




Sustainability of Accelerated Pace of Development Under Financial and Other Challenging Constraints

Dr. Kukoyi Olajumoke Temitope 

Dean, School of Art and Industrial Design, Auchi Polytechnic, Auchi Edo State – Nigeria

jumokekukoyi@yahoo.com

Submitted: 02-Jan, 2026

Accepted: 15-Feb, 2026

Published: 23-Apr, 2026

Vol. 3, No. 1, 2026. Sociometrics.us

Sociometrics: Journal of Social
Measurement and Analysis

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and
Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This
work is licensed under the Creative
Commons Attribution International
License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

The sustainability of an accelerated pace of development has become a critical concern in the contemporary global economy, where nations strive for rapid growth amid financial, environmental, and structural constraints. Accelerated development refers to the intensified expansion of economic, social, and infrastructural systems within a short timeframe. While such progress can enhance productivity, employment, and national competitiveness, sustaining this momentum requires careful management of limited resources and external economic pressures. Global economic fluctuations, including financial crises, inflationary trends, trade disruptions, and shifts in capital flows, significantly affect the continuity of rapid development by constraining investment capacity and increasing fiscal vulnerabilities. Rapid development often exerts pressure on natural, human, and institutional resources, creating imbalances that may undermine long-term sustainability. Resource depletion, rising debt burdens, inadequate infrastructure, and policy instability can slow developmental gains if not properly addressed. The sustainability of accelerated development is influenced by factors such as effective governance, macroeconomic stability, access to finance, human capital development, environmental stewardship, and institutional resilience. Technological innovation plays a pivotal role in overcoming financial and structural constraints. Advancements in digitalization, automation, renewable energy, and efficient production systems enhance productivity while optimizing resource utilization. Innovation-driven strategies improve cost-efficiency, expand market access, and strengthen adaptive capacity in times of economic uncertainty. Therefore, sustaining accelerated development requires a balanced integration of sound economic policies, resource management, and technology-driven solutions to ensure long-term, inclusive, and resilient growth.

Keywords: Sustainability, Accelerated Development, Financial Constraints, Global Economic Fluctuations, Technological Innovation.

Introduction

The quest for accelerated development has become a defining ambition of contemporary societies seeking economic growth, social transformation, and global competitiveness. Governments, institutions, and organizations strive to expand infrastructure, improve technological capacity, reduce poverty, and enhance human welfare within increasingly compressed timeframes. However, sustaining such rapid progress under financial and other challenging constraints presents a complex dilemma. Development that proceeds at high speed without structural stability risks becoming fragile, uneven, and unsustainable. Thus, the critical issue is not merely achieving rapid advancement, but ensuring that acceleration is balanced with resilience, inclusivity, and long-term viability. Sustainability in development discourse gained global prominence following the 1987 report of the World Commission on Environment and Development, commonly known as the Brundtland Commission, which defined sustainable development as meeting “the needs of the present without

compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.” This foundational definition underscores intergenerational responsibility and resource prudence [1]. When development is accelerated under financial constraints such as budget deficits, debt pressures, and limited fiscal space the tension between immediate progress and long-term stability becomes particularly evident. The challenge lies in maintaining growth trajectories without eroding economic foundations or social cohesion. Economic theory has long emphasized the importance of resource allocation and efficiency in sustaining growth. Adam Smith observed that “the annual produce of the land and labour of the society” constitutes the real wealth of nations, highlighting productivity as the cornerstone of development [2], [3]. Yet productivity itself depends on sound institutions and strategic investment. Where financial constraints restrict capital formation, infrastructure expansion, or social spending, accelerated development may strain public finances and generate macroeconomic instability. Fiscal imprudence can result in inflation, currency depreciation, or debt crises that ultimately reverse development gains.

