances, states of items or their bundling, or even a blend of these. To be qualified for trademark enrollment, a sign priority the capacity to be graphically portrayed [12], [13]. Furthermore, a "trademark" is "a component of a course of communication..." that generally starts with the item's proprietor or vender and closures with the purchaser.⁽¹⁾ An educational capability and a force to be reckoned with capability are both clearly performed by a trademark with regards to correspondence elements. The previous permits the trademark to influence a ultimate choice of the forthcoming purchaser towards the acquisition of the last option. Purchasers benefit from lower search expenses and organizations benefit from expanded quality principles achieved by trademark protection [14], [15]. Public implementation of trademark privileges will be very helpful for undertakings with a drawn out financial viewpoint and a worry for their standing, barring those with clear quick in and out operations.

A "trademark" is any word, expression, logo, or configuration used to distinguish the wellspring of an item or administration in the commercial center. Anything from letters and words to plans, colors, structures, sounds, and scents can be utilized as a trademark [16]. The proprietors of trademarks can prevent others from using signs that are excessively close or even something similar to recognize their labor and products in the commercial center. Trademark privileges in common regulation systems are normally settled through enlistment, in spite of the fact that they can likewise be laid out through use in business. Trademark enlistment or business utilization are the two groundworks of trademark privileges in custom-based regulation systems [17], [18], [19]. In numerous nations, trademark protection goes past holding clients back from being confounded; it likewise incorporates keeping others from "weakening" the trademark holder's inclinations, meaning they can't let others "discoloring" or mutilate the trademark

Trademark is a part of intellectual property, which is generally related to the protection of application of ideas and information that have commercial value [20]. It is a property which can be owned and treated in a similar way to other properties, for example, it can be sold or bought. The implications of trademarks and trademark infringement

Benefits of registration of a trademark:

Registration of a trademark will give the owner of a trademark the right to prevent third parties from using his mark, or a deceptively similar mark, without his consent for the goods or services for which it is registered or for similar goods or services. For unregistered trademarks, owners have to rely on common law for protection. It is more difficult to establish one's case under common law [21].

Law No. 20 of 2016 on Trademark and Geographical Indication ("Law on Trademark and Geographical Indication") defines trademark in Indonesia. Article 1 of this law

defines trademark as graphically displayable marks in the form of images, logos, names, words, letters, numbers, color arrangements, in the form of 2 (two) dimensions and/or 3 (three) dimensions, sound, hologram, or a combination of 2 (two) or more of these elements to distinguish goods and/or services produced by individuals or legal entities in the course of trading the goods and/or services [22]. This definition indicates that a trademark may be applied to both goods and services in order to distinguish them from other comparable products. Moreover, based on this definition, trademarks can be separated into two classes

- a. Traditional trademark, in the form of images, logos, names, words, letters, numbers, color arrangement or trademark that takes 2 (two) dimensional form; and
- b. Non-traditional trademark, in the form of sound, 3 (three) dimensional trademarks, or hologram.

The legislation further says that trademark rights are exclusive rights provided by the state to the owner of a registered trademark for a specific period of time to use their own trademark or to grant another party permission to use it. Trademark acts as an identifier to distinguish a particular product created by a particular person(s) or legal entity from other products produced by other persons or legal entities, as a promotional tool, and as a quality guarantee for the product. The following functions are also associated with trademarks:

- a. To maintain healthy business competition
- b. To protect consumer;
- c. As a means for entrepreneurs to expand their business;
- d. As a means to assess product; and
- e. To introduce a product or its name.

Trademark needs to bear a sign capable in distinguishing the product, because trademark registration is related to the grant of rights for monopoly regarding certain name, symbol, or other signs. This grant of exclusive rights would prevent others to use any name, symbol, or other signs similar to the registered trademark, thus certain limitation is needed.

