


# Hermeneutical Approach to History Teaching in the Context of Educational Reforms and Digital Transformation in Uzbekistan

Amangeldi Saimbetov<sup>1</sup> Risgul Karamanova<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>1,2</sup>Academic Lyceum of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Submitted: 28-February, 2026

Accepted: 17-March, 2026

Published: 17-April, 2026

Vol. 3, No. 1, 2026. Sociometrics.us

Journal of History, Modernity, and Society

**\*Corresponding author:**

Jurayeva Nafisa Olimovna  
[jurayevanafisa0@gmail.com](mailto:jurayevanafisa0@gmail.com)

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

The ongoing modernization of secondary education in Uzbekistan has intensified the need for pedagogical approaches that move beyond information transmission toward the development of students' interpretive competence and critical thinking. In history education, this challenge is particularly acute due to the growing plurality of historical narratives and the shift toward competency-based learning. The present study addresses this issue by examining the pedagogical potential of the hermeneutical approach in school history teaching. Using a quasi-experimental design, the research compared the learning outcomes of secondary school students taught through hermeneutical and dialogical strategies with those receiving conventional instruction. Data were collected through structured assessments of historical understanding and critical thinking and analyzed using descriptive statistics and independent samples t-tests. The findings indicate that the implementation of hermeneutical teaching strategies significantly improves students' ability to interpret historical sources, engage in multi-perspective reasoning, and demonstrate higher levels of critical thinking. Classroom observations further revealed increased dialogical engagement and more active meaning-making among students in the experimental group. The results confirm the effectiveness of the hermeneutical approach as a learner-centered methodological framework in history education. It is recommended that history curricula and teacher professional development programs in Uzbekistan incorporate structured dialogical practices and interpretive source work to support the goals of contemporary competency-based education.

**Keywords:** hermeneutical approach; history education; historical understanding; critical thinking; dialogical learning; competency-based education; secondary school students.

## Introduction

Bukhara The current state and future development of school history education, particularly its ideological and worldview dimensions, constitute one of the most pressing issues in contemporary educational discourse. In many countries undergoing educational transformation, the quality of history teaching is increasingly viewed as a key factor in shaping students' critical thinking, civic consciousness, and cultural identity. In Uzbekistan, ongoing reforms aimed at modernizing the national education system have significantly reshaped curricular priorities, pedagogical strategies, and expected learning outcomes in general secondary education.[1]

Recent policy initiatives emphasize competency-based learning, content variability, and the development of students' independent and analytical thinking. Within this reform context, the improvement of methodological foundations for teaching history in schools has become an urgent pedagogical task. Scholars in history education increasingly argue that effective teaching must go beyond the transmission of factual knowledge and instead cultivate students' ability to interpret historical processes and engage in meaningful historical reasoning.[2]

Despite these reforms, a significant methodological gap remains in school history education. Variability of content, although widely promoted, is often implemented at the level of curriculum diversification without sufficient attention to interpretive pedagogy. In many classroom practices, history teaching still tends to prioritize information reproduction over deep understanding and critical interpretation — a tendency widely noted in international research on history education.[3]

At the same time, the transition from a single, ideologically fixed interpretation of the past toward pluralistic historical narratives has created new challenges for teachers and curriculum developers in Uzbekistan. From a philosophical perspective, understanding history necessarily involves interpretation, since historical knowledge is inherently hermeneutical in nature. Additional complexity arises from the growing importance of ethically evaluating historical actors and events, as well as from the ongoing shift toward learner-centered and competency-based paradigms.

The expansion of curricular content — including new concepts, sources, and alternative interpretations — further increases the demand for more sophisticated interpretive tools in history education. However, the potential of pedagogical hermeneutics as a methodological framework for addressing these challenges remains insufficiently theorized and systematically applied in the context of Uzbek school education.[4]

In response to the identified methodological gaps, this study conceptualizes the hermeneutical approach as a productive methodological foundation for improving school history teaching in Uzbekistan. Building on the theoretical premises of pedagogical hermeneutics — which emphasizes understanding, interpretation, and dialogical engagement with texts — the study argues that history education should be reoriented from the mere transmission of ready-made knowledge toward the development of students' interpretive competence.

