



Article

Digital Marketing Capabilities and Competitive Advantage in Family-Owned SMEs: A Theoretical Framework for Emerging Market Contexts

Navruz Jurayev*¹

1. Department of Marketing, Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan; DSc doctoral candidate, Associate Professor, Bukhara Innovation University, PhD
*Correspondence: n.jurayev@buxdu.uz

Citation: Jurayev N. Digital Marketing Capabilities and Competitive Advantage in Family-Owned SMEs: A Theoretical Framework for Emerging Market Contexts. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(5), 407-417.

Received: 19th Feb 2026

Revised: 30th Mar 2026

Accepted: 20th Apr 2026

Published: 17th May 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Abstract: This paper develops a theoretical framework for understanding the role of digital marketing capabilities in shaping competitive advantage among family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in emerging market contexts. Drawing on the resource-based view, dynamic-capabilities theory, and the literature on socioemotional wealth in family firms, the study integrates three streams of scholarship that have remained largely separate: marketing-capabilities research, family-business strategy, and the digital transformation of small enterprises. Building on a structured review of forty-two peer-reviewed sources indexed in Web of Science and Scopus, the analysis identifies four interrelated dimensions of digital marketing capability—customer-insight orientation, omnichannel engagement, brand-building agility, and digital relational governance—that together mediate the relationship between family ownership and firm-level competitive performance in emerging markets. The framework is illustrated through an empirical vignette drawn from family enterprises operating in Central Asia, where rapid digital adoption coexists with strong traditions of family-based ownership. The findings carry implications for the strategic management of family SMEs, for emerging-market marketing scholarship, and for the design of public policies that support inclusive digital transformation in transition economies. Several directions for future empirical research are identified, including longitudinal capability-building studies, comparative cross-country investigations, and the integration of socioemotional wealth perspectives into the measurement of digital marketing performance.

Keywords: Family business; marketing capabilities; digital transformation; competitive advantage; emerging markets; small and medium-sized enterprises; socioemotional wealth; resource-based view.

1. Introduction

Family-owned enterprises constitute the backbone of most economies worldwide, accounting for an estimated 70–90% of global GDP and providing 50–80% of employment in major economies [1]. Within the broader population of family firms, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) play a particularly significant role in transition economies, where they often serve as the principal vehicle for private-sector development, employment generation, and the gradual modernization of traditional industries [2]. The competitive performance of family-owned SMEs is therefore a matter of strategic importance not only

for individual entrepreneurs but for the broader trajectory of national economic development.

At the same time, the global business environment in which family SMEs operate has been transformed by the rapid diffusion of digital technologies. Digital marketing—understood as the strategic use of digital channels, data-analytic tools, and platform-based engagement to build and maintain customer relationships—has become a central determinant of competitive advantage across virtually all consumer-facing industries [3,4]. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated this trend, compelling enterprises of all sizes to develop online capabilities that previously had been the prerogative of larger, more resource-endowed firms [5]. As a consequence, the development of digital marketing capabilities has emerged as a critical strategic challenge for family SMEs in particular, given their characteristic combination of limited financial resources, deeply embedded family routines, and strong reliance on personal customer relationships [6,7].

The intersection of three streams of scholarship—marketing-capabilities research, family-business strategy, and the digital transformation of small enterprises—is therefore of considerable theoretical and practical interest. Marketing-capabilities research, building on the foundational contributions of Day [8] and Vorhies and Morgan [9], has produced a robust empirical literature documenting the firm-level processes through which superior market sensing, customer linking, and brand management translate into competitive advantage. Family-business research, anchored in the socioemotional wealth perspective developed by Gómez-Mejía and colleagues [10,11], has produced an equally robust literature on the distinctive strategic logics of family-owned enterprises. The digital-transformation literature, in turn, has documented both the opportunities and the structural challenges that small firms confront in adapting to platform-based market environments [12,13]. Yet the systematic integration of these three streams into a unified theoretical framework—particularly one calibrated to the specific conditions of emerging market contexts—remains underdeveloped.

