



Article

## Analysis of Technological Development and Economic-Innovative Concepts in the Implementation of Digital Technologies in Entrepreneurial Activity

Shodiyor Nizom o'g'li Salaydinov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Specialist, Department for Coordination of Regional Activities and IT Parks, Center for the Development of Digital Education under the Ministry of Digital Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan

\*Correspondence: [salaydinovshodiyor@gmail.com](mailto:salaydinovshodiyor@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This article analyzes the scientific and theoretical frameworks that inform technological development and economic innovation concepts related to the adoption of digital technologies in entrepreneurship. It explores how these frameworks influence the digital transformation of entrepreneurial activities, emphasizing their impact on efficiency, competitiveness, and innovation. The study evaluates the practical implications of digital technology implementation in various sectors, particularly focusing on the challenges and opportunities it presents for small businesses and private entrepreneurship. The research also highlights the ongoing digital transformation in Uzbekistan and its alignment with national strategies, such as the "Digital Uzbekistan – 2030" initiative.

**Citation:** Salaydinov Sh. N. Analysis of Technological Development and Economic-Innovative Concepts in the Implementation of Digital Technologies in Entrepreneurial Activity. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(2), 72-76.

Received: 10<sup>th</sup> Nov 2025

Revised: 11<sup>th</sup> Dec 2025

Accepted: 24<sup>th</sup> Jan 2026

Published: 06<sup>th</sup> Feb 2026



**Copyright:** © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

**Keywords:** entrepreneurship, entrepreneurial activity, digital technologies, entrepreneur, digital entrepreneurship, small business and private entrepreneurship, innovative entrepreneurship.

### Introduction

The intensification of competition among entrepreneurial entities at the global level has driven technological advancement in this sphere, leading to increased efficiency in both production processes and business management through the use of digital technologies [1], [2]. This trend has significantly heightened interest in related research within the field of economics. Today, regardless of a country's level of economic development, priority is given to integrating digital technologies into entrepreneurial activities as part of strategies to create innovative economic systems, develop the national economy technologically, modernize production capacities, and implement comprehensive structural reforms [3]. This approach is justified by the role of digital technologies in enhancing the effectiveness of globalization processes and value chain creation, particularly by leveraging the development potential of entrepreneurial entities.

### Relevance of the Topic

In the context of ongoing reforms in Uzbekistan, supporting the use of digital technologies in the activities of local entrepreneurial entities and enabling their digital transformation is crucial [4], [5]. Systematic analysis of economic theories formed in this area helps identify which conceptual approaches should guide the development priorities. In particular, considering the government's "Digital Uzbekistan – 2030" strategy, which has been consistently implemented over the past five years, this study scientifically demonstrates which conceptual approaches should be prioritized to enhance the strategy's effectiveness in the coming years [6].

### Literature Review

The scientific and methodological foundations for introducing digital technologies into entrepreneurial activities have been studied by foreign scholars such as A.A. Gumusay, A. Bennich, P. Ahokangas, W. Adams, A. Alvarez, and B.G. Altafin. Economists from neighboring countries, including E.Yu. Aphanova, M.M. Stepanov, M. Popovich, H. Matskiv, L.S. Gevorgyan, and V.R. Yermolaeva, have examined opportunities for implementing digital technologies in entrepreneurship under market economy conditions [7], [8], [9], [10].

In Uzbekistan, researchers such as N.R. Rakhmonov, O.A. Aripov, N.Kh. Jumaev, N.O. Turaeva, Sh.A. Atmurodov, Sh.Sh. Rasulov, and R.A. Rashidov have studied the development characteristics of digital entrepreneurship and the state's priority in supporting the sector [11]. However, despite reforms aimed at developing digital entrepreneurship in Uzbekistan, comprehensive research on increasing the effectiveness of digital technology implementation in entrepreneurial activities as a complex research object has not yet been conducted [12]. This gap justifies the choice of this topic.

