American Journal of Biodiversity
https://biojournals.us/index.php/AJB
ISSN: 2997-3600

Integration of Life Sciences and Biotechnological Techniques in Plant Protection and Environmental Pollutant Management

Maryam Ibrahim Shakir
University of Dyala College of science Department of Biology
Israa Noori Farman Samin
College of science Department of biotechnology University of Baghdad
Huda Muhammad Ail Abed Madi
Kerbala University College of Agriculture Department of Plant protection
Alia Hassan Hadi Karo
University of Babylon College of Science Department of Life Sciences

Received: 2025 01, Oct
Accepted: 2025 10, Oct
Published: 2025 20, Oct

Annotation: Environmental health encompasses the protection of air, water, soil, food, climate, and ecosystems to safeguard public welfare and ecosystem functions. Contaminated environmental components undermine food, beverage, and recreational safety, pose health hazards for humans and animals via diverse exposure routes, threaten biodiversity and ecosystem services, and harm sustainable resource investment and recovery. Pollutants are classified by source (agricultural, domestic, industrial, and environmental), environmental phase (gaseous, liquid, solid), and impact (toxicity, bioaccumulation, persistence). Pollutant management directly intersects with biotechnological tools for increasing environmental resilience, stress tolerance, contamination resistance, and treatment remediation. This integration advances resource use without compromising environmental health while enabling sustainable agricultural practice adoption, guiding research along specified and coordinated pathways. Convergence among life sciences, biotechnology, ecology, and policy promotes seamless introduction, dissemination, and adoption of integrated practices. Development trajectories prioritizing one discipline while neglecting others lead to incomplete adoption, ineffective solutions, and unacceptable risks. Stakeholder expectation for science-led outreach further emphasizes this need. Detailed options for stakeholder engagement and dissemination are addressed through integrated sustainable-agriculture strategies, ensuring wide cross-disciplinary relevance.

Keywords: trajectorie, life sciences, biotechnology, ecology

INTRODUCTION

Foundations and Scope of Plant Protection and Environmental Health

Plant protection and environmental health strive to preserve biodiversity and sustainability. Biopesticides and biodegradables represent two key approaches to minimizing synthetic pesticide and agrochemical exposure, respectively. The training of alternative biopesticide sources within a biopesticides formulation subject illustrates this theme by guiding students toward the discovery of bioactive—yet safe and unpatented—compounds [1]. These newly postulated agents supply opportunities for pest or pathogen control while avoiding excessive reliance on existing registered biopesticides. The development of novel microbial formulations that tap into low-cost, renewable growth substrates and available wastes further complements this effort [2]. Biodegradable compounds also contribute towards environmental health by supplementing conventional agriculturals in ways that reduce detrimental impacts—acknowledged by the international community as necessitating urgent remediation.

Environmental pollutants refer to undesirable foreign chemical substances that concur in nature as a consequence of anthropogenic activities or natural calamities.

Pollutants continuously expose ecosystems to unacceptable risks while jeopardizing the health and quality of life of affected inhabitants. These threats have been recognized as high-priority environmental issues and even as ongoing global catastrophes. People have consistently echoed concerns about bioaccumulation since the β-HCH discovery in the early 1990s. The absence of comprehensive understanding of the impacts of avid pollutants on microbially persistable ecosystems perpetuates such dire outlook on national and international health and, in particular, on the worldwide bio-crescendo.

Environmental pollutants broadly classify into four domains according to source or emission type: waste/pesticide refusal, manufacturing process, rendering discharges, and hazardous products. These substances inevitably impede the pace of novel investigations towards efficient facilities and implementable regulations. The significance of waste-pesticide refusals originates from widespread incidence driven by long-standing, excessive hazard involved is universally acknowledged. Manufacturing discharges loom large due to serious hazards or risks stemming from chemical outflows, rendering difficult emergency treatment while routinely facilitated hazardous safety management exemplifies an internationally acceptable response system. Hazardous products, conversely, hardly target specific strains or isolates—an aspect scarcely addressed by extant legislation—and remain unpredictable for the near future.

1.1. Overview of Plant Protection Principles

The principal aim of plant protection is to satisfy the growing demand for food, while simultaneously safeguarding the environment and promoting human health. Maintaining the capacity of agricultural ecosystems to continue supplying safe and nutritious food relies on reducing pollution. Pollutant management therefore constitutes a complementary driver for adopting integrated pest and pathogen strategies.

Plant protection is essential in addressing significant economic threats to crop production that arise from various pests, diseases, and invasive species that continuously threaten agricultural ecosystems worldwide. To achieve effective and sustainable crop production, it is crucial to implement comprehensive and confidential measures designed to monitor, diagnose, and model the multiple threats posed by these pollutants. These pollutants not only reduce overall production levels but also initiate toxic pathways and disrupt underground communities that comply with the fundamental functions of key soil ecosystems. Such disruptions critically impede the ecosystem's ability to furnish adequate food supplies under the pressures of fluctuating biomes or changing climate conditions. In this context, managing plant protection becomes increasingly vital for the resilience and sustainability of our agricultural systems. [3][4][5]

1.2. Environmental Pollutants: Types, Sources, and Impacts

Environmental pollution is defined as the presence of toxic chemicals, pathogens, and introduced organisms in an environment, including air, soil, sediment, water, and biota. Pollutants originating from anthropogenic activities enter the biosphere through three major routes: the atmosphere, aquatic systems (rivers, lakes, and oceans), and soil. The atmospheric route is the dominant pathway for trace metals, particulate matter, and organics, whereas the aquatic route prevails for nutrients, metalloids, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), and microplastics, with soil being the main pathway for pesticides [6]. Contaminants sourced from atmospheric, aquatic, and terrestrial systems pose threats to ecosystems and agriculture and are detected in crop products and often in undisturbed sample sites. The scale of biopollution (living organisms) caused by introduced species is even larger than that of chemical pollution. Remediation of a wide spectrum of these contaminants has been studied and remains an ongoing pursuit [7].

Pollution leads to environmental and human health problems, while poverty and food insecurity are exacerbated and social well-being diminishes [8]. Pollutants compromise the safety of the air, soil, and water needed for sustainable agricultural production, while various foodborne toxins—such as chemical contaminants, harmful microorganisms, and toxic plants—pose serious hazards to human health. Pollution affects crop resilience against biotic and abiotic stresses and has direct, indirect, secondary, and cumulative ecological effects. Cleaner production and sustainable waste management offer options for pollution control, yet additional economic and political measures may still be required.

