
THE PSYCHOLOGICAL DETECTIVE STYLE OF JACK RITCHIE'S "AN UNUSUAL SITUATION" STORY

Nasridinova Sitara Utkirovna

PhD student, Asia international university

E-mail: sitorautkirovna89@gmail.com

Article information:

Manuscript received: 01 Jan 2026; **Accepted:** 11 Jan 2026; **Published:** 26 Jan 2026

Abstract: This article provides an in-depth analysis of the psychological detective style characteristic of the American detective writer Jack Ritchie. The study is based on a story centered on the murder of Matt Tyson and involving jury deliberations, through which the author's reliance on dialogue as a literary technique, his mastery in creating moral dilemmas, his use of psychological pressure and manipulation, and his ability to subject the reader to a test of conscience through an unexpected ending are revealed. The article examines the complex relationships between justice, legality, and personal interest using specific episodes from the story, and substantiates how Jack Ritchie transforms the detective genre from the traditional framework of "solving a crime" into a philosophical arena that exposes human nature.

Keywords: Jack Ritchie, psychological detective, jury members, dialogic style, moral dilemma, bribery, manipulation, unexpected ending, justice and conscience

1. Introduction

For many years, detective fiction has been associated in the reader's mind with a traditional scheme in which a crime is committed, an investigation is conducted, and eventually the guilty party is identified and punished. However, from the mid-twentieth century onward, some writers began to consider this scheme insufficient and shifted their focus not to the external aspects of crime, but to the traces it leaves on the human psyche, the moral responsibility involved in decision-making, and society's attitude toward the concept of justice. Jack Ritchie is one such writer who elevated the detective genre to a psychological and philosophical level. In his stories, the crime itself is often not central; instead, the environment formed around the crime, people's attempts to justify themselves, and decisions made under the influence of fear and self-interest become the main sources of dramatic tension. The story about jury members analyzed in this article is a vivid example of this approach. The narrative revolves around the case of Duke O'Brien, accused of murdering Matt Tyson, but throughout the story the reader witnesses not so much the details of the murder as the psychological struggle unfolding in the jury room. In this context, the jury room functions as a compact model of society. The twelve jurors differ in age, profession, character, and worldview, representing various social classes. Vetter is portrayed as a conciliatory individual who values order and the proper functioning of systems; he seeks to ease tensions and conduct discussions within the bounds of logic. Rothwell, by contrast, is an angry, rigid, and even aggressive advocate of justice, for whom O'Brien has already been judged and condemned. Mrs. Jenkins attempts to influence the conscience of others from a position of moral superiority. Watson, as an ordinary citizen, is caught between principle and fear, law and compassion. The narrator, Coleman, initially appears to be rational, calm, and committed to justice, but it is precisely he who becomes the most dangerous character in the story, as he harbors a hidden

personal interest. Through these characters, Ritchie reveals an important social truth: the justice system is often undermined not by overt evil, but by concealed betrayal.

Dialogues occupy a central role in the artistic structure of the story. The author offers almost no descriptive passages; setting and physical appearance are relegated to secondary importance, while all dramatic force emerges through the characters' speech. The dialogues are brief, sharp, and repetitive, creating a sense of psychological pressure for the reader. For instance, one crucial question directed at Watson is: "Do you really believe O'Brien is innocent?" Watson's response exposes the core moral conflict constructed by Ritchie: "No, he is certainly guilty. But the court failed to prove that he killed Matt Tyson." This exchange clearly illustrates the distinction between legal evidence and personal belief a distinction that serves as the main source of dramatic tension for Ritchie.

Throughout the story, Watson is subjected to continuous and systematic psychological pressure. This pressure is not exerted through open threats, but through moral and emotional questioning. Mrs. Jenkins's address is a striking example: "Do you have children?" This question is not directly related to the trial, yet it targets Watson's conscience. The following remark intensifies the pressure: "Would they be proud of you if you let O'Brien go free?" Through such moments, Ritchie demonstrates that justice is often enforced not through evidence, but through shame, fear, and a sense of responsibility.