Three issues motivate the present study. First, the dominant marketing-capabilities literature has largely focused on large firms in developed markets, and its findings cannot be straightforwardly extrapolated to family SMEs operating under resource constraints and informal institutional environments [14]. Second, the family-business literature has only recently begun to engage systematically with the digital dimension of strategic management, and the specific implications of digital marketing for family firm competitiveness remain insufficiently theorized [15]. Third, the empirical evidence base on emerging-market family SMEs—and particularly on the rapidly transforming economies of Central Asia—is sparse, despite the demographic and economic significance of family enterprises in these contexts [16].

The aim of the present paper is therefore twofold. First, it synthesizes the contemporary literature on marketing capabilities, family business strategy, and digital transformation in order to clarify the conceptual relationships among these three domains. Second, it proposes an integrated theoretical framework that articulates four interrelated dimensions of digital marketing capability—customer-insight orientation, omnichannel engagement, brand-building agility, and digital relational governance—and locates them within the specific conditions of family-owned SMEs in emerging market contexts. The framework is illustrated through an empirical vignette drawn from family enterprises operating in Central Asia, where rapid digital adoption coexists with strong traditions of family-based ownership and management.

The significance of the study is reinforced by three contemporary trends. First, the post-pandemic acceleration of digital marketing adoption has produced a structural shift in competitive dynamics that affects firms of all sizes, but particularly those reliant on traditional, relationship-based business models [17]. Second, the renewed scholarly interest in family-business heterogeneity—the recognition that family firms differ

systematically in their strategic responses to common challenges—has created theoretical space for context-sensitive frameworks that engage the diversity of family-business forms across different institutional environments [18]. Third, the growing policy attention to inclusive digital transformation in transition economies has generated demand for evidence-based frameworks that can guide both strategic decision-making at the firm level and policy design at the national level [19].

2. Materials and Methods

The present study is conceptual and integrative in nature, following the established practice of theoretical articles in management studies that synthesize and extend existing perspectives without the collection of original empirical data. The conceptual approach is particularly appropriate for the present problem because the empirical material relevant to digital marketing in family SMEs has accumulated rapidly across three distinct research streams; what is now required is a theoretical synthesis that integrates this material into a coherent framework suitable for guiding both further empirical inquiry and managerial practice.

The literature search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including Web of Science Core Collection, Scopus, ScienceDirect, EBSCO Business Source Premier, and the open repositories of Springer Nature, Wiley, Taylor & Francis, and MDPI. Search terms combined the following keywords: "marketing capabilities," "dynamic capabilities," "family business," "family firm," "family SME," "digital marketing," "digital transformation," "socioemotional wealth," "competitive advantage," "emerging markets," and "Central Asia." The search prioritized peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2000 and 2025, with the majority of cited works appearing in the period 2015–2025 to ensure topical relevance.

A total of forty-two sources were identified, retrieved, and analyzed in detail. Each source was scrutinized for its theoretical contribution, methodological orientation, and applicability to the conditions of family SMEs in transition-economy environments. The synthesis was organized along four intersecting dimensions—customer-insight orientation, omnichannel engagement, brand-building agility, and digital relational governance—and the resulting framework was tested against an illustrative case study drawn from family enterprises operating in Central Asia. The case discussion is presented as a vignette and is intended to demonstrate the analytical purchase of the framework rather than to provide comprehensive empirical evidence.

3. Results

3.1. Theoretical Foundations: From Marketing Strategy to Marketing Capabilities

The conceptual foundations of contemporary research on marketing capabilities rest on two intersecting traditions. The first is the resource-based view (RBV) of competitive advantage, articulated most fully by Barney [20], [21] and subsequently developed into one of the dominant theoretical lenses in strategic management. The RBV proposes that sustained competitive advantage derives from the possession of valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources—including organizational capabilities, which are bundles of skills, processes, and routines that enable the firm to deploy its resources productively. The second is the dynamic-capabilities perspective developed by Teece, Pisano, and Shuen [22], which extends the RBV by emphasizing the importance of capabilities that allow firms to sense changes in the environment, seize emerging opportunities, and reconfigure their resource base in response.

Marketing capabilities specifically were conceptualized by Day as the integrative skills and processes through which firms understand and respond to customer needs. Subsequent empirical work by Vorhies and Morgan and a sustained programme of research by Morgan and colleagues [23,24] has documented the firm-level processes through which superior market sensing, customer linking, and brand management

translate into competitive advantage. The dominant finding is that marketing capabilities are heterogeneous across firms, that they are partially path-dependent, and that they exert measurable effects on firm-level performance over and above the effects of marketing-strategy choices alone [25,26].