1.3. Interdisciplinary Interfaces: Life Sciences, Biotech, and Ecology

Genetics, plant physiology, ecology, legislation, and policy each provide valuable insights to inform integrated strategies for plant protection and management of environmental pollutants [9]. Understanding genetic traits and regulation lays the groundwork for selection and breeding; knowledge of physiology helps identify how crops respond to external signals and adapt to biotic and abiotic stressors; and ecological considerations identify opportunities for biocontrol, remediation, system resilience, and long-term sustainability. These approaches require consideration of socioeconomic factors, governance frameworks, stakeholder capabilities, and the cycle from discovery to deployment and adoption. Pesticide reduction strategies informed by ecological knowledge of pest control and habitat management demonstrate the policy implications of these complementary disciplines.

A broad array of biotechnology tools and approaches are available to combat pests and pathogens while promoting ecosystem health and sustainability. These technologies are translated into increased resilience at the field level, enabling plants to cope with diverse environmental challenges, including hazardous pollutants.

2. Biotechnological Tools in Plant Protection

The integration of biotechnological tools into crop protection can augment management strategies that mitigate the adverse effects of agricultural production. Certain pest and pathogen management practices can cause environmental pollution, thus improving resilience to these pests and pathogens is necessary. Resilience to both environmental pollutants and key agricultural pests and pathogens are fundamental for capacity building in the agricultural sector, thereby enabling the provisioning of safe, wholesome, and nutritious food to vulnerable populations exposed to high rates of hunger and malnutrition. Controlling pest and pathogen exposure serves not only as a prerequisite for the establishment of effective agricultural practices but also contributes to food security, plant health, ecosystem integrity, and human health. Plant protection biotechnology venues with the potential for safer and greener application systems include the development of (1) genetic-engineering and gene-editing tools, (2) RNA interference and biocontrol strategies, and (3) biopesticides. Plant protection biotechnologies have the potential to prevent agricultural pollution and remain compatible with the regulatory environment, thus paving the way for further integration into safe and sustainable innovative practices. Safe and effective deployment systems integrating biotechnological agents comprise (1) delivery systems matching the formulation and application system, (2) biological-control compatibility establishing a strong nexus between plant-protection agents and agricultural practices, (3) monitoring and diagnostics ensuring timely information, and the establishment of (4) coherent systems underpinning the deployment of biotechnological solutions underpinned by sufficient surveillance. [10][11][12]

2.1. Genetic Engineering and Gene Editing for Pest Resistance

Insect pests threaten crops and vectors of pathogens continue to challenge food security, human health, and economic viability. Crop plants can be drenched with pesticides or immunized against the damage these organisms cause. Gene targeting approaches have been used to engineering biotic disease resistance into casava, cacao, cotton, papaya, pineapple, and potato, which has resulting in reductions in agricultural pesticide application. Plant transformation and genome editing are used as approaches to engineer resistance. Gene insertion targeting biopesticides that are safer to mammals and non-target organisms is being conducted. B. thuringiensis genes that produce crystal protein toxin is a key solution and widely used in cotton and maize. Additional targets for editing or insertion include provide pulverized proteins that inhibit saliva digestion, grit accumulation or reproduction, salivary proteins that trigger phagosytosis or cell disruption, toxins that induce systemic signals, and fibrous root engineered to withstand root-feeding insects.

The major forms of deployment involve: (1) expression of proteins that impact feeding or digestion, (2) ability of the pest to consume a vehicle that provided lethal agents, (3) insect viral VshrI in pathogen that engage or disrupt insect spanin and associated fungicides, and (4) MG enabling prodution and establishment of plant cell-free satellite RNA agent.skill to read and convert all stages of RNA into cDNA different delivery systems are available and actively deployed.

Plant transformation, gene insertion and genome approaches have been used to engineer viral disease resistance into cassava, cacao, cotton, papaya, pineapple, and potato.

Such resistance is accomplished by the expression of a biocontrol gene or small RNA product targeting the virus. The WHP use of virus-inducible gene switches for molecular suitcase sprayers that spread freely in one crop type and are sequestered on double-stranded RNA maps enforces clearance of cacteriation and climate out of the crop system.

Pathogen resistance in the context of biopesticide development and inclusion in a biopesticide formulation are the focus. Targets include viruses, viroids, fungi, and bacteria. An impact assessment considers the safety and efficacy of new technologies for emerging diseases or new diets and their regulation or protection from. Viroid editors are another a focus area.

2.2. RNAi and Biocontrol Strategies

RNA interference (RNAi) effectively targets pests, pathogens, and herbivores through sequence-specific double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) that silences essential genes and proteins. RNAi-induced mortality depends on the target gene functions [13]. Attaching dsRNA to the plant's outer coating may improve plant protection. Effective delivery poses an obstacle for implementing RNAi as a biocontrol strategy. A wide range of non-transgenic delivery methods, e.g., trunk injection, irrigation, and foliar spraying, can be coupled with dsRNA or short interfering RNA (siRNA). Topical applications of RNA on plants provide temporal target specificity; compounds such as amber, clay, and TiO2 and stabilizing surfactants, e.g., Moringa oleifera, help constrain and deliver the RNA to targeted sites. Monitoring early life stages helps predict crop infestation. RA-RNA systematic monitoring can refine and guide the formulation of integrated pest or pathogen management strategies [14].

2.3. CRISPR-based Approaches for Disease Management

Since the early 2000s, the agricultural sector has started using the CRISPR/Cas-9 genome-editing technology to develop crops that are resistant to major diseases. Many early studies centred on biotic stress, but most of today's research is targeting abiotic stress because of the serious consequences of climate change. The new economic trend for major crops and the characteristics of herbicides that are applied to control the major pests of these crops were also taken into account before the technology was applied to crop enhancement [15].

Using disease resistance as an entry point, a variety of editing strategies that alter the host factors involved in the interactions between plants and pathogens have been combined to reduce the negative side effects as much as possible during the implementation of CRISPR/Cas-9 technology in the open field. CRISPR/Cas-9 genome-editing technology is an effective strategy to enhance crop resistance [16]. Agricultural production is seriously threatened by plant diseases and viruses. An estimated 20% to 80% of harvests are lost all over the globe because of these problems, resulting in huge economic losses in agriculture because of the need to use extensive amounts of fungicides and pesticides. Crop enhancement strategies based on CRISPR/Cas-9 technology can not only meet the increasing food demands of a growing population but can also satisfy the requirements of green food production [17].