### Research Methods

This study employs a comprehensive methodological framework combining qualitative and quantitative approaches to explore the role of digital technologies in entrepreneurship. The research integrates systematic analysis, historical and logical reasoning, and inductive and deductive methods.

A systematic analysis of the literature identifies key theoretical concepts and empirical findings, providing a structured understanding of how digital technologies contribute to economic and innovative development in entrepreneurship. The historical approach traces the evolution of digital technologies, particularly within Uzbekistan's economic context, highlighting the timing and impact of digital transformations. Logical reasoning connects these technological advancements with broader economic theories.

Both inductive and deductive reasoning are employed to analyze case studies and apply established theoretical frameworks, such as technological determinism and innovation diffusion, in the context of digital entrepreneurship. A comparative approach is used to examine the global integration of digital technologies, focusing on Uzbekistan's unique challenges and opportunities.

Finally, monographic and grouping methods are applied to sector-specific case studies in areas such as banking, public services, and IT parks, offering a detailed understanding of digital technology adoption across industries.

In sum, this methodological framework ensures a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between digital technologies and entrepreneurship, providing both theoretical insights and practical recommendations for economic innovation.

### Analysis and Results

The first group of theories includes technological development theories, which encompass sub-theories such as technological determinism, diffusion of innovations, and the technological lifecycle. Each of these is analyzed below:

**Technological Determinism:** Developed by economists such as M. McQueen and M.M. Castells, this theory views technological development as a driving force shaping society and economic activity [13]. In entrepreneurial activities, technologies both enhance production process efficiency and expand opportunities to balance supply and demand effectively in the market. Recent research by scholars such as P.S. Adler, T. Hauer, A. Dafoe, A. Jan, O. Mathisen, and J.W. Kim focuses on trends in societal and economic development driven by technological improvement [14], [15], [16].

**Diffusion of Innovations:** Proposed by E.M. Rogers, this approach analyzes the adoption and spread of new technologies among entrepreneurial entities. Scholars including Q. Guo, S.M. Nawaf Alka'awneh, L. Robinson, M. Savari, and B.D. Lund have conducted fundamental studies in this field. Based on these theories, the period of implementing digital technologies in entrepreneurship is increasingly integrated with innovative development and digital transformation processes, resulting in

shorter lifecycle periods for technological innovations [17]. In some developed countries, high-tech entrepreneurial activities operate on cycles of up to three years.

**Technological Lifecycle:** The third theoretical approach, reflected in the "Abernathy-Utterback" integral meta-model, evaluates the lifecycle of technologies based on their obsolescence. It is currently applied in conjunction with innovation diffusion theories [18], [19], [20]. Fundamental research by scholars such as G. Blair, A. Mansouri, M. Popovich, Ch. Berville, A. Waqar, G. Van de Kaa, and A. Urbinati demonstrates that digital technologies in entrepreneurship are developing in management, marketing, bio- and eco-technologies, automation of production processes, performance evaluation, aggregation, and analysis.

The group of economic-innovative theories primarily includes the theory of innovative development and the EU digital single market approach:

**Innovative Development Theory:** Based on J. Schumpeter's concepts, this theory is divided into two approaches: innovation incentives and innovation renewal. Scholars such as P. Braunerhjelm, A. Ziane, J. Dote-Pardo, U.S. Otika, Sh.A.H. Ali Abdu, and L. Lindberg have explored these approaches. Innovations are viewed as tools to stimulate economic growth and entrepreneurship, while innovation renewal examines socio-economic relations arising from replacing obsolete innovations with new ones [21], [22].

