



Article

Assessment of Indicators for Improving Marketing Efficiency in Regions

Aminova Maksuda Saminovna*¹

1. Acting Professor, Department of Business and Management, Urgench State University named after Abu Rayhan Beruni, PhD, Associate Professor

* Correspondence: maqsuda.a@urdu.uz

Abstract: This article examines the necessity of evaluating indicators for improving marketing efficiency in regions, as well as the existing opportunities, priority aspects, levels, and significance of this process. In addition, conclusions and recommendations regarding the assessment of marketing efficiency improvement indicators in regions are presented.

Keywords: Region, Marketing, Efficiency, Marketing Efficiency, Indicator, Assessment.

Citation: Saminovna A. M. Assessment of Indicators for Improving Marketing Efficiency in Regions. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(5), 116-119.

Received: 10th Feb 2026

Revised: 15th Mar 2026

Accepted: 25th Apr 2026

Published: 05th May 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

In the conditions of a modern market economy, where competition is steadily intensifying, one of the main tasks facing enterprises and regions is to improve marketing efficiency. Marketing activities are not limited to selling products; rather, they involve studying the market, identifying customer needs, and satisfying them in order to ensure sustainable economic growth. In this regard, marketing plays a crucial role in enhancing the competitiveness of regional economies. This is because promoting goods and services produced in regions in domestic and foreign markets, attracting investments, and increasing tourism attractiveness all depend on marketing efficiency. Therefore, evaluating the effectiveness of marketing activities and developing mechanisms to improve them is a pressing issue [1].

Research has been conducted on evaluating indicators for improving marketing efficiency in regions. In particular, some studies note that assessing marketing efficiency in enterprise activities is a complex task. This is mainly due to the difficulty of measuring the impact of services provided to consumers through marketing departments and the lack of clearly measurable outcomes of the created value [2]. Other studies examine the theoretical aspects of determining and evaluating marketing efficiency, including approaches and proposed methodologies. Another line of research is devoted to modeling and assessing the marketing potential of a region, proposing specific approaches and possible application scenarios [3].

2. Research Methodology

Further studies indicate that applying methodological approaches allows for comparative evaluation of the implementation of marketing strategies in these areas. More specifically, conclusions and recommendations have been developed to improve the

efficiency of resource utilization in enhancing the attractiveness for potential investors and workforce, as well as to address the issue of increasing tourist flows [4]. According to other research, the structure of communicative efficiency as a component of marketing efficiency has been analyzed, including cognitive, emotional, and behavioral indicators [5]. Additionally, some sources state that efficiency indicators represent quantitative measures used to assess the performance of executive bodies in joint-stock companies with state participation, limited liability companies, and state-owned enterprises [6].

Indeed, marketing efficiency reflects the degree to which implemented marketing measures influence social and economic outcomes. This indicator is used to ensure efficient use of resources, increase market share, and strengthen competitiveness. Accordingly, at the regional level, marketing efficiency contributes to increasing investment inflows, developing exports, and boosting the number of tourists.

The following indicators are commonly used to evaluate marketing efficiency:

- Economic indicators: sales volume, profit level, and profitability;
- Market indicators: market share, number of customers, and brand awareness;
- Digital marketing indicators: website traffic, conversion rate, and engagement with advertisements or links [7];
- Social indicators: customer satisfaction, feedback, and brand trust.

In addition, marketing efficiency is assessed using the following methods:

- Comparative analysis: based on comparing planned and actual results and analyzing them over time;
- Index method: involves aggregating multiple indicators for comprehensive evaluation [8];
- Econometric analysis: reflects the relationship between marketing costs and outcomes, including regression models;
- Return on investment analysis: measures the return on funds invested in marketing [9].

At the same time, marketing in regions is implemented in the following directions:

- Investment marketing: aimed at attracting investors;
- Tourism marketing: focused on forming a regional tourism brand;
- Industrial marketing: promotes locally produced goods [10].

3. Results and Discussion

Strategies for improving efficiency are based on both strategic and tactical directions. Strategic directions include segmentation and positioning, brand development, and innovative approaches. Tactical measures include advertising campaigns, public relations activities, promotions, and discounts [11].

In our opinion, the evaluation of indicators for improving marketing efficiency in regions can be explained using the following formulas Table 1.

Table 1. Formulas for Evaluating Marketing Efficiency.

No	Indicator Name	Formula	Description
1	Return on Investment (ROI)	$ROI = \frac{Revenue - Cost}{Cost} * 100 \%$	Shows how much profit is generated from marketing investments
2	Click-Through Rate (CTR)	$CTR = \frac{Clicks}{Impressions} * 100 \%$	Indicates the share of clicks on an advertisement

3	Conversion Rate (CR)	$CR = \frac{Conversions}{Total\ visits} * 100\ %$	Shows how many website visitors are converted into customers
4	Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC)	$CAC = \frac{Marketing\ costs}{Number\ of\ New\ Customers}$	Reflects the cost required to acquire one customer

Based on Table 1, the overall interpretation of the formulas can be analyzed as follows.