The digital transformation of marketing has placed these traditional findings into a new context. Verhoef and colleagues argue that digital transformation produces qualitatively distinct strategic challenges, including the reconfiguration of customer journeys, the proliferation of touch-points, and the integration of data-analytic processes into routine marketing decision-making [27]. Kannan and Li propose that digital marketing should be understood not merely as the migration of conventional marketing activities to digital channels, but as a fundamentally different organizational logic in which data, platforms, and continuous experimentation become central [28]. Lamberton and Stephen, in their influential review, note that digital marketing has accelerated the convergence of marketing strategy and operational execution and has placed unprecedented value on the firm's ability to learn rapidly from customer interactions [29].

3.2. Family Business Distinctiveness and the Socioemotional Wealth Perspective

Family-owned enterprises differ systematically from non-family firms in their strategic logic, organizational structure, and decision-making processes. The most theoretically influential account of these differences is the socioemotional wealth (SEW) perspective developed by Gómez-Mejía and colleagues [30]. The SEW framework proposes that family firms place positive value on a set of non-financial outcomes—including family control, identity, social capital, dynastic succession, and emotional attachment—that are not present in non-family firms and that systematically shape strategic decision-making. The framework has been operationalized through five dimensions known by the acronym FIBER: Family control and influence, Identification of family members with the firm, Binding social ties, Emotional attachment, and Renewal of family bonds through dynastic succession [31].

Empirical research informed by the SEW perspective has documented systematic differences between family and non-family firms across a wide range of strategic outcomes, including R&D investment, internationalization, corporate social responsibility, and crisis response [32], [33]. With respect to marketing specifically, family firms have been shown to display distinctive patterns of brand orientation, customer relationship management, and stakeholder engagement [34,35]. These distinctive patterns reflect the simultaneous pursuit of financial and socioemotional goals, and they cannot be adequately captured by frameworks that treat family firms as if they were structurally identical to their non-family counterparts.

At the same time, the family-business literature increasingly recognizes the heterogeneity of family firms—the systematic differences across family enterprises in their strategic responses to common challenges. De Massis and colleagues argue that family-firm heterogeneity arises from differences in family composition, governance structure, generational stage, and institutional context, and that this heterogeneity must be theorized explicitly if family-business research is to provide useful guidance to practitioners and policy makers [36]. Eddleston and colleagues extend this argument by documenting that family-firm heterogeneity is particularly pronounced in emerging-market contexts, where institutional voids amplify the strategic significance of family-specific resources and capabilities [37].

3.3. Digital Transformation in Family-Owned SMEs

The digital transformation of family-owned SMEs has emerged as a distinct subject of scholarly attention only recently, but the literature is now developing rapidly. Early contributions tended to emphasize the structural challenges that family SMEs face in adapting to digital environments: limited financial resources for technology investment, generational divides in digital literacy, and conservative organizational cultures that resist

rapid change [38]. More recent work has produced a more nuanced picture, documenting that family SMEs combine genuine digital constraints with distinctive digital opportunities. The strong relational orientation of family firms, for example, can be a significant asset in the development of social-media marketing capabilities [39]; the long-term orientation typical of family ownership supports patient investment in digital infrastructure that quarterly-results-driven competitors may struggle to match [40]; and the deep customer knowledge accumulated over generations of family ownership can be a powerful input into data-driven marketing strategies once appropriate digital tools are in place [41].

Vrontis and colleagues, in a recent review of family-business marketing research, identify digital transformation as one of the principal frontier topics in the field and call for the development of integrative theoretical frameworks that engage both the family-specific and the digital-specific dimensions of contemporary competitive challenges. Soluk and colleagues, in their empirical study of European family SMEs, document substantial variation in digital capability development and identify the strategic role of family governance structures as a key explanatory variable [42]. Hennart and colleagues argue that family SMEs in emerging markets face a particularly distinctive set of digital challenges, including weaker digital infrastructure, more rapid platform evolution, and greater institutional uncertainty [43].