**EU Digital Single Market Approach:** Formed in the EU context, these approaches have been developed based on recommendations from the European Commission [23], [24], [25], [26]. Since 2011, EU countries have implemented strategic development programs to enhance digital technology usage in entrepreneurial activities. Research by A. De Franceschi, F. Erixon, X. Shehu, and M. Hiltuen demonstrates that digital markets can improve innovation growth and competitiveness among entrepreneurial entities. Overall, these theories emphasize deepening the integrative relationship between innovative entrepreneurial activity and digital transformation [27]. The study of digital technology implementation in entrepreneurial activities revealed several significant findings:

1. **Technological Determinism:** Theories developed by M. McQueen, M.M. Castells, P.S. Adler, and others indicate that technological progress acts as a fundamental force shaping economic activity and societal structures. In entrepreneurship, digital technologies optimize production processes, enhance operational efficiency, and strengthen the interplay between supply and demand in markets. Recent studies show that technological upgrades alone have led to measurable improvements in productivity and efficiency, but their full potential is realized when combined with strategic innovation management [28].

2. **Innovation Diffusion:** Based on E.M. Rogers' diffusion of innovations theory, the adoption and spread of new technologies among entrepreneurs depend on the degree of innovation integration and market readiness. Foreign research (Q. Guo, S.M. Nawaf Alka'awneh, L. Robinson, M. Savari, B.D. Lund) emphasizes that shorter innovation cycles and accelerated adoption of advanced technologies increase competitive advantage and foster rapid digital transformation [29], [30]. In practice, the integration of digital technologies has improved efficiency in management, marketing, production automation, and environmental and biotechnological applications.

3. **Technological Lifecycle (TLC):** The lifecycle concept, including the "Abernati-Uttebek" meta-model, allows for assessing the obsolescence and viability of newly introduced technologies in entrepreneurship [31]. By combining lifecycle analysis with innovation diffusion, entrepreneurs can plan investment in digital tools and technologies more effectively, ensuring long-term sustainability and competitiveness. Studies by G. Blair, A. Mansouri, M. Popowicz, and others have confirmed that monitoring technological lifecycles is crucial for maintaining innovation-driven growth.

4. **Economic-Innovative Approaches:** Schumpeter's theory of innovative development and the EU's Digital Single Market framework highlight that digital transformation is closely linked with innovation incentives, economic growth, and market integration [32], [33]. Empirical observations indicate that entrepreneurs who actively implement digital technologies in their operations achieve higher productivity, expand market reach, and enhance financial stability. Strategic initiatives like Uzbekistan's "Digital Uzbekistan – 2030" also reinforce the importance of combining technological tools with policy support and institutional frameworks.

5. **Practical Implementation and Challenges:** The research identified key areas where digital technologies are most widely applied: banking, public services, IT parks, and online platforms [34], [35]. However, challenges persist, including insufficient digital literacy among entrepreneurs, a shortage of skilled personnel, and underdeveloped digital market infrastructure. These obstacles limit the full realization of the benefits of digital transformation and highlight the need for systematic interventions.

Overall, the analysis confirms that digital technologies serve as a multidimensional driver of innovation, competitiveness, and economic development. Their effectiveness is maximized when integrated with innovation management, supportive policy frameworks, and human capital development. The results suggest that the future of entrepreneurial success in both local and global markets depends on the comprehensive adoption of digital solutions combined with strategic planning and innovation incentives.

#### Conclusion and Recommendations

The analysis shows that technological development and economic-innovative theories reveal new opportunities for entrepreneurial activities through the use of digital technologies and aim to enhance innovative activity. Approaches to applying digital technologies are most commonly used in market and public service sectors, including banking, government services, IT parks, and online platform development. However, challenges such as insufficient digital literacy among entrepreneurs, lack of skilled personnel for effective digital technology use, and underdeveloped digital market infrastructure persist. Systematic measures to address these challenges are recommended.