The absolute requirement which must be fulfilled by every person or legal entity who wants to use a trademark so that it would be accepted as a trademark is that the trademark should have enough distinguishing quality. Article 20 of Law No. 20 of 2016 on Trademark and Geographical Indication, for instance, states that a trademark could not be registered if: a. It is contrary to state ideology, regulations, morality, religion, decency, or public order; b. It is the same as, pertaining to, or only mentions the goods and/or services for which registration is requested; c. It contains elements that could mislead the public about the origin, quality, type, size, variety, use of the goods and/or services for which registrations is requested or is the name of a protected plant variety for similar goods and/or services; d. It contains information that is not in accordance with the quality, benefit, or efficacy of the goods and/or services; e. It has no distinguishing quality; and/or f. It is a common name and/or symbol of public property.

Furthermore, in Article 21 it is said that the application for trademark registration is rejected if:

- a. The trademark has similarity in essence with:
 - a) A trademark which is owned or has been registered by another party for similar goods and/or services;
 - b) A well-known trademark belonging to another party for similar goods and/or services;
 - c) A well-known trademark belonging to another party for different goods and/or service which fulfil certain requirements; or registered geographical indication.

- d) The trademark is or resembles the names or abbreviations of famous people's names, photo, or name of legal entity owned by others, except with written consent from those who are entitled;
- e) The mark is an imitation or resembling a name or abbreviation of a name, flag, sign or symbol or emblem of a country, or a national or international institution, except by written permission from the competent authority;
- f) The trademark is an imitation or resembling a sign or stamp or official seal used by the state or government institution, except with written approval from the authorities;
- g) The trademark is filed by an applicant who does not have a good faith. Judging from the abovementioned criteria, it can be concluded that if a trademark is likely to result in a loss to society in general, the brand cannot be registered. Meanwhile, if the trademark might cause damage to certain parties, the trademark registration is refused. To simplify, it can be said that a trademark that cannot be registered is a trademark which is not worthy of being a trademark in the first place, while rejected trademarks are trademarks that might cause damage to other parties.

Chapter II

Literature Review

Research by Alhunieti, Yasar, and Dina Aljabari uncovers that Jordanian regulations neglect to enough safeguard online trademarks and area names. A few conflicts have surfaced, and studies have shown that trademarks are helpless against robbery when different gatherings register space names that contain the imprint before the proprietor does, which is a trademark encroachment. By completely investigating all neighborhood and worldwide endeavors pointed toward guaranteeing the wellbeing of area names. Likewise included are the various strategies for dealing with trademark and space name clashes. In question here is ICANN's (the worldwide body entrusted with figuring out rules on the enlistment of global area names) capability according to the enrollment of public space names. Everybody in question should settle on a methodology to determine debates between trademark proprietors and the people who own space names.

The motivation behind this examination by Zakir, Muhammad Hamza, is to look at new trademark regulations all over the planet, with an emphasis on Pakistan and China. To more readily oblige worldwide guidelines and the necessities of the computerized age, the trademark rules of these two countries — vital players in the worldwide financial framework — have been fundamentally reexamined. Looking at these progressions in the law with an emphasis on their likenesses and contrasts in trademark protection, administrative implementation, and consistence with peaceful accords is the essential objective of this examination. Authentic propensities, present regulation, and court decisions are the essential foci of this book, which depends on a thorough relative examination. The review digs into this present reality outcomes of implementing trademark guidelines in the two nations, explicitly comparable to the Belt and Street Drive (BRI), the lawful business, and the unique web-based economy. These discoveries give understanding into the mind boggling systems through which Pakistan and China explore the difficulties of safeguarding protected innovation freedoms on a worldwide scale while likewise seeking after their own public advantages in a dynamic and erratic climate. By looking into trademark rules in China and Pakistan, this study not just addresses an information vacuum in the ongoing group of lawful writing yet in addition offers legislators, specialists and organizations in these two quick growing nations something to contemplate.