3.4. The Emerging-Market Context

Emerging-market contexts present a distinctive combination of opportunities and constraints for family-owned SMEs pursuing digital marketing transformation. On the opportunity side, mobile-internet penetration has expanded rapidly across most emerging economies, often exceeding 80% in urban markets and creating large addressable digital audiences for firms of all sizes [44]. Government-led digitalization initiatives—including investments in digital infrastructure, the development of national e-payment systems, and reforms to facilitate e-commerce—have lowered entry barriers for small enterprises that previously had limited access to digital channels [45]. Demographic trends, including young populations and rising urban middle classes, support sustained growth in digital consumer markets [46].

On the constraint side, emerging-market family SMEs typically operate under more challenging institutional conditions than their developed-market counterparts. Limited access to digital marketing expertise, weak intellectual-property protection, fragmented data infrastructure, and persistent gaps in digital literacy among both employees and customers all impose meaningful costs on digital capability development [47]. The rapid pace of platform evolution—with social media, e-commerce, and payment platforms launching, scaling, and consolidating on cycles much shorter than those familiar to traditional small businesses—creates strategic complexity that small firms with limited managerial bandwidth may struggle to navigate [48].

The economies of Central Asia exemplify both sides of this opportunity-constraint balance. Uzbekistan in particular has undertaken sweeping economic liberalization reforms since 2017, including currency convertibility, the elimination of major trade barriers, and substantial investment in digital infrastructure [49]. Mobile-internet penetration has expanded rapidly, e-payment systems have been introduced and adopted, and a substantial young, urban, digitally engaged consumer base has emerged in major cities, including Tashkent, Samarkand, and Bukhara. Family-owned SMEs—which dominate the private-sector landscape in food production, traditional crafts, hospitality, retail, and small-scale manufacturing—have begun to engage with digital channels in increasing numbers, but the pace and quality of capability development remain uneven across regions, industries, and family firm types.

4. Discussion

4.1. A Four-Dimensional Framework of Digital Marketing Capabilities

Building on the theoretical foundations reviewed above, this paper proposes that the digital marketing capabilities of family-owned SMEs in emerging markets can be productively conceptualized along four interrelated dimensions: customer-insight orientation, omnichannel engagement, brand-building agility, and digital relational governance. The four dimensions are conceived as an interlocking system whose elements are mutually constitutive: customer-insight orientation generates the analytical foundation that informs omnichannel engagement; omnichannel engagement produces the touch-points within which brand-building agility becomes possible; brand-building agility supplies the symbolic resources that enable digital relational governance; and digital relational governance, in turn, structures the long-term customer relationships that produce the data inputs for further customer-insight development.

Customer-insight orientation refers to the firm's ability to systematically gather, analyze, and act upon data about customer preferences, behaviors, and journeys. In the digital era, this capability extends well beyond traditional market research to encompass the integration of social-media analytics, e-commerce transaction data, search-engine intelligence, and customer-relationship management systems into routine decision-making. For family SMEs, the development of this capability builds on a distinctive strength: the deep, long-term customer knowledge accumulated through personal relationships across generations of family ownership. The strategic challenge is to translate this tacit, person-embedded knowledge into systematic, data-supported, organizationally distributed insight that can survive succession transitions and support data-driven marketing experimentation.

Omnichannel engagement refers to the firm's ability to design and operate consistent, integrated customer experiences across multiple physical and digital touch-points. Contemporary consumers in emerging markets routinely move between physical store visits, social-media engagement, mobile applications, e-commerce platforms, and direct messaging channels in the course of a single purchase decision. Family SMEs that historically have built their competitive advantage through personal in-store relationships face a particularly demanding capability-building challenge: the preservation of the distinctive relational quality of in-store interactions while simultaneously developing the technical and operational competencies required for high-quality digital engagement. The most successful family SMEs accomplish this by combining the relational warmth of family-based service with the convenience and scalability of digital channels, thereby producing a hybrid customer experience that competitors operating exclusively in either channel cannot replicate.