Beyond financial limitations, structural and institutional constraints significantly shape the sustainability of rapid development. Douglass North argued that “institutions are the rules of the game in a society,” emphasizing their central role in economic performance. Weak governance systems, corruption, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and policy inconsistency can undermine even well-funded development initiatives. Sustainable acceleration requires transparent budgeting processes, accountability mechanisms, and coherent policy frameworks that align short-term objectives with long-term strategies [4]. Without institutional integrity, rapid expansion risks fragmentation and resource mismanagement. Philosophically, the notion of balancing speed with stability echoes Aristotle’s concept of the “golden mean,” which advocates moderation between extremes. Excessive haste in development, particularly when driven by political pressures or global competition, may sacrifice quality, environmental safeguards, or social equity. Conversely, excessive caution may hinder innovation and opportunity. The sustainability of accelerated development thus lies in navigating this delicate equilibrium pursuing progress vigorously while preserving structural soundness and ethical responsibility.

Human development perspectives further deepen this discussion [5], [6]. Amartya Sen contends that development should be understood as the expansion of substantive freedoms, not merely economic growth. Under financial constraints, prioritizing human capabilities education, healthcare, and social protection ensures that acceleration enhances well-being rather than exacerbating inequality. Investment in human capital strengthens productivity, innovation, and adaptability, creating a virtuous cycle that supports sustainable growth even in resource-limited contexts. Environmental considerations also intersect with financial and structural challenges. The urgency of infrastructure expansion and industrialization may intensify ecological degradation if safeguards are neglected [7]. Scholars such as Herman Daly caution that economic systems operate within ecological limits, and ignoring these limits undermines long-term prosperity. Sustainable accelerated development must therefore integrate environmental stewardship, renewable energy adoption, and climate resilience into fiscal and strategic planning frameworks. In addition, globalization introduces both opportunities and vulnerabilities. Access to foreign investment, trade networks, and technological innovation can stimulate rapid growth, yet global market volatility and geopolitical instability may constrain domestic policy space. Adaptive governance, diversified economies, and strategic partnerships become essential tools for maintaining development momentum under external pressures. sustainability of accelerated development under financial and other challenging constraints demands a multidimensional approach that harmonizes fiscal discipline, institutional strength, human capability expansion, and environmental responsibility. It requires strategic foresight, ethical governance, and prudent resource management to ensure that speed does not compromise stability [8], [9], [10]. As societies pursue ambitious development agendas, the ultimate measure of success lies not in the rapidity of expansion alone, but in the endurance, inclusiveness, and resilience of the progress achieved.

Overview of Sustainability Of Accelerated Pace Of Development

The sustainability of an accelerated pace of development under financial and other challenging constraints represents a central concern in contemporary economic and social discourse. In an era characterized by rapid globalization, technological innovation, and competitive statecraft, nations and institutions are compelled to pursue swift developmental progress. However, acceleration in growth must be reconciled with fiscal realities, institutional capacity, social equity, and environmental limits. Sustainability, therefore, becomes not merely an environmental concept but a comprehensive framework that ensures continuity, resilience, and intergenerational balance in development efforts [11]. The intellectual foundation of sustainability is often linked to the work of the United Nations, particularly through global development agendas that emphasize balanced growth. Sustainable development implies that economic expansion must coexist with social inclusion and ecological protection. As Gro Harlem Brundtland famously noted, sustainable development is about meeting present needs without undermining future capacities. When development is accelerated under financial constraints such as limited public revenue, heavy debt obligations, or restricted access to capital markets the pressure to deliver immediate results may conflict with long-term fiscal prudence. Financial constraints significantly shape the trajectory of accelerated development [12], [13]. Limited budgets compel governments to prioritize certain sectors over others, often creating trade-offs between infrastructure expansion, social welfare programs, and environmental initiatives. The economist John Maynard Keynes observed that “the difficulty lies not so much in developing new ideas as in escaping from old ones,” suggesting that innovative fiscal strategies are required when conventional funding sources are insufficient. Strategic public-private partnerships, diversified taxation systems, and prudent borrowing practices are mechanisms through which financial limitations can be navigated without sacrificing sustainability.