Quality certificate and firm-level highlights (firm age and size) help ventures' imaginative biological systems that utilization trademarks in non-industrial countries, as per the concentrate by Medase, Stephen Kehinde, and Shoaib Abdul Basit . To scrutinize

the speculations, the review arranges data from 11 countries' Advancement Follow-up Studies (Uncertainties) and World Bank Venture Overviews (WBES). Instrumental variable treatment models with direct-2sls for fundamental investigation and Tobit for heartiness checks are utilized to play out the assessments. As per our examination, quality accreditation and trademarks support development in items. Quality accreditation and trademark cooperate to support item advancement. Along these lines, the connection among's trademarks and item developments is emphatically and essentially directed by the age and size of the firm. Strangely, the synergistic impact of value affirmation trademark on item development actually holds in the staggered computations. To support the development of the center firms' items, our exploration gives persuading contentions for involving trademark and quality affirmation pair. Also, the outcomes show that for endeavors to profit from trademark possession to further develop execution, firm-level factors, for example, firm age and size are urgent.

Safeguarding well known trademarks under public and worldwide regulations is a significant issue, as examined in the examination article by Bassiouny and Abdelawal Abdin Mohamed. Current specialized frameworks have penetrated pretty much every area of business and monetary action, considering enormous headways underway examples, trade, and correspondence. The techniques and practices that organizations embraced went through critical changes. Trademarks are significant in light of the fact that they permit purchasers to recognize the labor and products of one organization from those of another, in any event, when those labor and products are practically indistinguishable. The essential capability of a trademark is to increment interest for a particular decent or administration. More individuals purchasing the trademarked item implies more individuals are know about and trust the trademark and its related merchandise. A super well known trademark may possibly get a lot of cash for its proprietor. Many trademarks, similar to Apple, Coca-Cola, LG, and BMW, have become popular everywhere, rising above the boundaries of their nations of origin, as an immediate consequence of the quick extension of worldwide trade. It's a given that the rights that are being developed around those trademarks require shields against fabrication, falsifying, and some other sort of trademark encroachment. Accordingly, a few nations have passed regulations at the public level to control and protect trademarks in all ventures. Also, the defensive measures have extended to the worldwide stage. In such manner, various arrangements and conventions have been endorsed, the most significant of which being the Trade-Related Parts of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) Understanding of 1994 and the Congress of Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property .

Yuldashov Abdumumin and Abdugopirovich Here, we'll investigate what a trademark (or administration mark) is, the means by which it works, why the Madrid Convention is significant, and the advantages of trademark possession and global enrollment. Significant matters, for example, the need of taking on these papers are additionally remembered for the Madrid Understanding and the Madrid Convention. As well as giving data on trademarks documented by means of this framework lately, the page clarifies how for approach enrolling a trademark abroad through the Madrid framework. It involves nations and individual organizations as specific illustrations. Remembered for the article is conversation of caution signs intended to put the unapproved utilization of trademarks down.

Analysts Heath, Davidson, and Christopher Mace looked analyzed how the Government Trademark Weakening Demonstration of 1996, which gave a few trademarks more legitimate protection, impacted business profit and procedures. Following the FTDA, there was an expansion in trademark debates and a decline in section and exit in the affected item commercial centers, yet treated undertakings' working profit rose. Innovative work uses, patent creation, and item reviews were all harmed by treated

ventures. As indicated by our discoveries, advancement and item quality were altogether influenced by stricter trademark protection

2. Materials and Methods

Chapter III

Trademark Registration via Madrid Protocol

The Madrid Protocol derives initially from the Madrid Agreement. The Madrid Agreement regulates the norms and methods of trademark application and the effect of the application, the official language used, the terms of protection and their extension, changes to trademark registration, and the possibility of international trademark registration cancellation. According to the agreement, each party in a member country with an existing trademark registration in their country (country of origin) may apply for an international trademark registration with the International Bureau or World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) via the trademark office in their country of origin.

Regarding what is meant by “country of origin,” it is the applicant’s country of domicile, the country of which he or she is a citizen, or the country where the applicant conducts real and effective industrial and commercial activity.