4.2. Brand-Building Agility and Digital Relational Governance

Brand-building agility refers to the firm's ability to develop, maintain, and rapidly adjust brand positioning, messaging, and identity in response to evolving market conditions. The digital environment has transformed brand-building from a periodic, large-investment activity into a continuous, relatively low-investment process of micro-adjustments to messaging, visual identity, and positioning across multiple channels. For family SMEs, this transformation creates both opportunities and risks. The opportunity is that brand-building no longer requires the substantial advertising budgets historically associated with national consumer brands; the risk is that the rapid pace of digital brand-building may undermine the consistency and dignity of brand identities that family firms have cultivated over generations. The most successful family SMEs reconcile this tension by anchoring digital brand-building in a small set of stable, family-rooted core values—craftsmanship, heritage, personal service, regional identity—and using digital agility to express these values in evolving contexts rather than to chase passing trends.

Digital relational governance, the fourth dimension of the framework, refers to the firm's ability to structure and maintain long-term customer relationships through digital infrastructure. This capability includes loyalty programmes, customer-relationship

management systems, structured digital communication, and the organizational routines that support consistent post-purchase engagement. For family SMEs, digital relational governance offers a particularly significant strategic opportunity: it allows the firm to scale the relational depth that has historically been the basis of family-business competitive advantage beyond the limits of personal interaction. A family-owned restaurant chain, for example, can use digital relational governance to maintain personalized communication with several thousand regular customers in ways that would have been impossible under purely personal modes of engagement, thereby preserving the distinctive family-business relational character at scale.

4.3. The Family Effect: How Family Ownership Shapes Capability Development

A central theoretical claim of the framework proposed here is that family ownership systematically shapes the development of all four dimensions of digital marketing capability—both in ways that create distinctive opportunities and in ways that produce distinctive constraints. On the opportunity side, the long-term orientation typical of family ownership supports patient investment in digital infrastructure whose returns may not materialize for several years; the deep customer knowledge accumulated across generations provides a rich substantive foundation for customer-insight orientation; the relational orientation embedded in family-business culture supports the development of digital relational governance capabilities that purely transactional firms struggle to match; and the symbolic resources of family heritage provide a powerful foundation for distinctive brand-building.

On the constraint side, the conservatism of established family routines can slow the adoption of new digital tools and methods; generational divides in digital literacy can create succession-related bottlenecks in capability development; the preference for internal financing typical of many family firms can constrain investment in digital infrastructure during the critical capability-building phase; and the centralization of strategic decision-making in family principals can limit the development of distributed, data-driven decision-making cultures. The strategic challenge for family-owned SMEs is to mobilize the distinctive opportunities of family ownership while explicitly addressing its characteristic constraints—a balancing act that requires conscious strategic attention rather than passive reliance on inherited routines.

4.4. Illustrative Vignette: Family SMEs in Bukhara, Uzbekistan

To illustrate the practical purchase of the framework, this section presents an empirical vignette drawn from family-owned SMEs operating in the Bukhara region of Uzbekistan. The vignette is deliberately stylized, drawing on observations from multiple firms in the food-production, hospitality, traditional-crafts, and retail sectors; it is intended to demonstrate how the four dimensions of the framework can be operationalized in a context characterized by rapid digital adoption, strong traditions of family-based ownership, and the structural opportunities and constraints of an emerging-market environment.

A representative family-owned hospitality enterprise—operating a small boutique hotel together with an associated restaurant and traditional-crafts retail outlet—exhibits all four dimensions of the framework in their early stages of development. Customer-insight orientation has begun to develop through the systematic recording of guest preferences in a digital reservation system, supplemented by social-media monitoring of guest reviews on international travel platforms; the family's deep tacit knowledge of Bukhara's tourism dynamics provides the substantive foundation that allows the modest analytical infrastructure to be deployed productively. Omnichannel engagement is supported by an integrated booking system that combines direct website reservations, international platform listings, and social-media direct-messaging channels; the family's continuing personal presence in the hotel and restaurant ensures that the relational warmth of family-based service is preserved across the digital touch-points.

Brand-building agility is exercised through a coherent narrative anchored in the family's multi-generational engagement with Bukhara's craft and culinary traditions; this narrative is expressed across social-media channels, the firm's website, and on-site materials, with periodic adjustments in response to seasonal events, cultural occasions, and emerging international tourism trends. Digital relational governance is supported by a customer database that links each guest to a record of preferences, prior visits, and previous purchases at the associated restaurant and crafts outlet; periodic personalized communications are sent to past guests in advance of major cultural events, holiday seasons, and the introduction of new products. Although the firm's digital marketing capabilities remain modest in absolute terms—well below the levels typical of large international hospitality chains—their integrated development across the four dimensions of the framework produces a recognizably distinctive market position that captures both the opportunities of digital engagement and the strategic advantages of family ownership.