Institutional capacity is equally decisive [14], [15]. Development acceleration depends on efficient governance, transparent policy frameworks, and effective regulatory systems. Max Weber emphasized the importance of rational-legal authority and bureaucratic efficiency in sustaining organized progress. Weak institutions, corruption, and policy inconsistency can dissipate scarce resources and stall development initiatives. Thus, sustainability under constraint demands not only funding but also robust administrative systems capable of optimizing resource allocation and ensuring accountability. Human capital development forms another critical pillar. Accelerated growth that neglects education, health, and skill acquisition may generate short-term economic expansion without durable productivity gains [16]. The human development perspective advanced by Martha Nussbaum underscores that development should enhance human capabilities and dignity. Investment in people strengthens innovation, resilience, and adaptability qualities essential for sustaining growth amid financial and structural challenges.

Environmental stewardship further complicates the sustainability equation. Rapid industrialization and infrastructural expansion can strain natural ecosystems, especially when regulatory safeguards are weak. Rachel Carson warned in *Silent Spring* that unchecked technological progress could produce irreversible ecological harm. Sustainable accelerated development must integrate renewable energy adoption, climate adaptation strategies, and efficient resource management to avoid long-term environmental degradation that undermines economic stability [17].

Global interdependence introduces additional complexities. Access to international markets and foreign investment can catalyze rapid development, yet exposure to global volatility also heightens vulnerability. Diversification of economic structures and adaptive policymaking become essential strategies for sustaining momentum in uncertain external environments. In essence, the sustainability of accelerated development under financial and other challenging constraints requires a multidimensional synthesis of fiscal discipline, institutional strength, human capital investment, and environmental responsibility. It is a delicate balancing act between urgency and prudence, ambition and accountability. Sustainable acceleration is not defined solely by the speed of progress but by the durability and inclusiveness of its outcomes. By aligning economic objectives with ethical governance

and ecological awareness, societies can transform constraints into catalysts for innovation and resilience.

Methodology

Impact of Global Economic Fluctuations on Development Pace

Global economic fluctuations significantly influence the pace and sustainability of development across nations. In an increasingly interconnected world, national economies are deeply integrated through trade, investment flows, financial markets, and technological exchange. As a result, economic instability in one region can rapidly transmit shocks across borders, affecting growth trajectories, fiscal stability, and social welfare elsewhere. The pace of development particularly in emerging and developing economies is therefore highly sensitive to global economic cycles, including recessions, inflationary pressures, commodity price volatility, and financial crises. One of the most visible channels through which global fluctuations affect development is trade. Countries that rely heavily on exports often experience rapid growth during global economic booms. However, during downturns, declining demand for goods and services leads to reduced revenues, factory closures, and job losses. The 2008 global financial crisis demonstrated how swiftly contraction in major economies could slow development worldwide. As Joseph Stiglitz noted, “globalization means that we are all connected, and the failures in one part of the system reverberate elsewhere.” This interconnectedness accelerates both prosperity and vulnerability. Financial markets constitute another critical mechanism. Sudden capital outflows, currency depreciation, or rising global interest rates can destabilize domestic economies. Developing countries often depend on foreign direct investment and external borrowing to finance infrastructure and social programs. When global investors retreat due to uncertainty, development projects may stall. Hyman Minsky argued that financial systems are inherently prone to cycles of boom and bust, warning that periods of stability often breed excessive risk-taking that culminates in crisis. Such crises disrupt development momentum by eroding fiscal space and increasing public debt burdens.