2.4. Biopesticides: Microbial and Botanical Solutions

Microbial and botanical products are classified as biopesticides in integrated pest and pathogen management. Microbial biopesticides comprise firstly active pathogens or beneficial organisms with secondary effects on pests or diseases [18]. Secondly, bacterial and fungal biopesticides consist of active metabolites like toxins, enzyme inhibitors, and antibiotics that block the contact, ingestion, or colonisation phases of pest interaction [19]. General biopesticides like fatty acid- and oil-based formulations restrict pest populations while botanical extracts regulate pests and pathogens [20].

Safety assessments consider the mammalian toxicity of microbial and botanical products, secondary exposure in mixed formulations, and compatibility with chemicals in tank mixtures. Stress definition for biological control agents indicates sustained control without inducing resistance and remains consistent for biopesticides. Contemporary agrochemical-based systems do not influence bacterial, fungal, or botanical biopesticides when applied consequently or in sequence.

Biopesticides and classical biological control strategies improve sustainability integrated pest and pathogen management without niche occupation; the two methods together enhance the overall ecological approach as initial tactical inputs for the strategy.

3. Integrated Pest and Pathogen Management

Plant protection and the management of environmental pollutants—particularly the mitigation of excessive concentrations of agrochemicals and pollutants—are closely linked objectives [21]. Protection of crops, ecosystems, and human health from pests, pathogens, and other abiotic agents drives the quest for reduced reliance on agricultural chemicals and integrated pollutant-management strategies [22]. Interface selection has been accordingly informed by the imperative to address major environmental contaminants released during agricultural production and the need to develop strategies for identification and remediation.

Integrated Pest and Pathogen Management addresses the identification of threats and the selection of intervention strategies to limit their impact while minimising the risk of resistance and non-target action. Related references include Monitoring, Diagnostics, and Early Warning Systems, which outlines the establishment of surveillance systems and the modelling of risks and consequences, and Systems Approaches and Decision-Support Tools, which discusses the formulation of strategies and the integration of spatial and temporal data.

3.1. Systems Approaches and Decision-support Tools

Systems approaches facilitate the analysis of agricultural systems by integrating diverse knowledge and model simulations, thereby enabling structured evaluation, synthesis, and design at multiple scales [23]. Their growing application reflects the increasing need for comprehensive understanding of complex agro-ecosystems, particularly fragile systems where interrelated components must be addressed to create sustainable, innovative solutions. The same reasoning applies to pest management: problems are complex and intertwined, influenced by variable climate, ecology, and socio-economic factors. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) promotes the rational use of control techniques in combination with natural regulatory mechanisms to attain acceptable marketable yields without harmful environmental impact. Pest management in the early days concentrated on single-factor studies that isolated specific pest factors from the broader agricultural system. Advances in understanding the interlinkages among system components and the multi-pest nature of pest problems have resulted in refined management practices and far more successful techniques.

Decision-support tools assist planners in determining and prioritizing action both system-wide and at the crop or production method level, guiding planning towards sustainable agricultural systems. An underlying principle is that quality-assured pest management comprises monitoring, diagnostics, and early warning; monitoring forms the basis for the discovery and evaluation of suitable materials, technologies, and practices for different crops, pest situations, and application systems; and assessment of environmental behaviour, human safety, and compatibility with agronomic systems must accompany these efforts. Different data flows and integration contexts govern the specific way in which agricultural planning emerges from the models underlying the system and the modelling of decision-making processes, which may be exogenous, game-theoretic, or planning-regulation-oriented [24].

3.2. Biological Control Agents and Compatibility with Agrochemicals

The widespread use of agrochemicals to control biotic stresses and enhance crop production can hinder microbial agents' effectiveness. To promote successful integration of biopesticides into sustainable agriculture, guidance is needed on their compatibility with an array of chemicals commonly employed to manage pests and diseases [25]. Competitive rhizobacteria such as Bacillus amyloliquefaciens remain active under field and laboratory conditions, and solid compatibility matrices support selection of appropriate combinations for integrated control strategies [26]. At the same time, oversupply and mismatched application dates for added biocontrol agents raise risks of resistance development. Generalized compatibility indicators for microbially-based solutions empower the agricultural sector to select suitable products and scheduling while conserving chemical application volumes and timing.

3.3. Monitoring, Diagnostics, and Early Warning Systems

Surveillance and monitoring of pest populations, disease prevalence, and environmental conditions are crucial for pest management and pollutant remediation. A wide array of surveillance methods is used to gather on-ground or remote data on environmental conditions—from climate, soil moisture, and humidity to soil properties and atmospheric gas composition—related to pests and pathogens affecting crops [27]. Remote-sensing satellites, drones, and ground-based monitors are among the technologies that have been deployed for this purpose. Specific biomarkers and molecular biology approaches are used for the detection of pests, pathogens, and problems in the environment [28]. Reliable, continuous detection and rapid testing of these targets also allow early warnings.

At the genetic, physiological, and ecological levels, life sciences and biotechnology provide essential knowledge to build decision-support systems for pest management and trace contaminants, predict field outcomes, and link modelling data back to the environment and pollutant elimination. Environmental health assessments integrate agricultural models to project the effects of land management on soil erosion, pollutant runoff, and removal. Such projected effects are vital for policy-makers and farmers to develop effective management strategies. Because of this strong interplay between monitoring and modelling, the integrated framework connects 'Monitoring, Diagnostics, and Early Warning Systems' with the role of 'Omics in Plant-Environment Interactions' and 'Big Data, Modelling, and Predictive Analytics for Management'.