Despite the existence of an international registration system based on the Madrid Agreement for more than 100 (one hundred) years, but its members only consist of 56 countries. This is because there are some arrangements in the Madrid Agreement that make it unpopular, including :

- a. Requirements regarding basic registration;
- b. A period of 12 (twelve) months to conduct substantive examinations;
- c. Provisions on fees, basic fees and complimentary fees, the amount of which have been determined;
- d. Obligation to use French; and
- e. Central Attack System.

Eventually, on June 27, 1989, at a Diplomatic Conference convened in Madrid, the Madrid Protocol was signed as an amendment to the Madrid Agreement. The Madrid Protocol is an addendum to the Madrid Agreement that introduces new improvements to the worldwide trademark registration system in order for the Madrid System to expand and attract other nations. Regarding, enhancements are done as follows:

1. Conditions regarding trademark registration as the basis for the application for international trademark registration (basic registration);
2. Conditions regarding registration fees of international trademark;
3. Terms regarding the choice of use language;
4. Terms regarding time limit of the completion of application of international trademark registration for destination countries; and
5. When compared to the Madrid Agreement, the Madrid Protocol is more flexible, thus making it more attractive to countries that have not yet entered into the Madrid Agreement.

3. Results

Advantages and Disadvantages in Registering Trademark

Through Madrid Protocol By the number of countries joining as member of the Madrid Protocol, it can be concluded that the Madrid Protocol succeeded in improving the system of international trademark registration compared to effectiveness of the Madrid Agreement. However, there are still advantages and disadvantages what we can compare while registering trademark through the Madrid Protocol. There are few advantages of the Madrid Protocol according to Agnieszka Przygoda, which are :

1. Single application This is the primary benefit of this approach, as trademark owners no longer need to file for registration in each of their destination nations individually. This method is time-efficient because applicants need to submit only one application for one or more countries of destination. In addition, the applicants are not required to submit trademark applications in the native

languages of each specified country, pay for translations, or employ a representative in each country.

2. **Cost-effective** In fact, the most significant advantage of the Madrid System is the inexpensive cost of filing an international filing program by submitting a single application. This filing technique is typically selected by international corporations seeking worldwide legal protection for their trademarks.
3. **Centralised administration** The owner of a trademark can change, renew, or expand their portfolio of global trademarks with a single request. For instance, a single request suffices to transfer or renew the international registration in several destination countries, or to nominate a new WIPO representative. An international registration is valid for ten years and can be renewed for an additional ten years by submitting a single request directly to WIPO. The holder of an international registration is notified six months prior to the expiration date of the legal protection period that the international registration is due to be renewed. For the trademark owners, this system is an effective procedure because with only one international application, the same level of legal protection could be obtained in many countries.

The Madrid Protocol sets out a definitive period for notification of rejection in each destination country. This is distinct advantage for the trademark owners because of a certain time period guaranteed for the completion of their applications, if within 12 months or 18 months there is no rejection notification, then the trademark is registered automatically. Small and medium-sized businesses believe the cost-effective benefits of the Madrid System to be significant (SMEs). Eighty percent of Madrid System users are classified as SMEs with a small portfolio, i.e. only one or two IP rights. However, the Madrid Protocol's benefits are not limited to the lower costs and more efficient procedures that emerge from centralized registration and management of intellectual property. Madrid Protocol also offers an early brand presence overseas, as this is a method for swiftly gaining an international reputation and constructing a positive reputation for your trademark internationally. Gaining an early brand presence on a worldwide scale offers additional economic benefits from the perspective of corporate strategy, such as enhanced franchising prospects and the option of partnering with international entrepreneurs to optimize and integrate the global supply chain.