Commodity-dependent economies are particularly vulnerable to price volatility. Fluctuations in oil, minerals, or agricultural prices directly affect government revenue and foreign exchange earnings. During price surges, governments may accelerate development spending; yet when prices collapse, fiscal deficits emerge, forcing austerity measures. This cyclical pattern undermines consistent planning and long-term sustainability. Diversification of economic structures thus becomes essential for stabilizing development pace amid global uncertainties. Inflationary pressures and exchange rate instability further complicate development efforts. Rising global energy and food prices increase the cost of living and production, reducing purchasing power and heightening social tensions. In such contexts, policymakers face difficult trade-offs between stabilizing prices and sustaining growth. The economist Milton Friedman famously asserted that “inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon,” emphasizing the need for disciplined monetary policy to manage economic volatility. However, strict monetary tightening during global downturns may also suppress domestic investment and slow development.

Beyond economics, global fluctuations have social and political implications. Rising unemployment, inequality, and poverty resulting from external shocks can weaken public confidence in institutions and hinder reform agendas. As Karl Polanyi observed in *The Great Transformation*, societies often react to market instability with protective responses that reshape political and economic systems. Such adjustments may either strengthen resilience or create additional constraints on development. global economic fluctuations exert profound and multidimensional impacts on development pace. Through trade disruptions, financial instability, commodity price volatility, and inflationary pressures, external shocks can accelerate or decelerate national progress. Sustainable development strategies therefore require diversification, prudent macroeconomic management,

institutional resilience, and adaptive policy frameworks. By anticipating volatility and building buffers against external shocks, nations can mitigate the adverse effects of global economic fluctuations and maintain steady developmental trajectories.

Result and Discussion

Relationship between Rapid Development and Resource Limitations

The relationship between rapid development and resource limitations is inherently complex and often paradoxical. Rapid development typically involves accelerated economic growth, industrial expansion, infrastructural investment, and technological advancement. While these processes are designed to improve living standards and national productivity, they inevitably exert pressure on available resources financial, natural, human, and institutional. The central challenge lies in managing limited resources in a way that sustains the momentum of growth without precipitating depletion or systemic imbalance.

Natural resources form the most visible constraint on rapid development. Industrialization and urbanization demand increased energy consumption, land use, water supply, and raw materials. When development accelerates without adequate environmental safeguards, ecosystems are strained. The ecological economist Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen argued that economic processes are bound by thermodynamic laws, noting that “the entropy law and the economic process” reveal the finite nature of material resources [18]. His insight underscores the reality that no economy can expand indefinitely without confronting physical limits. Rapid growth that ignores these constraints risks long-term environmental degradation and economic instability.

Financial resources also limit development speed. Public budgets, private capital, and credit availability determine the scale and sustainability of development projects. Overreliance on borrowing to finance rapid expansion may create fiscal stress and debt dependency. Thomas Piketty observed that when capital accumulation outpaces productive capacity, structural imbalances can emerge, potentially widening inequality and destabilizing growth. Thus, resource scarcity in financial terms demands prudent allocation, transparency, and long-term planning to prevent short-term acceleration from resulting in future stagnation [19], [20].

Human resources represent another crucial dimension. Rapid development requires skilled labor, managerial competence, and institutional expertise. However, when educational systems and training infrastructures lag behind growth ambitions, labor shortages or skill mismatches arise. The development theorist Walt Rostow described economic growth as progressing through stages, but such transitions require supportive institutional and human capacity. Without adequate investment in human capital, development may expand in scale but weaken in quality and sustainability [21].

Institutional limitations further complicate the relationship. Weak governance structures, corruption, and bureaucratic inefficiency can squander scarce resources, slowing development despite ambitious objectives. The political economist Elinor Ostrom emphasized that effective management of common resources depends on collective governance frameworks and accountability mechanisms. Rapid development without institutional strength may accelerate resource depletion and social inequality. The philosophical dimension of this relationship reflects a tension between ambition and moderation. The Club of Rome’s influential report, *The Limits to Growth*, associated with Club of Rome, warned that unchecked expansion could outstrip planetary resources [22], [23]. Their modeling suggested that exponential growth in a finite system inevitably encounters boundaries. This perspective reinforces the need to balance developmental urgency with sustainability principles.