4. Plant Physiology and Stress Resilience

Plants are permanently affixed in their environment and endure a continuous barrage of harmful agents resulting in billion-dollar financial losses. The environment, including temperature, moisture, light, and elemental constitution, provides the foundational condition for successful life, growth, and reproductive activity. Deviation of any of these from the optimum affects plant physiological processes leading to constraints on the defense capacity against biotic as well as abiotic injurious agents. The normal plant growth can be adversely affected by the negative interaction of neighboring species. The interaction generates allelochemicals affecting physiology of the recipient plants. Another manmade, yet serious threat to plant is due to the application of xenobiotic chemicals including; fungicides, herbicides, insecticides, pesticides, etc., employed for their control of persistent biotic hazards. [29][30]

4.1. Mechanisms of Plant-Environment Interactions

Changes in the environment such as temperature, humidity, light, soil nutrient availability, salinity, heavy metal pollution, and other water or soil contaminants can adversely affect plants. Plants regulate their adaptive mechanisms against those changes through networks of signal transduction, transcription factors, and cellular responses usually described as stress perception, signaling, and response patterns [31]. Plant life-sustaining physiological functions such as germination, root/shoot growth, and flowering also represent important parts of plant–environment interactions. The physiological functions of plants and their adaptation to environmental changes are tightly connected to the topic of phytoprotection and the management of environmental contaminants. First, mechanisms of plant–environment interaction can help the understanding of how adverse environmental factors affect crop, ecosystem, and human health. Environmental pollutants also represent adverse environmental factors, so an understanding of their effects on plant physiology will be essential to integrate biotechnological solutions on pollutant reduction into the overall framework of plant protection. Second, the maintenance of life-sustaining functions and the handling of abiotic stresses are important research and breeding targets. An understanding of the interconnection between normal physiological functions, stress adaptation, and abiotic factors provides the foundation upon which biotechnology and breeding approaches to these objectives can be articulated. Environmental contaminants, notably heavy metals, also interfere with fundamental life processes and elicit pollutant-specific signaling responses. So, a coordinated articulation of these two areas is warranted [32].

4.2. Breeding and Biotechnology for Stress Tolerance

Agricultural sustainability requires an approach to crop production that resists the crippling effect of climate and environmental changes. Breeding programmes aim to increase crop productivity by enhancing tolerance to increasing temperatures, abiotic stress such as drought or salinity, and other biotic stresses including diseases and pests. Explorations into gene expression and system biology have revealed the networks regulating the contemporary varieties of desired traits; consequently, these traits can be manipulated separately or stacked together to improve climate resilience [33]. There is a simultaneous demand for reduction in pest and disease damage in crops. Resistance to biotic stresses can therefore be pyramided with abiotic tolerance traits without negative effects on yield.

Conventional breeding techniques such as selection and hybridisation are still of salience, yet molecular techniques permit elaborate fine-tuning of these initiatives. Molecular marker assisted breeding (MMAB) reduces the challenge of phenotyping traits, such as abiotic-tolerance that are expressed under specific environmental conditions. Triggers of the climate change signal are additionally targetable through genome editing while still permitting crop/variety identity schemes—more transparent regulatory approaches exist for this variation alongside less tolerant varieties—as are the biotic-stress resisting mechanisms indispensable to protecting the genetic gain accrued during the green revolution. Two transformation and regeneration dates need to be implemented (or two types of transformation) in many breeding programmes; genome editing utilises biopesticide delivery mechanisms compatible with longer active periods across seasons and enables closed cycles for assembling additional functions. [34][35]

5. Environmental Pollutant Management and Remediation

Detection and risk assessment of environmental pollutants involve decoupled capture and analysis stages operating as a single analytical platform, enabling formalized decision-making based on real-time data [36]. Pollutant detection depends not only on the provision of certified standards but also on the development of well-characterized analytical methods for quantifying residues in soils or crops, assessing whether contaminant levels exceed mandated thresholds and justifying the initiation of action or remediation procedures. Typical thresholds are set to ensure contaminants remain below safe concentrations in agricultural soils, ground or drinking water, and foodstuffs for animals or humans.

Analogous to monitoring, data-generation platforms that identify and interpret risk factors for assessing the safety of environmental pollutants at phyto-, domain-, ecosystem- and agricultural-system scales play a crucial role in providing explanations about pollutants once these have been detected [37]. A wide economic, social and ecological spectrum of agricultural targets under attack and their interaction with plant-sourced and agro-ecosystem-produced environmental pollutants needs to be accommodated in environmental-pollutant-definition strategies. Pollutants entering the environment or target plants are capable of forming linked monitoring and risk-assessment biogeochemical cycles.

5.1. Detection, Monitoring, and Risk Assessment of Pollutants

Evaluation of environmental contamination levels is challenging due to changing patterns of water, soil, and air pollution. In Switzerland, about 50,000 polluted sites are in inventory, with 4,000 posing environmental dangers. Due to limited funding, priorities are set based on pollution exposure and risks. Current regulations often rely on total pollutant concentrations, which can overestimate actual risk because only a fraction of the hazardous substances is bioavailable or accessible to organisms. This is especially true for contaminants with poor solubility or low dissociation constants. Increasing focus is therefore being placed on bioavailability assays that better predict the real exposure of organisms to pollutants. Bioavailability refers to the fraction of a chemical in a system that can cross an organism's cellular membrane at a given time. The concept of bioaccessibility distinguishes the fraction that could potentially cross the membrane if barriers are removed. Physical and biological factors influence these fractions, and organisms can modify bioaccessibility by affecting compound transfer rates. Differentiating between chemically active (bioavailable) and inactive but potentially accessible (bioaccessible) fractions is important for risk assessment, with bioaccessible fractions being more relevant. Model organisms can be used to assess bioaccessibility [38].

5.2. Biotechnological Remediation Techniques

Biotechnological remediation techniques with significant potential for hazardous and persistent waste reduction comprise Microbial, Enzyme and Plant-based approaches, allowing contaminant conversion into harmless or low-hazard compounds. Transgenic techniques and their products are regulated according to sophisticated sound science procedures to ensure environmental safety [39].

Micro-organisms offer versatile means for restoring polluted land; autochthonous strains degrading polychlorinated biphenyls can enhance bioremediation of land contaminated with these compounds. Exploiting natural biodegradation processes, however, entails long treatment times and is often ineffective for highly toxic environments. Delivery of exogenous contaminant-specific micro-organisms, acceptable for food production purposes, poses total-release and off-target transfer risks [37].

Enzymes with bioremediation capabilities are not yet utilized commercially; miniaturisation or immobilisation of the reaction on matrixes such as biochar or alginates significantly shortens treatment time whilst increasing mix longevity. More bioremediation delineation should focus on compatibility and chemically-induced regulations instead of exogenous agent use as improved existing organisms transfer dogma to safely increase threat pressure.

5.3. Phytoremediation and Multispecies Interfaces

Phytoremediation is the use of plants and associated microorganisms to remove contaminants from soils. Certain bacteria can facilitate phytoremediation through the reduction of toxicity and by promoting plant growth. Endophytic bacteria with high resistance to metals, such as selenium and heavy metals, can enhance hyperaccumulator plants' ability to extract contaminants. A bacterial strain capable of reducing As(III) has been shown to complement the arsenic-accumulating species Pteris vittata in arsenic phytoextraction. Genetically engineered bacteria are emerging as additional tools for environmental remediation. Metallo-resistant bacteria associated with the plant species Prosopis juliflora can assist in the decontamination of heavy metal-polluted soils. These strategies exploit plant-bacteria interactions to improve the efficiency of bioremediation [36].

