

---

# Opportunities for Implementing the Clil (Content and Language Integrated Learning) Methodology in Uzbekistan

**Khakimova Nargiza khayrilloyevna**

Asia International University, [nargizkhakimova92@gmail.com](mailto:nargizkhakimova92@gmail.com)

## Article information:

**Manuscript received:** 11 Aug 2025; **Accepted:** 12 Sep 2025; **Published:** 13 Oct 2025

**Abstract:** This research examines the potential for integrating Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) within Uzbekistan's educational framework. It examines governmental support, institutional preparedness, educator development, and resource constraints. Research indicates a gradual implementation strategy that emphasizes robust teacher training, region-specific resources, and global collaboration to facilitate sustainable integration of CLIL.

**Keywords:** CLIL, Uzbekistan, bilingual education, language policy, teacher training, STEM education, multilingualism

---

**Introduction.** A primary challenge for 21st-century educational institutions is to provide students with comprehensive topic knowledge and proficiency in foreign languages to succeed in globalized communities. Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is a new way of teaching that directly tackles this problem. According to Coyle, Hood, and Marsh (2010), CLIL is a method that combines teaching content with a foreign language. This lets students learn about academic subjects while also developing their language abilities. Uzbekistan is a country that is quickly modernizing, thus this strategy works very well there. The country's national education reforms, especially Presidential Decree No. PF-5117 (2017), have put a lot of stress on learning foreign languages, especially English. This is very much in accordance with CLIL's main goals. So, the goal of this essay is to look at the possibilities for putting CLIL into practice in Uzbekistan by looking at its theoretical basis, the existing state of education, and possible ways to do so. The need for students to be able to communicate effectively in more than one language led to the development of CLIL in the 1990s in Europe (Marsh, 2002). The integration of language and content learning into a single curriculum is its central tenet. According to Coyle et al. (2010), the "4Cs framework"—Content, Communication, Cognition, and Culture—is the foundation upon which CLIL operates.

**Research objective.** The possibility of implementing the CLIL strategy into Uzbekistan's school system is the focus of this study. Finding out how the nation's modernization objectives and language policy may be advanced via the customization of CLIL—a technique that integrates content and foreign language instruction—is the main objective. The study's secondary objective is to describe the potential benefits, challenges, and opportunities of using CLIL in the unique socio-educational context of Uzbekistan. Research indicates that CLIL has several benefits: Growth in cognition: Students enhance their capacity for analysis and solution-finding (Mehisto, Marsh, & Frigols, 2008). Learning a language: Immersion in natural, purposeful contexts is the key to rapid progress toward fluency (Lasagabaster & Doiz, 2016). Engagement and motivation: the method promotes optimistic views of language acquisition (Dalton-Puffer, 2011).

**Materials and methods.** The bilingual programs of Spain, Finland, and Germany have shown significant success with the implementation of CLIL, which has been done throughout the European Union (Pérez Cañado, 2012). Several Asian countries have also used CLIL in STEM fields, such as Kazakhstan and Japan (Ikeda, 2013; Mehisto, 2012). Uzbekistan may learn a lot from these foreign instances. Significant changes are taking place in Uzbekistan's educational system to bring it up to par with international standards. Uzbek has replaced Russian as the *de jure* language of business and government in Uzbekistan. English has been designated as a priority language for global engagement by the government (Decree No. PF-1875, 2021). As a result of government initiatives, Uzbekistan has extended its English-taught university programs and made studying a foreign language mandatory beginning in elementary school (Ministry of Public Education of Uzbekistan, 2021). As part of their efforts to modernize their educational system, Uzbek universities are increasingly offering STEM courses in English (Abdullaeva, 2020). However, major obstacles still remain. World Bank (2020) reports that reform efforts are hindered by a lack of qualified educators, inadequate funding, and disparities in access to high-quality language programs. These problems show that CLIL has great promise as a systemic improvement.