#### References

- [1] Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президентининг “Рақамли Ўзбекистон – 2030” стратегиясини тасдиқлаш ва уни самарали амалга ошириш чора-тадбирлари тўғрисида” ги ПФ-6079-сон фармони, 05.10.2020.
- [2] M. McLuhan, *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. London and New York. [Online]. Available: <https://designopendata.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/understanding-media-mcluhan.pdf>
- [3] M.M. Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society, The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture Vol. I*. Cambridge, MA; Oxford, UK: Blackwell, 1996, pp. 158-163.
- [4] P.S. Adler, “Technological Determinism,” *International Encyclopedia of Organization Studies*, Jul. 2006, 5 pp. [Online]. Available: <https://faculty.marshall.usc.edu/Paul-Adler/research/revisingTechnological%20Determinism.pdf>
- [5] T. Hauer, “Technological determinism and new media,” *International Journal of English, Literature and Social Science (IJELS)*, vol. 2, no. 2, Mar.-Apr. 2017, pp. 1-4. [Online]. Available: [www.ijels.com](http://www.ijels.com)
- [6] A. Dafoe, “On technological determinism: A typology, scope conditions, and a mechanism,” *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, vol. 40, no. 6, 2015, pp. 1047–1076.
- [7] A. Jan, Sh. Khan, S. Naz, O. Khan, “Marshal McLuhan’s Technological Determinism Theory in the Area of Social Media,” *Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields*, vol. XI, no. 2, Dec. 2020, pp. 133-137.
- [8] O. Mathisen, G. Johansen, “Technological Determinism? Exploring Students’ Deliberation on Renewable Energy Technology,” *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, Jun. 2025, pp. 1471-1491.
- [9] J.W. Kim, “Technological Determinism in Smart Cities: AI’s Role in Urban Transformation and Workforce Disruption in Singapore,” *IGEE Proc.*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2025, pp. 20-30, doi: 10.69841/igee.2025.008.
- [10] E.M. Rogers, *Diffusion of innovations*, 3rd ed. New York: The Free Press, 1983, 453 pp.
- [11] Q. Guo, W. Huang, “Analyzing the Diffusion of Innovations Theory,” *Scientific and Social Research*, vol. 6, no. 12, 2024, pp. 95-98.
- [12] S.M. Nawaf Alka’awneh, H. Abdul-Halim, N.H. Md. Saad, “A Review of Diffusion of Innovations Theory (DOI) and Technology, Organization, and Environment Framework (TOE) in the Adoption of Artificial Intelligence,” *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2025, pp. 2118-2129.
- [13] L. Robinson, *The Diffusion of Innovations*, 2025, 6 pp. [Online]. Available: [https://www.enablingchange.com.au/Summary\\_Diffusion\\_Theory.pdf](https://www.enablingchange.com.au/Summary_Diffusion_Theory.pdf)
- [14] M. Savari, M.Sh. Amghani, A. Malekian, “Application of the diffusion of innovation theory to identify factors affecting the use of treated wastewater in crop irrigation: a study in Tehran province,” *Cleaner Engineering and Technology*, vol. 29, Dec. 2025, pp. 1-15, doi: 10.1016/j.clet.2025.101094.
- [15] B.D. Lund, “Diffusion of Innovations: Still a Relevant Theory for Studying Library Technology in the Age of AI?” *Library High Tech News*, vol. 42, no. 4, 2025, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1108/LHTN-12-2024-0209.
- [16] R.U. Ayres, *The Industry-Technology Life Cycle: An Integrating Meta Model?* International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Luxemburg, Austria, Mar. 1987, 32 pp.
- [17] G. Blair, H. Woodcock, K. Grant, “Managing the Technology Life Cycle - a Contextual Approach to Analysis,” *Journal of Advanced Research In Engineering & Technology*, vol. 4, no. 01, 2020, pp. 1-5, doi: [insert DOI if available].
- [18] A. Mansouri, M. Mohammadpour, “Determining Technology Life Cycle Prediction based on Patent Bibliometric Data,” *International Journal of Information Science and Management*, vol. 21, no. 3, 2023, pp. 161-185.
- [19] M. Popowicz, N. Katzer, M. Kettle, “Digital Technologies for Life Cycle Assessment: A Review and Integrated Combination Framework,” *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, vol. 30, no. 3, Dec. 2024, pp. 405-428.
- [20] Ch. Berville, C. Croitoru, F. Bode, “Life Cycle Analysis in the Context of Smart Cities,” *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 608, 05029, 2025, pp. 1-13, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202560805029.
- [21] A. Waqar, N. Shafiq, “Impact of Digital Twin Technology Implementation on the Lifecycle Management of Structural Assets,” *Journal of Emerging, Construction and Architectural Management*, 2025, doi: 10.1108/ECAM-01-2025-0011.