However, there is still space for improvement because the Madrid Protocol has a number of shortcomings. There are a few restrictions of the Madrid System that must be considered when filing the international registration, however these limitations do not always apply and everything must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. These limits include :

- a. **Central attack** During the first five years following the date of the international registration, the protection resulting from the international registration cannot be relied upon if any basic application or registration upon which the international registration was based is refused, invalidated, revoked, or otherwise challenged. In effect, any third party that wishes to contest the international registration in all designated countries should attack the basic application or basic registration ("home mark"). The international registration will be canceled if the basic registration is canceled after five years as a result of an action that commenced during the five-year period.
- b. **Home Mark" requirement** In order to use the Madrid System, in addition to holding trademark application or registration in a Protocol member country, it is necessary to have one of three specified links with the same Protocol member country. These links include being a national of a Protocol member country, being domiciled in a Protocol member country, or having a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in a Protocol member country. Trademark registration in Indonesia was discussed in the previous section. A person or organization that does not have any of those links is going to have a tough time complying with the need of a "home mark." For instance, an international registration cannot be given to a party that does not have a

commercial establishment, domicile, or nationality in a nation that is a participant in the Madrid Protocol. This is one of the requirements for receiving an international registration.

- c. Restriction in the change of ownership According to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), in order to be eligible to own the international registration, a new owner (the transferee) must either be a national of a Contracting Party (a member of the Madrid Protocol) or have a business or domicile in a Contracting Party (member) of the Madrid Protocol. This criterion would be troublesome of a trademark owner wanted to transfer the ownership to a national of a country which is not a member of the Madrid Protocol.
- d. Country specific limitations Prior to designate those nations through the Madrid System, it is important to take into consideration the various restrictions on international registration that are peculiar to certain countries. As a result, those who are interested in applying for the position should always be sure to examine the local requirements on a case-by-case basis. For instance, the Nice Classification might be broken down into several separate subcategories in several nations.

There are also few other technical difficulties regarding the Madrid Protocol:

- a. Trademark research in the destination countries and obtaining information about standards and trademark systems in the destination countries are not very easy, because the applicant does not directly contact a local intellectual property consultant.
- b. The priority date of the application may be shifted if the Mark Office of the country of origin is late to send it to WIPO in the period of 2 months since the date of receipt in Indonesia. If it is not fulfilled then priority date is replaced with receipt date of files at WIPO.
- c. A description of the goods or services must be included within the scope of basic application, some country of origin imposes more specific conditions so that the legal protection of the trademark in such countries are more limited.
- d. This system is relatively expensive, especially for Indonesian SMEs because the applicant is required to pay basic fee, supplementary fee, complementary fee/individual fee in Swiss Franc currency.

4. Discussion

Structure accommodation for trademarks on a worldwide scale

Before you can start the most common way of acquiring a global trademark enrollment, you really want to finish the application and send it to the Workplace of beginning. Remember that WIPO ought not be reached straightforwardly with respect to the application. The global application won't be sent to WIPO until the Workplace of Beginning confirms that it follows the key imprint.

After the application is recorded to WIPO, it will go through a conventional assessment to guarantee it fulfills all guidelines. All fundamental costs should be paid, finished and exact contact data should be given, a picked Madrid Framework part should be named, and top notch pictures should be remembered for the application. In the event that there are any issues with the application, the candidate and the Workplace of beginning will get a "irregularity notice" that clears up how for fix the issue inside a set time period, frequently three months. Following the application's effective finishing of the authority assessment, the imprint will be placed into the worldwide register and distributed in the WIPO Journal of Global Imprints. When every one of the essential customs have been satisfied, the candidate will get a Testament of Enrollment from the WIPO. Assigned individuals will likewise be advised of this registration. The following stage is for each assigned part to painstakingly survey the imprint to guarantee it fulfills the assurance norms. The chose part's office should choose whether to concede or dismiss security inside a characterized time of one or the other 12 or year and a half from the day it was advised of its designation. Conditions rested up in the Trademark Treaty the three

essential moves toward presenting a trademark application (application for enrollment, alterations made after enlistment, and restoration) Application for enrollment, changes made after enlistment, and reestablishment structure the three fundamental stages of the method before a trademark office. The Trademark Settlement covers the method before a trademark office. The prerequisites for presenting an application or making a particular solicitation are itemized in the material norms and rules for each stage. The motivation behind the arrangement's arrangements is to lay out widespread and normalized systems for trademark enlistment, upkeep, and restoration.