Although phytoremediation has been extensively studied, the investigation of community-level interactions involving plant, microbe, and invertebrate species is still in the early stages. Field observations suggest that multiple species are recruited and exert additional influence on pollutant removal. Understanding the relevant interactions could contribute to more effective bioremediation strategies, as additional species may be need in contaminated systems [40].

6. Sustainable Agricultural Practices and Policy Implications

The integration of biotechnology with sustainable agricultural practices empowers diverse crop protection strategies that mitigate environmental pollution and enhance resilience against pest and pathogen outbreaks. Pesticide reduction hinges on crop-interior biocontrol, landscape modifications, and community participation. These are addressed through genetic engineering, RNA interference, CRISPR, biopesticide development, and integrated pest management. Such initiatives lower pesticide loads, foster biocontrol agent preservation, and minimize non-target risk, meeting in-field and policy objectives.

6.1. Sustainable Pesticide Use and Reduction Strategies

Excessive, unnecessary pesticide use adversely affects human health, non-target organisms, and the environment. To reduce pesticide exposure, application limits based on field situation, pest potential, and targeted crop growth stage are essential [41]. Defining Critical Economic Thresholds enables timely information exchange regarding protection needs [42]. Bundled decisions—Coordinated Protection Systems—consider surrounding fields, changing crop types, or pest approaches. For aerial operations, many farmers must apply pesticides simultaneously. Full agricultural revolution adoption in an area regularly leads to wider pest dispersal before planting. In such cases, aerial application can remain effective with appropriate timing and Crop Growth Stage-based Calibration.

6.2. Regulatory, Ethical, and Societal Considerations

Biotechnological techniques in life sciences have advanced rapidly over the last few decades, with applications for safeguarding crops and other vulnerable resources. Unlocking potential requires establishing regulations and strategic frameworks that promote effective knowledge transfer while ensuring safety, viability, and equity in access [42] [43]. Public acceptance hinges on communication about the balance among technical potential, socio-ecological risks, and dominant institutional settings [44]. Most practical paths forward require selective prioritization of techniques suitable for diverse, low-input, agro-ecological, or organic systems; coupling technical innovations with sustainable, climate-resilient agricultural practices; and actively involving farmers and technical specialists in participatory processes.

7. Technologies for Safe and Effective Deployment

The diverse array of challenges facing food production today, including environmental degradation, loss of biodiversity, soil health decline, and climate change, have made it more difficult to attain food security, safety, and nutrition. This has fueled the development of safe and environmentally sound biotechnological tools for efficient crop protection and remediation of environmental pollutants to support sustainable agricultural practices, an area of research embracing an integrated approach involving breed and biotechnology for pest and pathogen resistance, crop stress tolerance, and pollutant management. Strategies and technologies in these domains need to be deployed safely to mitigate risks to human health, nontarget organisms, and the environment, and to enhance public acceptance. National and international frameworks generally govern the evaluation of risks associated with crop biotechnology and microbial pesticide development, but similar guidelines for delivery systems remain scarce despite their potentially significant impact on the safe and effective deployment of biotechnological agents.

Among the various biotechnological tools and strategies available to address plant protection and environmental pollutant issues and facilitate their practical deployment, genetic engineering (including gene editing and RNAi), biopesticides, and integrated pest and pathogen management constitute a widely applicable suite of approaches. Genetic engineering and RNAi techniques can be harnessed to modify or edit specific plant targets for resistance against diverse pests, pathogens, and contaminants, and delivery challenges for both methods can be alleviated by combining them with environmentally benign biopesticides that offer compatibility with agrochemicals. Mechanisms for conveying these agents to crops include consideration of formulation, stabilisation, and release, and the toolbox integrates with capacity-building models such as monitoring, diagnostics, and early warning systems and systems approaches supported by big data, predictive analytics, and agricultural planning.

7.1. Delivery Systems for Biotechnological Agents

The overarching theme of how biotechnology, applied sciences, and engineering can be integrated to achieve environmental health targets addresses the increasingly-diverse types of chemical contamination aggressively threatening both human health and the environment through ever-more relevant physical and biological sciences. A comprehensive classification of contaminants into four major categories—biological, chemical, physical, and technological—was presented. Chemical contaminants were further subdivided based on their source, their specific chemical properties, and their target of action. The Life Sciences (Biology)—Plant Science—Plant Protection theme focuses on the biotechnological strategies and tools that can be generated to operate directly against these dangerous alterations of the environment, leading to further stress on living organisms, populations, communities, ecosystems, and the environment as a whole. Because of their socio-economical and environmental importance, topical sub-themes were then introduced, and several references on environmental pollutants and their origins have been cited in their progression to develop the Pollution Management strategies expected from all agricultural activities. Each group of pesticides directly influences Living organisms from Plant Species to Humans. The gradual financial progress of Plant relating Plant Protection worldwide directly leads to a growing scientific interest involving Plants, Environment, Society, Evolution, Agriculture and Industry under the supervision of I.R.

Agricultural pest management strategies must combine classical and alternative methods worldwide. Through the Agricultural Revolutions, damaging Agricultural practices conducted by Humans have created unavoidable side effects on life and the environment. Biogeochemical cycles have been severely perturbed worldwide. Many Classes of Environmental Pollution of the Agricultural sector have been mentioned to be addressed by environmentally friendly Improvements of Agronomical Practices and Regulatory Recommendations. Four Grassland sites in Tahiti and Moorea were extensively sampled from 2007 onwards to characterize biodiversity indicators of different land-use intensities and subsequent loss of Biodiversity, from Extinctions at the proximal end of the spectrum. Hazardous pesticide free alternatives preserving Human Health and Plant Genomes such as Fertilizers have been conducted to substitute Polluting Nutrients. A compilation of four unexplored Browsing and Volatilization pathways was proposed to gain insights into the biogeochemical cycle of a Model Compound extensively used in Commercial Agriculture in temperate, tropical and Equatorial areas. Under the supervision of I.R., six classes of Fungicides thoroughly used on Diverse-Planted Cultures have been integrated in an environmental cycle to appreciate how the modelling attempts can relate to Plant Systems Protection. [45][46][47]

7.2. Risk Assessment, Containment, and Public Acceptance

Biological control agents are being developed in the context of integrated pest management strategies, yet pest control measures often focus on a single trait or a single agent applied sequentially. Decision-support tools that provide guidance on the optimal choice for planters and the timing of product applications can effectively address this challenge. Modeling approaches account for the spatio-temporal dynamics of pests and their natural enemies and integrate environmental, economic, and productivity data to better inform management decisions [42]. Approaches based on dynamic Bayesian networks, for instance, handle uncertainty in both model structure and parameter values for more effective regional strategies and to identify the minimum number of measurements needed to state a problem with certitude. Such systems also call for data and additional modeling capabilities to predict the dynamics of the various organisms involved, analyze socio-economic processes, and consider trade-offs among agricultural commodities.