The relationship between rapid development and resource limitations is characterized by interdependence and constraint. Accelerated progress demands resources, yet those resources are

inherently finite and unevenly distributed. Sustainable development requires strategic prioritization, innovation, efficient resource management, and institutional resilience. Rather than viewing limitations solely as obstacles, policymakers can treat them as catalysts for efficiency, technological advancement, and responsible governance. By aligning growth strategies with resource realities, societies can pursue development that is both dynamic and enduring [24].

Factors affecting Sustainability of Accelerated Pace Development

Institutional and governance structures play a decisive role in determining whether development can be sustained over time, especially when pursued at an accelerated pace. While financial resources and economic strategies are critical, the durability of development largely depends on the strength, transparency, and effectiveness of institutions that design, implement, and regulate policy. Weak governance systems can undermine even well-funded initiatives, causing inefficiencies, corruption, and policy discontinuity that slow or reverse development progress.

Institutions provide the framework within which economic and social activities occur. The political philosopher Francis Fukuyama defines institutions as stable, valued, and recurring patterns of behavior that structure political and economic life. Where institutions lack capacity or credibility, development initiatives become fragmented and unsustainable [25]. Rapid development often places additional pressure on bureaucratic systems, requiring swift decision-making, efficient public service delivery, and robust monitoring mechanisms. In the absence of administrative competence, accelerated projects may suffer from mismanagement and poor implementation.

Corruption represents one of the most persistent governance challenges affecting sustainability. When public officials divert funds or manipulate procurement processes, scarce resources are wasted, and public trust is eroded. The economist Susan Rose-Ackerman argues that corruption distorts public policy and weakens institutional legitimacy, ultimately undermining long-term development goals [26]. Sustainable development requires transparency, rule of law, and accountability mechanisms that deter misuse of authority and ensure equitable resource allocation.

Policy inconsistency and political instability further complicate development sustainability. Rapid development often demands long-term strategic planning; however, frequent changes in leadership or shifting political priorities can disrupt continuity. The sociologist Talcott Parsons emphasized that social systems require stability and normative integration to function effectively. When governance structures are unstable, investors lose confidence, projects stall, and economic momentum slows. Sustainable development therefore depends on institutional continuity and coherent policy direction [27], [28]. Another critical challenge lies in weak regulatory frameworks. Development acceleration frequently involves expansion in infrastructure, industrial activity, and technological adoption. Without effective regulation, these expansions may generate environmental degradation, labor exploitation, or financial instability. The legal scholar Lon L. Fuller contended that the “inner morality of law” depends on clarity, consistency, and fairness. Regulatory systems that lack these qualities create uncertainty, discouraging investment and weakening sustainable growth.

Decentralization and coordination problems also affect governance effectiveness. In many developing contexts, overlapping responsibilities among agencies produce inefficiencies and duplication of effort. Institutional fragmentation slows decision-making processes and complicates project execution. Furthermore, limited data systems and weak monitoring frameworks hinder evidence-based policymaking, reducing adaptability in the face of emerging challenges. Public participation and civic engagement are equally vital to sustainability. Development that excludes community input risks social resistance and inequitable outcomes. Strong institutions foster inclusive governance by enabling citizen oversight and participatory planning [29]. When citizens perceive governance as transparent and responsive, social cohesion strengthens, creating a supportive environment for long-term development.

Institutional and governance challenges significantly shape the sustainability of development pace. Corruption, policy inconsistency, weak regulation, administrative inefficiency, and limited civic engagement can erode the gains of accelerated growth. Sustainable development requires resilient institutions grounded in accountability, transparency, rule of law, and strategic continuity. By strengthening governance frameworks and enhancing institutional capacity, societies can ensure that rapid development translates into enduring progress rather than temporary advancement.