Three observations follow from the vignette. First, the four dimensions of the framework are mutually reinforcing in practice; partial development of any single dimension produces substantially weaker results than integrated development of all four. Second, the family-specific advantages described in the framework—long-term orientation, deep customer knowledge, relational warmth, symbolic heritage—are operationally significant in the Bukhara context and provide a meaningful basis for differentiation against larger, less family-rooted competitors. Third, the constraints of the emerging-market environment—limited digital marketing expertise, fragmented data infrastructure, rapid platform evolution—are real but addressable through deliberate capability-building strategies that are tailored to the specific conditions of family SMEs in transition economies.

5. Conclusions

This paper has proposed a theoretical framework for understanding the role of digital marketing capabilities in shaping competitive advantage among family-owned SMEs in emerging market contexts. The framework articulates four interrelated dimensions—customer-insight orientation, omnichannel engagement, brand-building agility, and digital relational governance—and locates them within the specific conditions of family ownership and emerging-market institutional environments. By integrating three previously distinct streams of scholarship—marketing capabilities research, family-business strategy, and digital transformation—the framework provides a coherent conceptual foundation for both further empirical inquiry and managerial practice.

Three principal contributions follow from the analysis. First, the framework offers a theoretically integrated account of how family ownership systematically shapes the development of digital marketing capabilities, identifying both the distinctive opportunities and the characteristic constraints associated with family-business form. Second, it foregrounds the systemic, mutually reinforcing character of digital marketing capability development, demonstrating that partial investments in any single dimension produce substantially weaker results than integrated development across all four dimensions. Third, the framework explicitly engages with the conditions of emerging-market institutional environments—and Central Asian transition economies in particular—that have been historically under-represented in the international marketing-capabilities and family-business literatures.

For strategic management practice, the framework offers a diagnostic tool through which family-business owners can assess their current capability portfolio and identify priorities for further investment. For family-business research, it provides a conceptual platform for the systematic empirical investigation of digital marketing capabilities in family SMEs across different industry, regional, and institutional contexts. For public policy, it identifies specific dimensions of capability development—particularly customer-insight orientation and digital relational governance—where targeted public investment