8. Data, Modelling, and Computational Approaches

Emerging technologies provide new insights for sustainable approaches to crop protection and contamination remediation. By combining advanced analyses and modelling with ecological knowledge, systems-based strategies can be devised that address both plant health and environmental safety.

Omics provides a detailed understanding of how plants respond to stressors and environmental agents. Multi-omic techniques—genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics—can elucidate mechanisms of action, target pathways, community interactions, and fate analysis during remediation and decontamination [23]. The information facilitates monitoring, risk assessment, and the informed application of biotechnological tools to enhance plant resilience to abiotic factors and pollutant exposure [1]. With a systems approach, these analyses can be integrated into data pipelines that inform resilience-targeted agricultural planning and decision-support tools for practical implementation.

Data integration and modelling help to better understand interactions between plant protection and pollution management. Predictive analytics enable scenario planning and exploration of intervention strategies to promote sustainability during agricultural operations and consequent trade-offs. Multi-omic datasets detailing the impact of input applications on plant physiology and health support the development of sustainable practices that maintain crop yield without compromising ecosystem integrity.

Advances in sensor technologies enable real-time detection of the contamination status and risk assessment of targeted pollutants and contaminant mixtures [42]. Furthermore, novel biomarkers can indicate exposure, likely pathways, and decontamination prospects, guiding remediation decision-making. Data generated through monitoring and risk assessments can be aggregated, analysed, and communicated to implement safe and effective amelioration strategies across diverse ecosystems.

8.1. Omics in Plant-Environment Interactions

Modern phytosanitary techniques hinge on sound knowledge of the factors sustaining plant health and on an understanding of the complexities of the environment. While some of the discipline is directed to the classification and knowledge of the pests and diseases, the main thrust of modern phytosanitary techniques is to preserve the ability of plants to stay healthy for longer periods of time, that is, to expand the vitality of the plant. The major characteristics that extend longevity are those traits that help a plant resist environmental assaults and those that broad-base the age at which these assaults occur. It is becoming increasingly apparent that there is no single solution to the problem of environmental assault. Phytosanitation techniques are thus gravitating towards a comprehensive integration strategy, to be high-lighted by the adoption of biotechnological techniques. Genes or pathways that allow the plant to sustain physiological functions and structural integrity in the face of environmental challenges are major program targets. Stress impacts plants not only directly but also indirectly by creating a cascade of associated biological, chemical, and physical events through the solvation of organisms. A coordinated and integrated response from various biological functions coordinated, or streams, appears essential to restore health under such situations. A good deal of knowledge has been accumulated regarding the interactions among some of these streams. Understanding and filling such knowledge gaps is vital. The expression of the main functions of a field assembly. Building unity will hence enhance governance and plotability, reduce duplication of investment, encourage partnerships, and inform the institutional arrangements and national framework related to a particular assembly. The entire view has to be based on the four pillars, and the need to monitor the interactions among the streams covered remains constant. It is obvious that the base assembly must fit with other assemblies in the system.

Information can be gathered regarding the capacity of agricultural organisms from better delineated frames. The assembling of such knowledge and its comparison with the original constitution provides a first approach to characterizing a given organism within its environment. The elaboration of a question may need further information. Detecting environmental pressures and assessing their evolution through time, drives for instance the establishment of an inquiry about the robustness of the original constitution [48] ; an inquiry completed with the analysis of the substances in supply and their combinations with elements representative of contaminants. The recognition of environmental pressures provides also additional information about the mediation role of abiotic factors, climate, soils, and cropping systems in the reallocations of biological functions and chemical compositions [49]. Such understanding offers a basis for agricultural activities to be directed towards a better management of the environment and freshwater resources [50].

8.2. Big Data, Modelling, and Predictive Analytics for Management

Data acquisition, archiving, and modelling for effective agricultural management rely on big data to assess the multiple facets of crop and environment interactions. The sedimentation of data over diverse areas within agricultural ecology and the acquisition of uniform data concerning agricultural processes, together with the demand for field-specific automation, have necessitated the development of predictive models that support agricultural field decisions. Various modelling techniques have been successfully employed within the domains of soil, crop, and pest management. Such models aid farmers by incorporating local data within their analysis and formalising in-text and guidelines for drawback assessment, monitoring, delivery, and mitigation [51]. The acquisition of plant parameter, soil information, and external environmental elements facilitates situated modelling while predictive analytics, using past records and diverse applications, aid unobserved local decision making aimed towards enhancing yield and crop health.

Advances in machine-learning behaviours have expanded the scope of data mining and modelling propositions. Several schemes that furnish a sophisticated, systemic, region-specific, and data-conducive modelling offer opportunities for systematic investigation and successive upgrades. Similarly, data-acquisition approaches originating from models or pro-vision of diverse information permit the exploration of hitherto unexamined aspects within the management domain. The said data terminals secure the resonance of model secondary models, whereby, upon versed training, observations from within the primary field coax secondary characterisation that is complementary to actively tracked localities [52].

9. Ethics, Safety, and Future Directions

Current environments contain a cocktail of pollutants that adversely affect plants, ecosystems, and human health. These pollutants contain pesticides, metals, plastics, hydrocarbons, and other emerging organics. Recent estimates suggest that nearly 80% of the global environment and 50% of agricultural ecosystems are polluted or degraded. Similar trends are observed in India, and the situation continues to worsen daily [43].

Plant protection and pollution management intersect through climate change, environmentally harmful crop production methods, anti-chemical movements, and natural bio-based platform demands. Integrated biotechnological tools and delivery systems play a critical role in mitigating these urgent challenges.