The hermeneutical approach is particularly relevant in the context of competency-based education, where the priority shifts from memorizing historical facts to constructing historically grounded meanings and reasoned judgments. Contemporary research in history education

similarly stresses that students' historical thinking develops most effectively when they actively work with sources, compare interpretations, and engage in reflective inquiry. However, in the Uzbek school context, these interpretive dimensions remain insufficiently systematized at the methodological level.[5]

Accordingly, the purpose of this article is threefold. First, it analyzes the methodological potential of the hermeneutical approach for school history education. Second, it examines the relevance of hermeneutical principles to the current trajectory of educational reforms in Uzbekistan. Third, it proposes conceptual directions for integrating hermeneutical strategies into classroom history teaching.

By addressing these objectives, the study seeks to contribute to the modernization of history pedagogy in Uzbekistan and to enrich the broader scholarly discussion on interpretive learning within the humanities. It is argued that the systematic incorporation of hermeneutical practices into school history education can enhance students' historical understanding, critical reasoning, and value-oriented reflection, thereby aligning history teaching with the goals of contemporary competency-based education.

## Literature Review

The application of the hermeneutical approach to history education has attracted increasing scholarly attention in recent decades, particularly in the context of the global shift from transmission-based to interpretive models of learning. Contemporary research in history education consistently demonstrates that students' meaningful engagement with the past depends not merely on the reproduction of factual knowledge but on their ability to interpret historical sources and construct evidence-based explanations. Wineburg showed that authentic historical thinking develops through sourcing, contextualization, and corroboration, while Seixas and Morton emphasized that interpretive engagement with historical evidence is central to the formation of historical consciousness.[6]

The philosophical foundations of this interpretive turn lie in the tradition of philosophical hermeneutics. Gadamer conceptualized understanding as a dialogical process structured by the hermeneutical circle, in which meaning emerges through the interaction between the interpreter's prior horizon and the historical text. Similarly, Ricoeur highlighted the narrative mediation of historical knowledge, arguing that historical meaning is constructed through interpretive acts rather than passively discovered. These theoretical perspectives provide a strong epistemological basis for reconsidering the methodological foundations of school history teaching.

Empirical studies in history education further support the pedagogical value of interpretive approaches. Research by Lee and VanSledright demonstrates that inquiry-oriented history instruction enhances students' engagement, depth of understanding, and ability to reason about the past. Moreover, Habermas's theory of communicative action underscores that meaningful understanding involves critical reflection on meaning structures, thereby reinforcing the relevance of dialogical and interpretive pedagogies in the humanities classroom. Despite these advances in international scholarship, classroom practice in many reforming educational systems, including Uzbekistan, continues to exhibit strong elements of the information-transmission model.[7]

From a pedagogical perspective, the effectiveness of the hermeneutical approach can be <https://sociometrics.us/index.php/jhms>

explained through several interrelated mechanisms. First, hermeneutical pedagogy activates students' cognitive engagement by requiring them to work with ambiguity, multiple perspectives, and incomplete evidence, which stimulates higher-order historical thinking. Second, the approach fosters dialogical learning in which understanding develops through interaction between the learner, the historical source, and the socio-cultural context. As noted by Gadamer such dialogical interpretation expands the learner's horizon of understanding. Third, systematic work with historical sources supports the development of value-oriented reflection, an essential component of competency-based education aimed at fostering civic consciousness and cultural identity.

Taken together, these mechanisms suggest that the integration of hermeneutical strategies into history teaching should enhance students' historical understanding. Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: The implementation of the hermeneutical approach in school history teaching positively affects students' historical understanding.[8]

In addition to historical understanding, a growing body of research links interpretive history instruction with the development of students' critical thinking. Wineburg noted that historical reasoning requires learners to question sources and evaluate competing narratives, while VanSledright demonstrated that inquiry-based history classrooms produce higher levels of analytical reasoning. Exposure to multiple interpretations encourages perspective-taking and evidentiary reasoning, both of which are core components of critical thinking.

The influence of the hermeneutical approach on critical thinking operates through several pathways. Interpretive work with historical sources requires students to justify claims using textual evidence, exposure to competing narratives develops their capacity for perspective analysis, and classroom dialogue promotes reflective judgment regarding the credibility and implications of historical accounts. These epistemic conditions are consistent with Habermas's view that understanding is grounded in communicative rationality and critical reflection.

Accordingly, the hermeneutical approach creates favorable conditions for the development of students' critical thinking in history education. Based on this reasoning, the following hypothesis is advanced:

H2: The use of hermeneutical teaching strategies in history classes positively influences students' critical thinking skills.[9]

## **Research Methodology**

This study employs a systematic methodological framework designed to examine the pedagogical effectiveness of the hermeneutical approach in school history education. In line with contemporary educational research standards, the methodology is understood not merely as a set of research methods but as a logically grounded strategy that explains why specific methods are appropriate for addressing the research problem and testing the proposed hypotheses.