Return on Investment (ROI) is one of the most important indicators in evaluating marketing efficiency. It shows how much profit is generated from marketing expenditures. If ROI is high, it means that the marketing strategy is effective. Click-Through Rate (CTR) measures the level of interest in advertisements. This indicator shows the audience's engagement with the advertisement, and the higher the value, the more effective the advertisement is considered [12].

The Conversion Rate reflects the effectiveness of marketing activities. It shows how many website visitors are converted into actual customers. Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC) evaluates the efficiency of marketing expenses. A lower value indicates that the company is acquiring customers at a lower cost.

Together, these indicators allow for a comprehensive assessment of marketing cost efficiency, customer behavior, and advertising effectiveness. At the regional level, the application of these formulas helps to effectively allocate investments, improve marketing strategies, and ensure economic growth.

Marketing efficiency indicators play an important role in this process. Systematic analysis of these indicators enables further development of marketing activities in regions. The necessity of improving marketing efficiency is explained by factors such as efficient use of resources, adaptation to customer demand, ensuring competitiveness, attracting investments, and adapting to the digital economy [13].

Therefore, improving marketing efficiency is of strategic importance for every enterprise and region, requiring scientific management and continuous improvement.

Improving marketing efficiency has become an important condition for economic development in regions today. Marketing activities play a key role in increasing the investment attractiveness of regions, effectively promoting local products and services to markets, and accelerating economic growth. These indicators allow for a comprehensive evaluation of marketing costs, advertising campaign effectiveness, and customer behavior [14].

Digital technologies play an important role in improving regional marketing efficiency. Through internet platforms, social networks, and digital advertising tools, it is possible to segment target audiences precisely and achieve high results with lower costs, thereby increasing the overall competitiveness of regions.

In addition, marketing efficiency is evaluated not only through economic indicators but also through qualitative indicators such as customer satisfaction, brand trust, and market share. This approach enables a more comprehensive and scientific management of marketing processes.

Thus, correctly assessing marketing efficiency indicators and systematically analyzing them is crucial for economic growth, attracting investments, and ensuring sustainable regional development. Therefore, continuous improvement of marketing

strategies and the implementation of modern digital approaches are essential requirements [15].

4. Conclusions

Evaluating marketing efficiency indicators in regions is an important direction of the modern economy. An effective evaluation system improves marketing strategies, ensures efficient use of resources, and increases economic outcomes. Therefore, marketing efficiency should be managed on a scientific basis using modern methods.

As a result, improving marketing efficiency leads to regional economic development, job creation, and increased investment inflows. However, multi-indicator models and integrated indices are used in evaluating marketing efficiency, which allows for determining overall performance. Marketing efficiency evaluation requires a systematic and comprehensive approach, covering both social and economic indicators. In this regard, effective marketing increases regional competitiveness and ensures economic growth.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. K. Boyjigitov, "Theoretical foundations and practical implementation of evaluating marketing activity effectiveness," *Journal of Marketing, Business and Management*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 45, Mar. 2022.
- [2] F. I. Umidova, "Methodology for determining and evaluating marketing efficiency in enterprises," *Economics and Innovative Technologies*, no. 3, Art. no. 00053, p. 259, May–Jun. 2021.
- [3] E. A. Nazarova, "Modeling and assessment of the marketing potential of the region," *Economic Sciences*, no. 10 (203), p. 155, 2021.
- [4] R. V. Kolesov, G. A. Babadzhanian, and V. S. Nevidina, "Evaluation of the effectiveness of regional marketing strategies using the example of Nizhny Novgorod and Yaroslavl regions," *Practical Marketing*, no. 11 (329), p. 7, 2024.
- [5] E. Akhmetyanova, D. Lyasanov, and I. Eremina, *Methods for Evaluating the Effectiveness of Marketing Activities, Analysis and Improvement*. Moldova: LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2021, p. 10.
- [6] "KPI system," Available: <https://kpi.davaktiv.uz/site/uz/kpi>.
- [7] P. Kotler and K. L. Keller, *Marketing Management*, 15th ed. Pearson Education, 2016.
- [8] J. E. McCarthy and W. D. Perreault, *Basic Marketing: A Managerial Approach*. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2002.
- [9] R. S. Kaplan and D. P. Norton, *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action*. Boston, MA, USA: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.
- [10] D. A. Aaker, *Strategic Market Management*. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 2010.
- [11] P. Doyle, *Marketing Management and Strategy*. Pearson Education, 2002.
- [12] J. C. Narver and S. F. Slater, "The effect of a market orientation on business profitability," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 20–35, 1990.
- [13] K. E. Clancy and R. S. Shulman, *The Marketing Revolution*. HarperBusiness, 1991.
- [14] H. Mintzberg, *The Rise and Fall of Strategic Planning*. Free Press, 1994.
- [15] M. E. Porter, *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. Free Press, 1985.