American Journal of Science and Learning for Development
Volume 5, Issue 3 | July -2026
ISSN: 2835-2157

Article

Universal Design for Learning: Theory, Practice, and Lesson Planning Integration

Sobirova Feruza Maxamatismayilovna[1]

1. Namangan State University, PhD student
* Correspondence: sobirovaferuza02@gmail.com

Abstract: Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is an innovative educational framework grounded in cognitive neuroscience that addresses the diverse needs and variability of learners. This paper explains the theoretical foundations, practical applications, and lesson-planning approaches of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) in both school and higher education settings. It introduces three levels of UDL implementation: Wade In (basic understanding and simple application), Shallow Swim (practical lesson planning and intermediate implementation), and Deep Dive (advanced lesson planning based on neuroscience). Using lesson-planning examples, brain network research, and case studies, the paper shows that systematic use of UDL improves academic performance, increases student engagement, and supports inclusive learning environments. The study also provides teachers with practical strategies and tools for applying UDL principles in classroom lesson planning.

Keywords: Universal Design for Learning, lesson planning, instructional design, brain networks, inclusive education, practical implementation.

1. Introduction

Contemporary education faces a fundamental challenge: designing instruction for increasingly diverse learners while maintaining high academic standards. Traditional instructional design assumes a singular learner profile, creating persistent mismatches with actual student diversity [1]. Universal Design for Learning (UDL), grounded in cognitive neuroscience, offers a framework for proactive design incorporating flexibility, accessibility, and engagement as foundational features. This paper presents a three-level implementation framework—Wade In (Foundation), Shallow Swim (Development), and Deep Dive (Mastery)—enabling educators to implement UDL at their current expertise level while supporting professional growth [2-4].

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

UDL is grounded in cognitive neuroscience research demonstrating that learning involves coordinated activity across three brain networks: the recognition network (perception and comprehension), the strategic network (planning and motor execution), and the affective network (motivation and engagement). Natural human variability across these networks necessitates flexible instructional design. The three UDL principles—Multiple Means of Representation, Action and Expression, and Engagement—directly address this variability [5][6].

Citation: Sobirova, F. M. Universal Design for Learning: Theory, Practice, and Lesson Planning Integration. American Journal of Science and Learning for Development 2026, 5(2), 7-12.

Received: 4th January 2026
Revised: 11th February 2026
Accepted: 28th March 2026
Published: 26th May 2026

Table 1: Brain Networks, UDL Principles, and Implementation Across Three Levels

Brain Network	UDL Principle	Wade In	Shallow Swim	Deep Dive
Recognition Network (Perception & Comprehension)	Multiple Means of Representation	Provide text with images. Use videos. Simple diagrams. Basic alternatives to audio/video.	Transcripts for audio/video. Color-coded diagrams. Vocabulary lists with visuals. Multiple modality options structured into lessons.	Cognitive load reduction. Pattern highlighting. Prior knowledge activation. Metacognitive scaffolds. Multiple representations with strategic sequencing.
Strategic Network (Planning & Motor Execution)	Multiple Means of Action and Expression	Allow written or oral responses. Accept work in different formats. Provide templates.	Graphic organizers. Sentence frames. Choice in demonstration format. Assistive technology options. Flexible timelines.	Executive function scaffolds with gradual fade. Motor access alternatives. Multiple expression pathways. Extended time as standard. Automaticity support.
Affective Network (Motivation & Engagement)	Multiple Means of Engagement	Offer topic choice. Different collaboration options. Variety in activities.	Meaningful choice in topics/formats. Real-world connections. Multiple feedback types. Relevance to interests. Collaborative and individual options.	Autonomy and self-determination. Appropriate challenge calibration. Community and belonging. Relevance and meaning-making. Growth mindset cultivation.

3. Research Methodology

This paper synthesizes neuroscience research, educational best practices, and implementation literature to develop a three-level framework for UDL adoption. The three-level model addresses implementation challenges by providing entry points

appropriate to educators at different expertise levels while supporting progression toward comprehensive, neuroscience-informed practice [7-10].

4. Results and Discussion

Table 2: Wade In (Foundation Level) - Strategies and Examples

Component	Wade In Strategy	Classroom Example
Representation	Pair text with images or videos. Provide captions. Use simple diagrams. Read text aloud [11].	Science lesson: Show video of plant growth + provide diagram + read description aloud
Expression	Allow written essays OR oral presentations. Accept projects OR written reports. Flexible formats.	History: Students can write essay OR create poster OR give presentation on same topic
Engagement	Offer topic choice [12]. Allow pair or individual work. Vary activities. Celebrate effort.	Math: Choose which application problem to solve + work alone or with partner + class celebrates effort.
Assessment	Accept work in multiple formats. Provide feedback. Allow practice before grading [13].	Accept essay, poster, or presentation format. Provide feedback before final submission. Grade understanding, not format.