Roles of Technological Innovation in Overcoming Constraints in the Sustainability of Accelerated Development

Technological innovation has emerged as a pivotal strategy for sustaining an accelerated pace of development, particularly in contexts constrained by financial, institutional, and human resource limitations. The modern global economy is increasingly knowledge-driven, with productivity, competitiveness, and growth heavily influenced by technological adoption [27]. By leveraging innovation, societies can optimize scarce resources, improve efficiency, and address structural bottlenecks, thereby ensuring that rapid development is both feasible and sustainable. One of the key contributions of technological innovation lies in enhancing resource efficiency. Limited financial and material resources often restrict the scale and speed of development initiatives. Through the application of advanced technologies, such as automation, artificial intelligence, and data analytics, governments and organizations can achieve more with less. For instance, predictive maintenance in infrastructure reduces repair costs, while smart energy grids optimize power distribution. As Joseph Schumpeter observed, innovation is the “creative destruction” process through which old methods are replaced by more efficient and productive systems, generating growth and mitigating resource scarcity. Human capital constraints, another critical challenge, can also be alleviated through technology. Digital learning platforms, online vocational training, and remote collaboration tools enhance the capacity of workforces without necessitating proportional increases in expenditure. By enabling knowledge transfer and skill development at scale, technology transforms human resource limitations into opportunities for accelerated development. The philosopher John Dewey emphasized the link between education, practical knowledge, and societal progress, noting that “education is not preparation for life; education is life itself.” Digital innovation operationalizes this principle, allowing for continuous capacity building in development contexts [30]. Technological solutions also promote better governance and institutional efficiency, which are essential for sustainable acceleration. E-governance platforms, digital budgeting systems, and real-time monitoring tools increase transparency, reduce corruption, and improve decision-making. The political scientist Francis Fukuyama asserts that “strong institutions are the foundation of sustained economic growth,” and technology can fortify these institutions by providing accountability and streamlining bureaucratic processes. Moreover, technological innovation supports environmental sustainability, which is critical for long-term development. Resource-intensive growth patterns risk depleting ecosystems, yet green technologies such as renewable energy, water recycling systems, and precision agriculture allow accelerated development to coexist with ecological conservation. The ecological economist Herman Daly highlights that economic systems operate within environmental limits, emphasizing that innovation is necessary to reconcile rapid growth with ecological stewardship. Finally, global connectivity facilitated by technology enables access to external knowledge, capital, and markets. Information and communication technologies (ICTs) bridge geographical and financial barriers, allowing developing economies to participate in global value chains, attract foreign investment, and implement best practices [31]. This access mitigates domestic constraints and accelerates development without imposing unsustainable burdens on local resources. Technological innovation serves as a critical enabler for sustaining accelerated development under financial, human, and institutional constraints. By optimizing resource use, enhancing human capital, strengthening governance, protecting the environment, and connecting economies to global opportunities, technology transforms limitations into drivers of progress. As societies pursue ambitious development

trajectories, investment in innovation is not merely advantageous it is essential for ensuring that rapid growth remains resilient, inclusive, and sustainable.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the sustainability of an accelerated pace of development under financial and other challenging constraints demands a strategic and balanced approach to growth. While rapid development can stimulate economic expansion, improve infrastructure, and enhance social welfare, its long-term viability depends on the ability to manage financial limitations, resource pressures, and external economic shocks. Global economic fluctuations—such as inflation, recession, exchange rate volatility, and shifting trade dynamics—significantly influence the continuity of development efforts by affecting investment flows, government revenue, and overall macroeconomic stability. The relationship between rapid development and resource limitations further underscores the need for prudent management. Overreliance on finite natural resources, inadequate human capital investment, and weak institutional frameworks can undermine development gains if sustainability principles are overlooked. Key factors affecting sustainable accelerated development include sound governance, fiscal discipline, policy consistency, environmental responsibility, and strong institutional capacity. Technological innovation remains central to overcoming these constraints. Through digital transformation, renewable energy adoption, automation, and improved production efficiency, technology enhances productivity while reducing resource wastage and financial strain. Therefore, sustaining accelerated development requires integrated policies that combine economic resilience, responsible resource management, and continuous technological advancement to ensure inclusive, stable, and long-term progress.