9.1. Biosafety, Bioethics, and Responsible Innovation

Integration of life sciences and biotechnological techniques is essential for addressing the grand challenges of protecting plants from pests, pathogens, and pollutants, thereby ensuring environmental and human health and sustainability in food and fiber production systems. Environmental pollutants of anthropogenic origin impact the economic and ecological resilience of agroecosystems worldwide, and biotechnological approaches have great promise for supporting grassroots initiatives to remediate contaminated soils and water and restore ecosystem services. An integrated framework that establishes the principles of plant protection and the types of environmental contaminants and their deleterious impacts on agricultural sustainability, formulating a research agenda in response, is detailed herein. The framework encompasses plant protection principles, an inventory of major environmental pollutants, interdisciplinary interfaces to biology, biotechnology, and ecology, and biological tools and strategies for pest and pathogen management. The overarching integrated theme and toolsets are underscored, together with the critical role of biotechnology for enhancing the resilience of agricultural systems to environmental pollutants such as salts, heavy metals, and pesticides [53].

9.2. Future Trends and Emerging Technologies

Emerging technologies have the potential to reshape plant protection, environmental health, and policies in numerous ways. Biotechnological solutions alone cannot provide comprehensive pest management strategies, but they can mitigate harmful agents. Simultaneously, breeding cultivated plant crops and investigating desirable traits in native vegetation species are integrated. Monitoring several ecosystems concurrently increases the complexity of modelling but remains essential for resilient systems.

Importance of policy has rekindled interest in developing pest management systems. Furthermore, biosafety assessments, decision hierarchies, and public-awareness studies have rebirthed global interest in these subjects [28].

10. Conclusion

Integrated efforts aimed at combining life sciences with biotechnology provide innovative and effective solutions for reducing dependency on traditional chemical controls in the field of plant protection. Furthermore, these integrated approaches address the various pollutants that pose a threat to the food chain, agricultural systems, aquatic environments, and the overall ecological balance of our ecosystems. Both regulated biopesticides and biotechnological application methods serve as low-cost techniques, which require minimal regulatory demands, making them more accessible to farmers and agricultural stakeholders. Additionally, these methods show strong compatibility with existing agrochemical practices, enhancing their practicality in real-world settings. Biocontrol agents, a crucial element of this approach, have been effectively proposed to provide protection for aquatic ecosystems against the harmful impacts of nitrates and phosphates, which can lead to significant environmental degradation. These innovative cross-disciplinary research themes not only help to promote the development and use of safe, effective, and affordable bioproducts but also align perfectly with agricultural priorities. The ultimate goal of these combined efforts is to ensure the safeguarding of public and environmental health, thus contributing to a more sustainable agricultural future for all.

Integrated strategies for managing pests, pathogens, and environmental contaminants can enhance the protection of crops, ecosystems, and human health. Pollutants originating from chemical pesticides, biocides, industrial wastewaters, and other agricultural activities can disrupt these protective measures, leading to elevated risks for companies, countries, and international agencies alike. Hence the topics of pathogens, pests, and pollutants form a cohesive area for modern science.

REFERENCE

[1] D. Šunjka and Špela Mechora, "An Alternative Source of Biopesticides and Improvement in Their Formulation Recent Advances," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[2] A. Nur, "Teaching of Biopesticide Development as a Technoprenuership Opportunity in Plant Protection," 2013. [PDF]

[3] Å. Lankinen, J. Witzell, K. Aleklett, S. Furenhed, "Challenges and opportunities for increasing the use of low-risk plant protection products in sustainable production. A review," Agronomy for…, 2024. springer.com

[4] J. B. Ristaino, P. K. Anderson, D. P. Bebber, et al., "The persistent threat of emerging plant disease pandemics to global food security," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 118, no. 12, 2021. pnas.org

[5] D. C. He, M. H. He, D. M. Amalin, W. Liu et al., "Biological control of plant diseases: An evolutionary and eco-economic consideration," Pathogens, 2021. mdpi.com

[6] B. Tóth, "Possible alternatives in crop nutrition," 2019. [PDF]

[7] I. Kovalchuk and O. Kovalchuk, "Transgenic Plants as Sensors of Environmental Pollution Genotoxicity," 2008. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[8] N. E. S. R. I. N. ORCEN, "CLIMATE CHANGE AND AGRICULTURAL REFLECTIONS: NEW APPROACHES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY â€“ A REVIEWâ€“," 2018. [PDF]

[9] R. Campos-Herrera, J. G. Ali, B. M. Diaz, and L. W. Duncan, "Analyzing spatial patterns linked to the ecology of herbivores and their natural enemies in the soil," 2013. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[10] J. Akram, N. Naeem, M. T. Manzoor, Q. Ali, "Role of modern biotechnology in improving crop protection," Bulletin of Biological, 2025. bbasr.org

[11] G. Giudice, L. Moffa, S. Varotto, "Novel and emerging biotechnological crop protection approaches," Plant Biotechnology, vol. 2021, Wiley Online Library. wiley.com

[12] R. K. Kumar et al., "Advances in agricultural biotechnology: Enhancing productivity and sustainability in India: A review," Journal of …, 2024. journals4promo.com

[13] D. Cagliari, N. Dias, D. Manzano Galdeano, E. Avila dos Santos et al., "Management of pest insects and plant diseases by non-transformative RNAi," 2019. [PDF]

[14] S. J. Fletcher, P. T. Reeves, B. Tram Hoang, and N. Mitter, "A Perspective on RNAi-Based Biopesticides," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[15] İbrahim Erdoğan, B. Cevher-Keskin, Özlem Bilir, Y. Hong et al., "Recent Developments in CRISPR/Cas9 Genome-Editing Technology Related to Plant Disease Resistance and Abiotic Stress Tolerance," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[16] F. Touzdjian Pinheiro Kohlrausch Távora, F. de Assis dos Santos Diniz, C. de Moraes Rêgo-Machado, N. Chagas Freitas et al., "CRISPR/Cas- and Topical RNAi-Based Technologies for Crop Management and Improvement: Reviewing the Risk Assessment and Challenges Towards a More Sustainable Agriculture," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[17] S. Rathinam Prabhukarthikeyan, C. Parameswaran, U. Keerthana, B. Teli et al., "Understanding the Plant-microbe Interactions in CRISPR/CAS9 Era: Indeed a Sprinting Start in Marathon," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[18] E. Montesinos, "Development, registration and commercialization of microbial pesticides for plant protection," 2010. [PDF]

[19] P. S Vimala Devi, G. V Ranga Rao, S. Gopalakrishnan, and G. Sivakumar, "Environmental Impact of Microbial Pesticides," 2012. [PDF]