The primary aim of the study is to determine whether the integration of hermeneutical teaching strategies contributes to the development of students' historical understanding and critical thinking. Given the explanatory nature of the research questions and the hypothesis-testing orientation of the study, a quantitative research design with complementary qualitative elements

was considered the most appropriate methodological choice. This design allows for the measurement of relationships between instructional approach and learning outcomes while also capturing the interpretive depth characteristic of humanities education.[10]

The research was conducted in general secondary schools in Uzbekistan. The target population consisted of upper secondary school students studying national and world history courses. A stratified sampling strategy was employed to ensure representation across schools with different instructional contexts. The final sample included students divided into experimental and control groups. The experimental group was taught using hermeneutical instructional strategies (source interpretation, dialogical discussion, multi-perspective analysis), whereas the control group received conventional information-transmission-based instruction.

Data collection relied on multiple instruments aligned with the study variables. Students' historical understanding was measured through a structured assessment task requiring source analysis, contextualization, and interpretive explanation. Critical thinking skills were assessed using a standardized rubric focusing on evidentiary reasoning, perspective evaluation, and argument justification. In addition, classroom observation protocols were used to verify the fidelity of the instructional intervention.[11]

The collected data were analyzed using statistical techniques appropriate for hypothesis testing in educational research. Descriptive statistics were first calculated to examine the overall distribution of scores. Subsequently, inferential analysis, including independent samples t-tests and correlation analysis, was employed to determine whether statistically significant differences existed between the experimental and control groups and to evaluate the relationships between the hermeneutical approach and the outcome variables. These methods were selected because they allow for robust comparison of group means and provide empirical evidence for evaluating the proposed hypotheses.

The choice of this methodological design is justified by several considerations. First, the competency-based reform context in Uzbekistan requires empirically grounded evidence of pedagogical effectiveness. Second, the hermeneutical approach, although interpretive in nature, produces measurable learning outcomes when operationalized through structured classroom practices. Third, the combination of controlled instructional comparison and statistical analysis ensures both internal validity and practical relevance of the findings.[12]

The adopted methodology provides a coherent and scientifically grounded framework for examining the educational potential of the hermeneutical approach in school history teaching and for generating evidence-based recommendations for the modernization of history pedagogy in Uzbekistan.

## Results

The analysis of the instructional intervention revealed measurable differences between the experimental group exposed to hermeneutical teaching strategies and the control group receiving conventional instruction. Descriptive statistics indicated that students in the experimental group demonstrated higher mean scores in both historical understanding and critical thinking assessments.

Specifically, the experimental group showed improved performance in tasks requiring source interpretation, contextual reasoning, and multi-perspective analysis. Students more frequently demonstrated the ability to connect prior knowledge with new historical material, suggesting activation of what hermeneutical theory defines as pre-understanding. Classroom observation data further confirmed that learners in the experimental condition engaged more actively in dialogical discussion and interpretive reasoning.

Inferential statistical analysis supported these observations. Independent samples t-test results revealed a statistically significant difference between the experimental and control groups in historical understanding scores ( $p < .05$ ). A similar significant difference was observed in measures of critical thinking ( $p < .05$ ). These findings provide empirical support for the positive instructional impact of hermeneutical teaching strategies.[13]

In addition to quantitative gains, several qualitative tendencies were observed. Students exposed to the hermeneutical approach more frequently demonstrated openness to alternative interpretations and showed reduced reliance on single-authority answers. Evidence from classroom interactions indicated that learners increasingly treated historical texts as objects of interpretation rather than as fixed repositories of facts.

The data also revealed an important trend related to the role of tradition and pre-understanding. Students entered lessons with varying degrees of informal historical familiarity derived from films, digital media, museum visits, and prior schooling experiences. In the experimental group, these preliminary cognitive frameworks were more effectively activated and refined during instruction, consistent with Gadamer's concept of the hermeneutical circle.

No major unexpected negative effects were observed. However, moderate variability within the experimental group suggests that the effectiveness of the hermeneutical approach may depend on teacher facilitation quality and students' initial interpretive readiness.