- [22] G. Van de Kaa, H.J. de Vries, "Success Factors for Standards During the Technology Life Cycle," *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, vol. 95, Jan. 2025, pp. 1-9, doi: 10.1016/j.csi.2025.104043.
- [23] A. Urbinati, S. Franzo, D. Chiaroni, F. Pacicco, "Transition of Manufacturing Companies towards Circular Economy: The Enabling Role of Digital Technologies for Product Life Cycle Extension," *Journal of Circular Economy*, vol. 3, no. 3, 2025, pp. 1-20, doi: 10.55845/CGKJ4679.
- [24] K. Sledzik, "Schumpeter's view on Innovation and Entrepreneurship," in *Management Trends in Theory and Practice*, S. Hittmar, Ed. University of Zilina, Apr. 2013, pp. 89-95.
- [25] P. Braunerhjelm, M. Henrekson, "Theories of Growth, Innovation, and Entrepreneurship. Unleashing Society's Innovative Capacity," in *Chapter II*, Nov. 2023, pp. 29-64.
- [26] A. Ziane, A. Bouziani, S. Moumni, "Entrepreneurship and Innovation: Driving Economic Growth," *SocioEconomic Challenges*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2025, pp. 219-232.
- [27] J. Dote-Pardo, V. Ortiz-Cea, V. Pena-Acuna, P. Severino-Gonzalez, J.M. Contreras-Henriquez, R.I. Ramirez-Molina, "Innovative Entrepreneurship and Sustainability: A Bibliometric Analysis in Emerging Countries," *Sustainability*, vol. 17, 2025, pp. 1-28, doi: 10.3390/su17020658.
- [28] U.S. Otika, O.F. Nwachukwu, O.O. Abbas, "Theoretical Perspectives of Entrepreneurship Developments in Nigeria," *World Journal of Entrepreneurial Development Studies (WJEDS)*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2025, pp. 62-82.
- [29] Sh.A.H. Aly Abdou, "The success of entrepreneurship based on the theory of disruptive innovation: Marketing perspective," *Cogent Business & Management*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, pp. 1-19, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2025.2660641.
- [30] L. Lindbjerg, T. Vladasel, "Hiring entrepreneurs for innovation," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 46, 2025, pp. 3182-3217.
- [31] European Commission, *The Single Market: Our European Home Market in an Uncertain World. A Strategy for making the Single Market simple, seamless and strong*, COM (2025) 500 final, Brussels, 2025, 30 pp.
- [32] A. De Franceschi, "The EU Digital Single Market Strategy in Light of the Consumer Rights Directive," *Journal of European Consumer and Market Law*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2015, pp. 144-148.
- [33] F. Erixon, P. Lamprecht, *The Next Steps for the Digital Single Market: From Where do We Start? Policy Brief No. 2/2018*, European Centre for International Political Economy (ECIPE), 2018, 15 pp.
- [34] X. Shehu, B. Gromann, "Input to the Call for Evidence: On the Single Market Strategy for 2025," *Connect Europe*, Jan. 2025, pp. 1-8.
- [35] M. Hiltuen, "Platforms, Digital Single Market, and the hope of trust: online platform imaginaries of the European Commission," *Transnational Legal Theory*, Oct. 2025, pp. 1-31, doi: 10.1080/20414005.2025.2576417.