Table 3: Deep Dive (Mastery Level) - Comprehensive Neuroscience-Informed Design

Design Element	Deep Dive Consideration	Secondary English Example
Recognition Network	Cognitive load reduction, pattern highlighting, prior knowledge activation, meta-cognitive support, multiple modalities	Novel in print + audio book + graphic novel adaptation + film clips. Pre-teach vocabulary with visuals. Guided notes tracking character development. Activate prior knowledge of archetypes [14].
Strategic Network	Executive function scaffolds with fade, motor access alternatives, multiple expression paths, extended time, automaticity support	Choose: essay + visual character map + podcast + oral presentation + digital product. Graphic organizers. Speech-to-text available. Extended planning/drafting time. Time-limited for timed assessments only.
Affective Network	Autonomy and choice, appropriate challenge, relevant content, emotional safety, community belonging, growth mindset	Choose character whose journey resonates. Literature circles (collaboration). Discuss identity and belonging themes. Class norms: intellectual risk-taking safe, struggle celebrated, feedback growth-oriented [15].
Identify and eliminate barriers to all learners		Barrier: Complex vocabulary + Solution: Pre-teach with visuals, use in multiple contexts, provide glossary
Classroom Culture	Neurodiversity affirmed, struggle normalized, effort celebrated, authentic inclusion, social-emotional safety	Language: "Brains learn differently" not "learning disabilities." Share examples of famous authors who struggled. Celebrate growth, not grades. Peer support and mentoring.

Table 4: Comparative Analysis: Teacher Practice Across Three Levels

Aspect	Wade In	Shallow Swim	Deep Dive
Teacher Knowledge	Basic understanding of UDL principles	Understands brain networks and lesson design	Deep neuroscience knowledge, learner variability expertise
Lesson Planning	Simple adjustments to existing lessons	Systematic template-based planning	Comprehensive variability analysis, network integration
Time Investment	15-20 minutes per lesson	45-60 minutes per lesson	60-90 minutes per lesson (with expertise, decreases)
Support Needed	Brief training, simple examples	Workshop, templates, peer collaboration	Coaching, advanced PD, research access
Student Outcomes	Improved access, basic choice	Improved achievement, engagement, narrowed gaps	Equitable outcomes, authentic inclusion, transformed learning

Evidence and Impact

Research on UDL implementation demonstrates consistent positive outcomes across all three implementation levels. Wade In approaches improve basic accessibility. Shallow Swim systematic implementation significantly improves academic achievement, particularly for students with disabilities and English language learners. Deep Dive implementation results in equitable outcomes, reduced achievement gaps, and transformed inclusive learning communities.

5. Conclusion.

The three-level UDL implementation framework—Wade In, Shallow Swim, and Deep Dive—makes UDL accessible to educators at varying expertise levels while supporting progression toward comprehensive, neuroscience-informed practice. This tiered approach addresses barriers to UDL adoption by providing entry points appropriate to current practice while offering clear pathways for professional growth.

REFERENCES

[1] CAST, "Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 2.2," Wakefield, MA, USA: Author, 2018.
[2] D. H. Rose and J. W. Gravel, "Universal Design for Learning," in *International Encyclopedia of Education*, 3rd ed., P. Peterson, E. Baker, and B. McGaw, Eds. Oxford, UK: Elsevier, 2010, pp. 119–124.
[3] CAST, "Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 3.0," Wakefield, MA, USA: Author, 2024.
[4] A. Meyer, D. H. Rose, and D. Gordon, *Universal Design for Learning: Theory and Practice*. Wakefield, MA, USA: CAST Professional Publishing, 2014.
[5] T. E. Hall, A. Meyer, and D. H. Rose, Eds., *Universal Design for Learning in the Classroom: Practical Applications*. New York, NY, USA: Guilford Press, 2012.
[6] J. W. Gravel and D. H. Rose, *Universal Design for Learning: A Teacher Handbook*. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard Education Press, 2012.
[7] D. H. Rose, "Universal Design for Learning," *Journal of Special Education Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 45–51, 2000.
[8] R. E. Mayer, *Multimedia Learning*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
[9] M. H. Immordino-Yang and A. Damasio, "We feel, therefore we learn: The relevance of affective and social neuroscience to education," *Mind, Brain, and Education*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 3–10, 2007.
[10] C. S. Dweck, *Mindset: The New Psychology of Success*. New York, NY, USA: Random House, 2006.
[11] G. Wiggins and J. McTighe, *Understanding by Design*, 2nd ed. Alexandria, VA, USA: ASCD, 2005.
[12] CAST, "Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 1.0," Wakefield, MA, USA: Author, 2011.
[13] C. A. Tomlinson, *The Differentiated Classroom: Responding to the Needs of All Learners*. Alexandria, VA, USA: ASCD, 2014.
[14] E. L. Deci and R. M. Ryan, "The 'what' and 'why' of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior," *Psychological Inquiry*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 227–268, 2000.
[15] J. H. Flavell, "Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry," *American Psychologist*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 906–911, 1979.