During the enlistment application stage, the trademark office gets an application and audits it to decide whether the trademark meets the circumstances for enrollment. Adjustments made to an enlisted trademark after enrollment incorporate changes to the trademark's plan and updates to the proprietor's data. During the reestablishment stage, an enrolled trademark should be recharged to keep up with its movement and lawful insurance. The methodology and rules for each step of trademark enlistment are fastidiously intended to guarantee that it is fair, reliable, and straightforward. There can be no trademark insurance or appropriate business utilization of trademarks without the Trademark Arrangement.

The most vital phase in enrolling a trademark is finishing the Enlistment Application. This is the ideal opportunity for the gatherings to the Trademark Regulation Arrangement to keep the guidelines. The candidate's and delegate's names and addresses, as well as different pointers relating to the imprint (counting trademark portrayals), should be given. To be qualified for enlistment, labor and products should have a place with a specific Pleasant Order classification. An extra mission statement to use the trademark might be expected now and again. Each seller needs to approve a solicitation for labor and products that are important for a few Pleasant Grouping classifications. It isn't passable for a contracting party to demand proof of unfamiliar trademark enrollment from a trademark candidate. The arrangement of a concentrate from an exchange vault enumerating specific business action by an up-and-comer is likewise not permitted by a contracting party.

The second phase of trademark enlistment is the Post-Enrollment Changes stage. During this period, the enrollment's proprietorship and changes to names and addresses happen. The necessities list for this stage is complete. A solitary solicitation is everything necessary, whether or not the update influences one or one hundred trademark applications or enlistments. The solicitation is sufficient assuming it influences every one of the pertinent libraries and applications.

The trademark enrollment process comprises of three phases, the third is reestablishment. The underlying enlistment term and each ensuing reestablishment are both laid out at 10 years by the Trademark Regulation Settlement. Reestablishment of an enlisted trademark is expected at normal spans during this term to guarantee proceeded with use and legitimate insurance. Most definitely

A similar individual or business can present various enrollments or applications under the sponsorship of a general legal authority. Applications to enlist trademarks universally might be submitted in India under the Madrid Protocol. The application should express that India is either the candidate's nation of beginning or the assigned country. On the off chance that the candidate's nation of beginning is India, they can present the worldwide application to the Trademarks Vault of India (TMR). On the off chance that India is the nation referenced in the Global Enrollment application, the candidate ought to present the application to the World Licensed innovation Association (WIPO) demonstrating India as the planned country. What Indian Residents Should Meet to Present a Global Trademark Application Execution.

To apply for trademark enlistment in India through a global application, the candidate needs to meet explicit qualifying rules.

Candidates wishing to apply from outside the nation should meet specific capabilities.

The individual applying needs to hold Indian citizenship.

The up-and-comer should have their business arranged in India.

A functioning trademark application ought to be accessible to the candidate.

A considerable rundown of nations requiring security ought to be remembered for the application for unfamiliar enlistment.

5. Conclusion

A work to upgrade the overall trademark enrollment framework, the Madrid Protocol follows on from the previous Madrid Understanding. As far as drawing in new part states, it has been more effective than the Madrid Understanding. The rising number of worldwide trademark enlistments is an immediate result of both this achievement and globalization. In spite of the undeniable advantages, the Madrid Protocol and its result are not without their portion of disadvantages.

Because of the Madrid Protocol's smoothed out application process, enlisting trademarks on a worldwide scale is currently more straightforward than at any other time. Then again, the global trademark enrollment methodology has various managerial rules that may be a torment for candidates to meet.