Overall, the empirical findings support the proposed hypotheses. The implementation of hermeneutical teaching strategies was associated with significantly higher levels of historical understanding and critical thinking among secondary school students.[14]

## Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that integrating hermeneutical strategies into school history teaching is associated with stronger student outcomes in historical understanding and critical thinking. These results align with the broader scholarship arguing that history learning becomes meaningful when students engage in interpretation of sources, contextual reasoning, and evaluation of competing narratives rather than relying on information reproduction. In the Uzbek school context—where competency-based reforms increasingly emphasize analytical and independent thinking—such outcomes are particularly relevant because they demonstrate that interpretive pedagogy can operationalize reform goals through concrete classroom practices.

A key contribution of the present results is the empirical support they provide for the role of dialogue as a central mechanism of hermeneutical learning. The intervention's emphasis on dialogical (and polylogical) interaction between students, teacher, and historical texts appears to have shifted the classroom from a transmission-oriented model toward an interpretive learning

environment. This supports the hermeneutical premise that meaning is generated through interaction, not merely received from authoritative narratives. From this perspective, dialogue is not an auxiliary technique but the very condition through which historical understanding becomes possible. The observed tendency of students to treat historical sources as objects of interpretation, rather than fixed repositories of facts, corresponds to the “fusion of horizons” logic, where learners’ initial perspectives are revised through engagement with alternative meanings.

The results also help explain why hermeneutical teaching may foster critical thinking. Interpretive work with sources requires students to justify claims with evidence, recognize value-laden interpretations, and compare multiple viewpoints—abilities widely recognized as components of historical and critical reasoning. In addition, Habermas’s view that meaningful expressions can be understood as both observable events and interpretable meanings offers a useful theoretical lens for interpreting the results: classroom dialogue encourages students to move beyond surface-level “events” toward reflective engagement with meaning structures. Thus, the approach supports not only cognitive outcomes but also reflective judgment, which is central to competency-based education.

An important contextual implication concerns the role of communicative competence. The findings and classroom evidence suggest that hermeneutical effectiveness depends on students’ ability to articulate interpretations and participate in structured discussion. This supports the argument that insufficient communicative competence can constrain the impact of any pedagogical approach, especially one that relies on dialogue and meaning negotiation. In practical terms, the proposed use of student-generated glossaries and structured language scaffolds may strengthen learners’ capacity to reach communicative goals, overcome communication difficulties, and develop interpretive speech practices. These classroom tools can be interpreted as enabling conditions for dialogical instruction in history, particularly in multilingual and reform-driven educational settings such as Uzbekistan.

The results further contribute to the ongoing methodological debate about whether hermeneutics should be treated as a “dominant” approach in history teaching. The evidence supports a balanced interpretation: hermeneutics is most effective when integrated alongside cognitive and inquiry-based strategies rather than positioned as an exclusive method. This corresponds to international literature emphasizing that historical understanding develops through complementary practices—source work, inquiry, discussion, and argumentation—within coherent lesson designs. In this sense, the study reinforces the view that hermeneutical pedagogy serves as a methodological core that structures meaning-making, while inquiry-based techniques provide operational classroom procedures.

From an applied standpoint, the findings carry implications for history teachers and curriculum developers in Uzbekistan. First, they suggest that the modernization of history education should prioritize not only content variability but also interpretive pedagogy, ensuring that curricular plurality is matched by classroom practices that cultivate students’ interpretive competence. Second, teacher professional development may need to emphasize facilitation skills for dialogical learning—questioning techniques, moderation of multi-perspective discussions, and scaffolding of evidence-based argumentation. Third, assessment practices should be aligned with interpretive outcomes by including tasks that evaluate sourcing, contextualization, and

argumentative justification, rather than relying solely on factual recall.

Several limitations should be noted. The study's outcomes may depend on teacher facilitation quality and the fidelity of the hermeneutical intervention, suggesting potential variability across classrooms. In addition, the measurement of interpretive learning in humanities education remains methodologically challenging; although rubrics and structured tasks were used to operationalize historical understanding and critical thinking, future research may benefit from triangulating results through student interviews, discourse analysis, and longitudinal assessment. Finally, because the sample was limited to selected schools, generalization to all Uzbek school contexts should be made cautiously.

Future studies could extend this research in three directions. First, researchers may examine the long-term effects of hermeneutical instruction on students' historical consciousness and civic identity formation. Second, comparative studies across regions and language-of-instruction settings in Uzbekistan could explore how communicative competence and classroom discourse norms mediate hermeneutical effectiveness. Third, experimental designs incorporating teacher training interventions could clarify which facilitation competencies most strongly predict student gains in interpretation and critical reasoning.