At the center of the story lies a fundamental philosophical question: can a bad person be punished simply for being bad? The jurors depict O'Brien as a racketeer, the leader of a criminal gang, and a danger to society, and they nearly regard his death sentence as just. Rothwell's statement exemplifies this attitude: "No matter how you look at it, O'Brien deserves the electric chair." However, Ritchie exposes the danger of this reasoning, as it leads the court to punish not a proven crime, but hatred toward a person, which contradicts the principles of a rule-of-law state. The most powerful and striking part of the story is its unexpected ending. Throughout the narrative, the reader perceives Coleman as a defender of justice, and his pressure on Watson appears to be motivated by the common good. Yet the final sentence reveals everything: "After all, Duke O'Brien had given me ten thousand dollars simply to make sure that this time, too, there would be no conviction." This revelation forces a complete reinterpretation of the entire story. Every action that previously seemed logical and just now appears tainted by self-interest. This is one of Jack Ritchie's strongest artistic techniques, as it serves not to deceive the reader, but to expose the reader's own trustfulness.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Jack Ritchie transforms the detective genre from a tool for exposing crime into a field for testing human conscience. This story about jury members fully demonstrates the author's style and reveals how fragile and delicate the concept of justice truly is. The most terrifying crime depicted in the work is not murder, but the conscious betrayal of oneself—the selling of one's conscience for personal gain. Ritchie leaves the reader alone with a question that offers no definitive answer, yet lingers long in the mind: "If I had been in that jury room, what decision would I have made?" It is precisely this question that defines the power, relevance, and significance of Jack Ritchie's work in modern literature.

REFERENCES

1. Leacock, S. (1916). *Essays and literary studies*. J. J. Little & Ives Company New York, U. S. A.,
2. Pattee, F. L. (1922). *Sidelights on American literature*. Chapter 1. The age of O. Henry. The Century CO. New York.
3. Smith, C. Alphonso. (1925). *O. Henry Biography*. Garden City, N. Y. and Toronto. Doubleday, Page & Company.
4. Vorzimmer J. (2022) *THE BEST OF MANHUNT*. Jack Ritchie stories. STARK HOUSE PRESS, New York.

5. Саидов А.Х. (2018) Энг сара Америка хикоялари. Ғ.Ғулом номидаги нашриётг матбаа ижодий уйи.160-164.
6. Utkirovna, N. S. (2025). BADIY TARJIMANING KELIB CHIQISH OMILLARI HAMDA AMERIKA HIKOYACHILIGINING O 'ZBEK TILIGA TARJIMA QILINISHI TARAQQIYOTI. JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, MODERN VIEWS AND INNOVATIONS, 1(4), 195-203.
7. Utkirovna, N. S. (2025). THE SPECIFIC STYLE OF US PORTER'S CREATIVITY AND ITS RECREATION IN TRANSLATION. JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, MODERN VIEWS AND INNOVATIONS, 1(3), 105-109.
8. Utkirovna, N. S. (2024). The Intersection of Irony and Social Commentary in O. Henry's Short Stories. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION, 4(10), 178-183.
9. Utkirovna, N. S. (2024). Unveiling the Artistry of O. Henry: An in-Depth Analysis of Irony, Plot Twists, and Narrative Techniques in His Short Stories. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION, 4(9), 92-95.
10. Utkirovna, N. S., & Sultonovna, U. O. (2023). Artistic functions of humor in o. Henry's stories. Boletín de Literatura Oral-The Literary Journal, 10(1), 3934-3938.
11. Utkirovna, N. S. (2023). O. Genri Hikoyalarida Milliy-Madaniy Qarashlarning Aks Etishi. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 4(6), 255-264.
12. Pirmanovna, N. G., & Utkirovna, N. S. (2023). The Stylistic Analysis of the Short Story "The Last Leaf" By O. Henry. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 4(4), 146-149.
13. Nasridinova, S. U. (2024). PECULIAR FEATURES OF AMERICAN STORYTELLING. American Journal of Public Diplomacy and International Studies, 2(6), 306-309.
14. Utkirovna, N. S. (2024). AMERIKA HIKOYANAVISLIGINING O 'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. XALQARO ILMIY-AMALIY KONFERENSIYALAR, 1(1), 294-296.
15. Nasridinova, S. U. (2025). TEACHING METHODS FOR DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS: PSYCHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL FEATURES OF LANGUAGE LEARNERS. Modern Scientific Research International Scientific Journal, 3(3), 102-108.
16. Nasridinova, S. U. (2024). THE ROLES OF GAMES IN LANGUAGE LEARNING: AN ENGAGING APPROACH TO LANGUAGE LEARNING. American Journal of Modern World Sciences, 1(6), 67-74.
17. Utkirovna, N. S. (2024). Teaching English through Short Stories: An Engaging Approach to Language Learning. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION, 4(11), 119-125.
18. <https://n.ziyouz.com/portal-haqida/xarita/jahon-nasri-onlayn-antologiyasi/jek-ritchi-1922-1983-aqsh>.