The Madrid Protocol has made it a lot more straightforward for various countries to universally enroll their trademarks. More organizations will actually want to legitimately safeguard their trademarks abroad, which will urge them to send out a greater amount of their items. Maybe this is a positive development for the trading countries' economies. In any case, other countries' endeavors, especially SMEs, may experience harder business competition or even become market expresses that consume more than they create assuming they miss the mark on ability to send out products and register trademarks around the world. Little and medium-sized undertakings (SMEs) are ample in Indonesia and can possibly support the nation's economy. It is crucial to really try to arrive at the littlest bits of society to produce information about trademark enlistment on a public and worldwide level.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Stockemer, Stockemer, and Glaeser, *Populism around the world*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019.
- [2] R. M. Sherwood, *Intellectual property and economic development*, Routledge, 2019.
- [3] Y. Alhunieti and D. Aljabari, "Digital Protection of the Trademark in Jordanian Law. A Comparative Study," in *Intelligent Systems, Business, and Innovation Research*, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024, pp. 729–741.
- [4] M. H. Zakir et al., "Evolving Trademark Laws in a Global Context: A Comparative Study of China and Pakistan," *Migration Letters*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 985–994, 2024.
- [5] S. K. Medase and S. A. Basit, "Trademark and product innovation: the interactive role of quality certification and firm-level attributes," *Innovation and Development*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–41, 2023.
- [6] A. A. Bassiouny, "Protecting Well-known Trademarks: A Comparative Study," *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, pp. 673–681, 2022.
- [7] A. Y. Abdugopirovich, "Types Of Legal Protection Of A Trademark (Service Mark) In Foreign Countries: The Example Of The Madrid System," *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1068–1073, 2021.
- [8] D. Heath, D. Davidson, and C. Mace, "The strategic effects of trademark protection," *The Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1848–1877, 2020.
- [9] R. Halsbury, *Reddaway v. Banham*, 1896, cited in C. Colston, *Principles of Intellectual Property Law*, 1999, pp. 199, 309.
- [10] African Madrid System Members: Algeria, Botswana, Egypt, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Mozambique, Morocco, Namibia, Sudan, Zambia.
- [11] The Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks, Apr. 14, 1891, 828 U.N.T.S. 389.

- [12] A. Boggsch, "The First Hundred Years of the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks," *Indus. Prop.*, vol. 30, p. 389, 1991.
- [13] R. Callmann, *Callmann on Unfair Competition, Trademarks and Monopolies*, 4th ed., § 26.03, 1981.
- [14] D. Odigie, *Modern Law of Torts in Nigeria*, 2003, p. 143 et seq.
- [15] W. Cornish and D. Llewelyn, *Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks and Allied Rights*, 5th ed., 2003.
- [16] T. Hansen-Young, "Whose name is it Anyway? Protecting tribal names from cybersquatters," *Virginia Journal of Law & Technology*, vol. 10, no. 6, Summer 2005.
- [17] J. Schmidt-Szalewski, "The International Protection of Trademarks after the TRIPS Agreement," *Duke Journal of Comparative and International Law*, vol. 9, p. 194.
- [18] D. Gervais, *The TRIPS Agreement: Drafting History and Analysis*, Sweet and Maxwell, London, 1998, p. 110.
- [19] J. E. Damton, "The Coming of Age of The Global Trademark: The Effect of TRIPS on The Well-Known Marks Exception to The Principle of Territoriality," *Mich. St. Int L.L. Rev.*, vol. 20, p. 11, 2011.
- [20] A. Kur, "TRIPS and Trademark Law," in *IIC Studies. Studies in Industrial Property and Copyright Law*, vol. 18, Max Planck Institute, Munich, p. 99
- [21] *McDonalds Corp. v. Joburgers Drive-Inn Restaurant PTY*, Supreme Court of South Africa, 1996.
- [22] K. Goodberlet, "The Trademark Dilution Revision Act of 2006," *J. High Tech L.*, vol. 6, p. 249, 2006.