Recommendations

To ensure the sustainability of an accelerated pace of development under financial and other challenging constraints, policymakers should adopt integrated and evidence-based strategies aligned with global development frameworks such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Governments should embed sustainability principles into national planning processes, ensuring that rapid development efforts are supported by fiscal discipline, inclusive policies, and environmental safeguards. To mitigate the impact of global economic fluctuations on development pace, countries should strengthen macroeconomic resilience through economic diversification, improved domestic revenue mobilization, and prudent debt management strategies. Enhancing regional trade integration and building foreign exchange buffers can reduce vulnerability to external shocks. Given the close relationship between rapid development and resource limitations, governments should promote sustainable resource management by investing in renewable energy, climate adaptation strategies, and efficient public infrastructure. The adoption of green growth policies, as emphasized by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, can balance economic expansion with environmental sustainability. Furthermore, technological innovation must be prioritized to overcome financial and structural constraints. Investments in digital transformation, research and development, and smart infrastructure enhance productivity while lowering long-term costs. The World Economic Forum highlights digitalization and green technologies as essential drivers of resilient growth.

References

- [1] D. Acemoglu and J. A. Robinson, *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. New York: Crown Publishing, 2012.
- [2] Aristotle, *Nicomachean Ethics*, W. D. Ross, Trans. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- [3] K. J. Arrow, *Essays in the Theory of Risk-Bearing*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1971.
- [4] R. Carson, *Silent Spring*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1962.
- [5] H. E. Daly, *Beyond Growth: The Economics of Sustainable Development*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1996.
- [6] J. Dewey, *Democracy and Education*. New York: Macmillan, 1916.
- [7] M. Friedman, *Inflation: Causes and Consequences*. Asia Publishing House, 1963.
- [8] F. Fukuyama, *Political Order and Political Decay*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2014.
- [9] L. L. Fuller, *The Morality of Law*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1964.
- [10] N. Georgescu-Roegen, *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1971.
- [11] M. S. Grindle, "Good enough governance: Poverty reduction and reform in developing countries," *Governance*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 525–548, 2004.
- [12] International Monetary Fund, *World Economic Outlook Report*. 2023.
- [13] J. M. Keynes, *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*. London: Macmillan, 1936.
- [14] P. Krugman, *The Return of Depression Economics and the Crisis of 2008*. New York: W.W. Norton, 2009.
- [15] A. Leftwich, *States of Development: On the Primacy of Politics in Development*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2000.
- [16] D. H. Meadows, D. L. Meadows, J. Randers and W. W. Behrens, *The Limits to Growth*. New York: Universe Books, 1972.
- [17] H. P. Minsky, *Stabilizing an Unstable Economy*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1986.
- [18] D. C. North, *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [19] M. Nussbaum, *Creating Capabilities: The Human Development Approach*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011.
- [20] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Green Growth and Sustainable Development Indicators*. Paris: OECD, 2023.
- [21] E. Ostrom, *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [22] T. Parsons, *The Social System*. New York: Free Press, 1951.
- [23] T. Piketty, *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2014.
- [24] K. Polanyi, *The Great Transformation*. New York: Farrar & Rinehart, 1944.
- [25] M. E. Porter and M. R. Kramer, "Creating shared value," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 89, no. 1–2, pp. 62–77, 2011.
- [26] C. M. Reinhart and K. S. Rogoff, *This Time Is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [27] D. Rodrik, *One Economics, Many Recipes: Globalization, Institutions, and Economic Growth*.

- [28] P. M. Romer, "Endogenous technological change," *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 98, no. 5, pp. S71–S102, 1990.
- [29] S. Rose-Ackerman, *Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [30] W. W. Rostow, *The Stages of Economic Growth: A Non-Communist Manifesto*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- [31] J. D. Sachs, *The Age of Sustainable Development*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2015.