Overall, the present findings support the conclusion that hermeneutical, dialogical history teaching provides a promising pathway for aligning school history education in Uzbekistan with competency-based reform goals. By transforming history lessons into interpretive spaces where students construct meaning through dialogue with texts, peers, and the teacher, hermeneutical pedagogy strengthens historical understanding, critical thinking, and reflective engagement with the past.[15]

## Conclusion

The modernization of school history education in Uzbekistan has intensified the need for pedagogical approaches capable of developing students' interpretive competence, critical thinking, and value-oriented historical consciousness. Within the context of competency-based reforms and the growing plurality of historical narratives, traditional information-transmission models of instruction are increasingly insufficient for achieving these goals. This study addressed this gap by examining the methodological and pedagogical potential of the hermeneutical approach in school history teaching. The findings of the research demonstrate that the integration of hermeneutical strategies—particularly dialogical interaction, multi-perspective source analysis, and the activation of students' pre-understanding—contributes positively to students' historical understanding and critical thinking. The results empirically support the view advanced in the literature that meaningful history learning emerges through interpretation rather than passive reception of historical facts. Importantly, the study shows that when classroom dialogue becomes the central organizing principle, the content of history education itself begins to evolve dynamically through feedback between teacher, students, and historical material.

From a theoretical perspective, the study confirms the productivity of the hermeneutical circle as a model for organizing history instruction. The dialogically generated content not only shapes students' understanding but is simultaneously reshaped through their interpretive engagement, creating a reflexive educational environment. In this sense, the hermeneutical model

demonstrates greater adaptability than purely transmissive or formally dialogical approaches and functions as a genuinely learner-centered framework for history education. At the same time, the study recognizes that the hermeneutical method is not universal and should not be treated as a standalone solution. Rather, its greatest pedagogical value emerges when it operates alongside cognitive, inquiry-based, and practice-oriented methods of developing historical consciousness. Within appropriately designed instructional situations, however, the hermeneutical approach enables students to construct a coherent system of historical coordinates and to identify a meaningful interpretive standpoint from which they can critically evaluate historical narratives and representations.

The implementation of hermeneutical pedagogy in school history teaching leads to deeper engagement with historical content, increased student interest and activity, and the formation of personally meaningful attitudes toward the subject. In the longer term, such outcomes contribute to the development of students' worldview and value orientations, which remain central goals of contemporary humanities education. The results of this study therefore support the inclusion of hermeneutical strategies in the methodological toolkit of history teachers and provide evidence-based directions for the further modernization of history education in Uzbekistan.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. Ankersmit, *Meaning, Truth, and Reference in Historical Representation*. Ithaca, NY, USA: Cornell University Press, 2012.
- [2] K. C. Barton and L. S. Levstik, *Teaching History for the Common Good*. Mahwah, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2004.
- [3] O. F. Bollnow, *Studies in Existential Philosophy*. Evanston, IL, USA: Northwestern University Press, 1989.
- [4] R. G. Collingwood, *The Idea of History*. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [5] W. Dilthey, *Selected Works, Vol. IV: Hermeneutics and the Study of History*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [6] H.-G. Gadamer, *Truth and Method*, 2nd rev. ed. London, U.K.: Continuum, 2004.
- [7] J. Habermas, *The Theory of Communicative Action*, vol. 1. Boston, MA, USA: Beacon Press, 1984.
- [8] M. Heidegger, *Being and Time*. New York, NY, USA: Harper & Row, 1962.
- [9] K. Jaspers, *The Origin and Goal of History*. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press, 1953.
- [10] P. Lee, "Putting principles into practice: Understanding history," in *How Students Learn: History in the Classroom*, M. S. Donovan and J. D. Bransford, Eds. Washington, DC, USA: National Academies Press, 2005, pp. 31–77.
- [11] L. S. Levstik and K. C. Barton, *Doing History: Investigating with Children in Elementary and Middle Schools*, 5th ed. New York, NY, USA: Routledge, 2015.
- [12] P. Ricoeur, *Time and Narrative*, vol. 1. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago Press, 1984.
- [13] J. Rüsen, "Historical consciousness: Narrative structure, moral function, and ontogenetic development," in *Theorizing Historical Consciousness*, P. Seixas, Ed. Toronto, ON, Canada: University of Toronto Press, 2004, pp. 63–85.

- 
- [14] P. Seixas, Ed., *Theorizing Historical Consciousness*. Toronto, ON, Canada: University of Toronto Press, 2004.
- [15] P. Seixas and T. Morton, *The Big Six Historical Thinking Concepts*. Toronto, ON, Canada: Nelson Education, 2013.