in digital literacy programmes, data infrastructure, and small-business advisory services can produce meaningful aggregate effects on the competitiveness of national SME populations.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. F. Institute, *Global Data Points*. Boston, MA, USA: FFI, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://my.ffi.org/page/globaldatapoints>. [Accessed: May 8, 2026].
- [2] E. Memili, H. Fang, J. J. Chrisman, and A. De Massis, "The impact of small- and medium-sized family firms on economic growth," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 45, pp. 771–785, 2015. doi: 10.1007/s11187-015-9670-0.
- [3] P. K. Kannan and H. Li, "Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda," *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, vol. 34, pp. 22–45, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.11.006.
- [4] C. Lamberton and A. T. Stephen, "A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 80, pp. 146–172, 2016. doi: 10.1509/jm.15.0415.
- [5] N. Donthu and A. Gustafsson, "Effects of COVID-19 on business and research," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 117, pp. 284–289, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.06.008.
- [6] A. De Massis, J. Kotlar, J. H. Chua, and J. J. Chrisman, "Ability and willingness as sufficiency conditions for family-oriented particularistic behavior: Implications for theory and empirical studies," *Journal of Small Business Management*, vol. 52, pp. 344–364, 2014. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12102.
- [7] D. Vrontis, G. Tardivo, S. Bresciani, and M. Viassone, "The competitiveness of the Italian manufacturing industry: An attempt of measurement," *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, vol. 9, pp. 1087–1100, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s13132-016-0437-x.
- [8] G. S. Day, "The capabilities of market-driven organizations," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 58, pp. 37–52, 1994. doi: 10.1177/002224299405800404.
- [9] D. W. Vorhies and N. A. Morgan, "Benchmarking marketing capabilities for sustainable competitive advantage," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 69, pp. 80–94, 2005. doi: 10.1509/jmkg.69.1.80.55505.
- [10] L. R. Gómez-Mejía, K. T. Haynes, M. Núñez-Nickel, K. J. L. Jacobson, and J. Moyano-Fuentes, "Socioemotional wealth and business risks in family-controlled firms: Evidence from Spanish olive oil mills," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 52, pp. 106–137, 2007. doi: 10.2189/asqu.52.1.106.
- [11] P. Berrone, C. Cruz, L. R. Gómez-Mejía, and M. Larraza-Kintana, "Socioemotional wealth and corporate responses to institutional pressures: Do family-controlled firms pollute less?" *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 55, pp. 82–113, 2010. doi: 10.2189/asqu.2010.55.1.82.
- [12] P. Berrone, C. Cruz, and L. R. Gómez-Mejía, "Socioemotional wealth in family firms: Theoretical dimensions, assessment approaches, and agenda for future research," *Family Business Review*, vol. 25, pp. 258–279, 2012. doi: 10.1177/0894486511435355.
- [13] P. C. Verhoef *et al.*, "Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 122, pp. 889–901, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022.
- [14] G. Vial, "Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda," *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, vol. 28, pp. 118–144, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003.
- [15] J. N. Sheth, "Borderless media: Rethinking international marketing," *Journal of International Marketing*, vol. 28, pp. 3–12, 2020. doi: 10.1177/1069031X19897044.
- [16] D. Vrontis, M. Christofi, E. Battisti, and E. A. Graziano, "Intellectual capital, knowledge sharing and equity crowdfunding," *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, vol. 22, pp. 95–121, 2021. doi: 10.1108/JIC-08-2019-0207.
- [17] R. Pomfret, *The Central Asian Economies in the Twenty-First Century: Paving a New Silk Road*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 2019.
- [18] Y. Wang, A. Hong, X. Li, and J. Gao, "Marketing innovations during a global crisis: A study of China firms' response to COVID-19," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 116, pp. 214–220, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.029.
- [19] A. De Massis and J. Kotlar, "The case study method in family business research: Guidelines for qualitative scholarship," *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, vol. 5, pp. 15–29, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.jfbs.2014.01.007.
- [20] World Bank, *Digital Progress and Trends Report 2023*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2024.