[20] D. Chandler, A. Bailey, M. (G. Mark) Tatchell, G. (Gill) Davidson et al., "The development, regulation and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management," 2011. [PDF]

[21] B. Okigbo, "Multidisciplinary approach to pest management and the African farmer," 2018. [PDF]

[22] J. A. ANDERSON, P. C. ELLSWORTH, J. C. FARIA, G. P. HEAD et al., "Genetically engineered crops: importance of diversified integrated pest management for agricultural sustainability.," 2020. [PDF]

[23] K. Alemu, "System Management Approach in Crop Production and Protection," 2015. [PDF]

[24] Q. Huy Man, "Building a Decision Support System for Agricultural Land Use Planning and Sustainable Management at the District Level in Vietnam," 2010. [PDF]

[25] V. Mónika, M. László, and V. Csaba, "Effect of pesticides on the growth and secreted chymotrypsin-like activity of a biocontrol strain of bacillus amyloliquefaciens," 2014. [PDF]

[26] J. Koller, L. Sutter, J. Gonthier, J. Collatz et al., "Entomopathogens and Parasitoids Allied in Biocontrol: A Systematic Review," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[27] I. Buja, E. Sabella, A. Grazia Monteduro, M. Serena Chiriacò et al., "Advances in Plant Disease Detection and Monitoring: From Traditional Assays to In-Field Diagnostics," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[28] G. Silva, J. Tomlinson, N. Onkokesung, S. Sommer et al., "Plant pest surveillance: from satellites to molecules," 2021. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

[29] O. Obasun, "Sanctioning of Russian Central Bank assets and consequential impacts on other central banks and international trade and investments," African Journal of Business Management, 2024. academicjournals.org

[30] E. G. Browning, "Resilience at the Periphery: North America's Nonurban Environmental Justice Movements," Environmental Justice in North America, 2023. [HTML]
[31] B. Paes de Melo, P. de Avelar Carpinetti, O. Teixeira Fraga, P. Lucas Rodrigues-Silva et al., "Abiotic Stresses in Plants and Their Markers: A Practice View of Plant Stress Responses and Programmed Cell Death Mechanisms," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[32] M. Labudda, K. Dziurka, J. Fidler, M. Gietler et al., "The Alleviation of Metal Stress Nuisance for Plants—A Review of Promising Solutions in the Face of Environmental Challenges," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[33] P. Arunachalam, M. Govindaraj, P. Kannan, and N. Sritharan, "Tailoring of Abiotic Stress Adaptive Traits to Diminish the Eff ect of Changing Climate on Crop Productivity," 2015. [PDF]
[34] M. Singh, U. Nara, A. Kumar, S. Thapa, C. Jaswal, "Enhancing genetic gains through marker-assisted recurrent selection: from phenotyping to genotyping," Cereal Research, vol. 2022, Springer. [HTML]
[35] M. A. Hassan, N. Dahu, T. Hongning, Z. Qian, et al., "Drought stress in rice: morpho-physiological and molecular responses and marker-assisted breeding," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 2023. frontiersin.org
[36] A. FURINI, A. MANARA, and G. DAL CORSO, "Environmental phytoremediation: plants and microorganisms at work," 2015. [PDF]
[37] K. Biswas, "Biological Agents of Bioremediation: A Concise Review," 2015. [PDF]
[38] R. Tecon and J. Roelof van der Meer, "Bacterial Biosensors for Measuring Availability of Environmental Pollutants," 2008. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[39] K. Wojtowicz, T. Steliga, P. Kapusta, and J. Brzeszcz, "Oil-Contaminated Soil Remediation with Biodegradation by Autochthonous Microorganisms and Phytoremediation by Maize (Zea mays)," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[40] M. Tartaglia, D. Zuzolo, A. Postiglione, A. Prigioniero et al., "Biotechnological Combination for Co-contaminated Soil Remediation: Focus on Tripartite “Meta-Enzymatic” Activity," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[41] R. Collier, A. Jukes, C. Daniel, and M. Hommes, "Ecological selectivity of pesticides and application methods.," 2016. [PDF]
[42] H. C Sharma, S. Walia, and M. K Dhillon, "Harmonization of Protocols for Assessing the Bioefficacy and Bioafety of Genetic Engineering and Conventional Technologies for Pest Management," 2012. [PDF]
[43] B. Trump, C. Cummings, K. Klasa, S. Galaitsi et al., "Governing biotechnology to provide safety and security and address ethical, legal, and social implications," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[44] D. J. S. Hamburger, "Normative Criteria and Their Inclusion in a Regulatory Framework for New Plant Varieties Derived From Genome Editing," 2018. [PDF]
[45] W. Zhou, Y. Arcot, R. F. Medina, J. Bernal, et al., "Integrated pest management: an update on the sustainability approach to crop protection," ACS Publications, 2024. acs.org

[46] N. Desneux, P. Han, R. Mansour, J. Arnó, T. Brévault, "Integrated pest management of Tuta absoluta: practical implementations across different world regions," Journal of Pest Management, vol. 2022, Springer. gva.es
[47] F. Ullah, G. Guru-Pirasanna-Pandi, G. Murtaza, "Evolving strategies in agroecosystem pest control: Transitioning from chemical to green management," Journal of Pest, 2025. google.com
[48] E. L. Patterson, C. Saski, A. Küpper, R. Beffa et al., "Omics Potential in Herbicide-Resistant Weed Management," 2019. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[49] A. Piasecka, P. Kachlicki, and M. Stobiecki, "Analytical Methods for Detection of Plant Metabolomes Changes in Response to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses," 2019. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[50] D. L. Carper, M. R. Appidi, S. Mudbhari, H. K. Shrestha et al., "The Promises, Challenges, and Opportunities of Omics for Studying the Plant Holobiont," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[51] K. (Kaushik) Bhagawati, A. (Amit) Sen, K. K. (Kshitiz) Shukla, and R. (Rupankar) Bhagawati, "Application and Scope of Data Mining in Agriculture," 2016. [PDF]
[52] J. Balduque-Gil, F. J. Lacueva-Pérez, G. Labata-Lezaun, R. del-Hoyo-Alonso et al., "Big Data and Machine Learning to Improve European Grapevine Moth (Lobesia botrana) Predictions," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
[53] E. Asin-Garcia, Z. Robaey, L. F. C. Kampers, and V. A. P. Martins dos Santos, "Exploring the Impact of Tensions in Stakeholder Norms on Designing for Value Change: The Case of Biosafety in Industrial Biotechnology," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.