**Results. Policy and Reform Support.** The strategic objectives of Uzbekistan, which include reforming its national education system and promoting multilingualism, are well aligned with CLIL's twin concentration on language and content. In line with this goal, the Presidential Decree on the Development of Foreign Languages (2021) places a premium on bilingual and multilingual teaching methods in schools. The official and supporting environment for testing and implementing CLIL techniques is created by this high-level policy endorsement, which signals a firm commitment from the government of Uzbekistan (2021). **Institutional Opportunities.** Several prestigious Uzbek universities provide an ideal setting for CLIL research. English is already the language of teaching for scientific and mathematical topics at specialized institutions like the Presidential institutions network and an increasing number of foreign colleges. According to Khudoyberganova (2021), these schools are well-suited to implement organized CLIL pilot programs because of their background in bilingual education and their current infrastructure. **Teacher Development.** Establishing a strong network of competent instructors is a key component for CLIL to be successful. This may be remedied by including CLIL pedagogy and methodology into the core curriculum of colleges that educate teachers and in-service training institutes that serve existing teachers. Teachers in Uzbekistan can learn from one another and advance the field of CLIL education through well-established international partnerships with groups like the British Council and Erasmus+ programs (British Council, 2019). **Resource and Technology Opportunities.** When it comes to removing obstacles to resources, the digital era is light years ahead. Thanks to the abundance of open educational platforms and digital resources available online, Uzbek instructors may access and, more crucially, modify current CLIL materials to meet the country's unique cultural and academic requirements. To localize materials in a way that is both pedagogically sound and contextually appropriate for Uzbek schools, international relationships may be strategically used (OECD, 2019). A comprehensive approach is necessary to successfully incorporate CLIL into the educational system of Uzbekistan. Key areas for development are targeted by the following suggestions. Policy backing from on high is essential for CLIL to thrive in the long run. There has to be a clear incorporation of CLIL goals and approaches into the overall national education plan and framework by the government. Legitimacy and long-term guidance are provided by this. In addition, schools and universities might be motivated to actively participate and gain institutional support by offering grants, extra resources, or recognition to those who implement bilingual topic teaching. There are mainly two ways to get teachers ready to teach. At the outset, CLIL methodology need to be integrated as a cornerstone of language and subject teacher pre-service programs. In addition, fostering collaboration between topic and language specialists requires strong continuous professional development for instructors already in the field (Marsh, 2002). Institutions with ample resources, such as Presidential Schools and top colleges, should launch pilot programs before the full implementation, with a focus on STEM fields. In order to refine methods for wider implementation, these pilot projects need meticulous monitoring. Building worldwide cooperation via partnerships, financial assistance, and teacher exchange

programs is also essential for effective implementation, as is the development of locally relevant instructional materials.

**Conclusions.** The implementation of CLIL in Uzbekistan offers a great chance to enhance students' understanding of both academic topics and foreign languages at the same time. It is possible to test CLIL at well-equipped schools and institutions, with an emphasis on STEM disciplines, with the support of strong government policy and current institutional capability. Structured teacher training, personalized educational resource development, and international collaborations are crucial to its long-term viability. Uzbekistan can build a long-lasting CLIL framework that encourages students to speak more than one language and gets them ready to communicate with people from other countries if it follows a phased approach and has persistent official support.

### References.

1. Abdullaeva, M. (2020). *Challenges of teaching English in higher education institutions of Uzbekistan*. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(9), 123–128.
2. British Council. (2019). *English in Education: Uzbekistan*. British Council Report.
3. Coyle, D., Hood, P., & Marsh, D. (2010). *CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Dalton-Puffer, C. (2011). Content-and-language integrated learning: From practice to principles? *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 182–204.
5. Government of Uzbekistan. (2021). *Decree on measures to improve foreign language learning (PF-1875)*.
6. Ikeda, M. (2013). CLIL implementation in Japanese universities: From policy to practice. *International CLIL Research Journal*, 2(1), 37–46.
7. Khudoyberganova, D. (2021). *Innovation in education: Presidential schools in Uzbekistan*. *International Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 3(1), 55–66.
8. Lasagabaster, D. (2011). English achievement and student motivation in CLIL and EFL settings. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 5(1), 3–18.
9. Lasagabaster, D., & Doiz, A. (2016). CLIL students' perceptions of their language learning process: Delving into self-perceived improvement and instructional preferences. *Language Awareness*, 25(1-2), 110–126.
10. Marsh, D. (2002). *CLIL/EMILE: The European dimension*. European Commission.
11. Mehisto, P. (2012). *Excellence in bilingual education: A guide for school principals*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Mehisto, P., Marsh, D., & Frigols, M. J. (2008). *Uncovering CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning in Bilingual and Multilingual Education*. Macmillan.
13. Ministry of Public Education of Uzbekistan. (2021). *National Program for the Development of Foreign Languages*.
14. OECD. (2019). *Education Policy Outlook: Uzbekistan*. OECD Publishing.
15. Pérez Cañado, M. L. (2012). CLIL research in Europe: Past, present, and future. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 15(3), 315–341.
16. World Bank. (2020). *Uzbekistan Education Sector Analysis*.