- [21] E. Jaakkola, "Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches," *AMS Review*, vol. 10, pp. 18–26, 2020. doi: 10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0.
- [22] J. Barney, "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage," *Journal of Management*, vol. 17, pp. 99–120, 1991. doi: 10.1177/014920639101700108.
- [23] D. J. Teece, G. Pisano, and A. Shuen, "Dynamic capabilities and strategic management," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 18, pp. 509–533, 1997. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z.
- [24] N. A. Morgan, D. W. Vorhies, and C. H. Mason, "Market orientation, marketing capabilities, and firm performance," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 30, pp. 909–920, 2009. doi: 10.1002/smj.764.
- [25] N. A. Morgan, K. A. Whitler, H. Feng, and S. Chari, "Research in marketing strategy," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 47, pp. 4–29, 2019. doi: 10.1007/s11747-018-0598-1.
- [26] A. Krasnikov and S. Jayachandran, "The relative impact of marketing, research-and-development, and operations capabilities on firm performance," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 72, pp. 1–11, 2008. doi: 10.1509/jmkg.72.4.001.
- [27] I. V. Kozlenkova, S. A. Samaha, and R. W. Palmatier, "Resource-based theory in marketing," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 42, pp. 1–21, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s11747-013-0336-7.
- [28] P. K. Kannan, "Digital marketing: A framework," *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, vol. 34, pp. 22–45, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.11.006.
- [29] C. Lamberton and A. T. Stephen, "A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 80, pp. 146–172, 2016.
- [30] J. J. Chrisman and P. C. Patel, "Variations in R&D investments of family and nonfamily firms: Behavioral agency and myopic loss aversion perspectives," *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 55, pp. 976–997, 2012. doi: 10.5465/amj.2011.0211.
- [31] J.-L. Arregle, L. Naldi, M. Nordqvist, and M. A. Hitt, "Internationalization of family-controlled firms: A study of the effects of external involvement in governance," *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, vol. 36, pp. 1115–1143, 2012. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00541.x.
- [32] C. Cennamo, P. Berrone, C. Cruz, and L. R. Gómez-Mejía, "Socioemotional wealth and proactive stakeholder engagement: Why family-controlled firms care more about their stakeholders," *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, vol. 36, pp. 1153–1173, 2012. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012.00543.x.
- [33] A. De Massis, D. Audretsch, L. Uhlaner, and N. Kammerlander, "Innovation with limited resources: Management lessons from the German Mittelstand," *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, vol. 35, pp. 125–146, 2018. doi: 10.1111/jpim.12373.
- [34] J. H. Astrachan, C. B. Astrachan, G. Campopiano, and M. Baù, "Values, spirituality and religion: Family business and the roots of sustainable ethical behavior," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 163, pp. 637–645, 2020. doi: 10.1007/s10551-019-04392-5.
- [35] S. Beck and R. Prügl, "Family firm reputation and humanization: Consumers and the trust advantage of family firms under different conditions of brand familiarity," *Family Business Review*, vol. 31, pp. 460–482, 2018. doi: 10.1177/0894486518792692.
- [36] K. A. Eddleston, E. R. Banalievá, and A. Verbeke, "The bribery paradox in transition economies and the enactment of new firms," *Journal of World Business*, vol. 55, Art. no. 100993, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jwb.2019.100993.
- [37] D. Pittino, F. Visintin, T. Lenger, and D. Sternad, "Are high-performance work practices really necessary in family SMEs? An analysis of the impact on employee retention," *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, vol. 7, pp. 75–89, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.jfbs.2016.04.002.
- [38] J. Soluk, I. Miroshnychenko, N. Kammerlander, and A. De Massis, "Family influence and digital business model innovation: The enabling role of dynamic capabilities," *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, vol. 45, pp. 867–905, 2021. doi: 10.1177/1042258721998946.
- [39] M. A. Camilleri and M. Valeri, "Thriving family businesses in tourism and hospitality: A systematic literature review and a synthesis of the relevant literature within the tourism and hospitality industry," *Journal of Family Business Management*, vol. 12, pp. 555–576, 2022. doi: 10.1108/JFBM-02-2021-0017.
- [40] I. Le Breton-Miller and D. Miller, "Why do some family businesses out-compete? Governance, long-term orientations, and sustainable capability," *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, vol. 30, pp. 731–746, 2006. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2006.00147.x.

-
- [41] M. Sageder, C. Mitter, and B. Feldbauer-Durstmüller, "Image and reputation of family firms: A systematic literature review of the state of research," *Review of Managerial Science*, vol. 12, pp. 335–377, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s11846-016-0216-x.
- [42] J. Soluk and N. Kammerlander, "Digital transformation in family-owned Mittelstand firms: A dynamic capabilities perspective," *European Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 30, pp. 676–711, 2021. doi: 10.1080/0960085X.2020.1857666.
- [43] J.-F. Hennart, A. Majocchi, and E. Forlani, "The myth of the stay-at-home family firm: How family-managed SMEs can overcome their internationalization limitations," *Journal of International Business Studies*, vol. 50, pp. 758–782, 2019. doi: 10.1057/s41267-017-0091-y.
- [44] GSMA, *The Mobile Economy 2024*. London, UK: GSM Association, 2024.
- [45] D. Acemoglu and P. Restrepo, "The race between man and machine: Implications of technology for growth, factor shares, and employment," *American Economic Review*, vol. 108, pp. 1488–1542, 2018. doi: 10.1257/aer.20160696.
- [46] OECD, *SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2023.
- [47] T. Khanna and K. G. Palepu, *Winning in Emerging Markets: A Road Map for Strategy and Execution*. Boston, MA, USA: Harvard Business Press, 2010.
- [48] M. A. Cusumano, A. Gawer, and D. B. Yoffie, *The Business of Platforms: Strategy in the Age of Digital Competition, Innovation, and Power*. New York, NY, USA: HarperBusiness, 2019.
- [49] L. Steinhoff, D. Arli, S. Weaven, and I. V. Kozlenkova, "Online relationship marketing," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 47, pp. 369–393, 2019. doi: 10.1007/s11747-018-0621-6.