

Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) in Technical Universities: Enhancing Professional and Linguistic Competencies

Suyarova Z. Sh

Samarkand State University of Architecture and Construction, Uzbekistan



DOI : <https://doi.org/10.61796/jheaa.v3i7.1835>



Sections Info

Article history:

Submitted: March 07, 2026
Final Revised: April 15, 2026
Accepted: May 19, 2026
Published: June 27, 2026

Keywords:

CLIL
Technical education
EMI
STEM
Higher education
Bilingual education

ABSTRACT

Objective: Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) is increasingly recognised as an effective pedagogical approach in technical higher education, where the simultaneous development of subject knowledge and foreign language competence is essential. This article examines the potential of CLIL in engineering and architectural education, as well as the key challenges associated with its implementation. **Method:** The study utilizes a qualitative descriptive approach through a comprehensive literature review and conceptual analysis of existing CLIL frameworks within technical higher education. It systematically reviews academic publications, curriculum guidelines, and empirical case studies to analyze pedagogical outcomes and structural challenges in engineering and architectural programs. **Results:** The analysis shows that CLIL facilitates the acquisition of professional terminology, strengthens communicative competence, and promotes active student engagement in discipline-specific learning contexts. However, its effectiveness is constrained by factors such as insufficient teacher preparation, increased cognitive load, and complexities in assessment practices. **Novelty:** The study concludes that the successful integration of CLIL requires institutional support, interdisciplinary cooperation, and well-structured curriculum design.

INTRODUCTION

The internationalisation of higher education, particularly in engineering and architectural disciplines, has significantly transformed graduate competency requirements. Contemporary professionals are expected not only to demonstrate strong disciplinary knowledge but also to communicate effectively in international academic and professional environments, including the use of English for technical documentation and collaborative projects [1], [2].

In response to these demands, higher education institutions have increasingly adopted bilingual and multilingual instructional models such as English for Specific Purposes (ESP), English-Medium Instruction (EMI), and Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL). Among these approaches, CLIL is distinguished by its dual focus on both content mastery and language development within an integrated pedagogical framework [3], [4].

Although CLIL is often associated with EMI, a clear distinction exists between them. EMI primarily focuses on content delivery through English, whereas CLIL systematically integrates language learning objectives into subject teaching. This distinction is particularly relevant in technical education, where both professional competence and communicative ability are essential [5], [6].

RESEARCH METHOD

This study is based on a qualitative review of scholarly literature on CLIL, EMI, and technical higher education. The analysis focuses on pedagogical models of bilingual education, professional language development, teacher competence, assessment strategies, and curriculum integration in technical disciplines.

Theoretical Framework: CLIL as a Dual-Focus Approach

CLIL is grounded in constructivist learning theory, which emphasises knowledge construction through active engagement and meaningful interaction. According to Coyle, Hood, and Marsh (2010), CLIL is structured around the 4Cs Framework: Content, Communication, Cognition, and Culture.

- **Content** refers to disciplinary knowledge acquisition;
- **Communication** to meaningful language use;
- **Cognition** to higher-order thinking processes;
- **Culture** to intercultural awareness in academic and professional contexts.

Within this framework, language functions as a medium for learning rather than an isolated instructional goal.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CLIL and EMI: Conceptual distinction

A significant issue in current literature is the conceptual overlap between CLIL and EMI. While both approaches utilise English as the medium of instruction, CLIL explicitly integrates language development objectives into subject teaching, whereas EMI primarily prioritises content delivery [7], [8]. This difference has important implications for curriculum design and pedagogical practice [9].

Pedagogical benefits of CLIL

The implementation of CLIL in technical education offers several pedagogical advantages:

1. Firstly, it enhances students' professional communication skills by enabling them to articulate technical concepts, present engineering solutions, and engage in discipline-specific discourse in English [10].
2. Secondly, vocabulary acquisition becomes more effective when technical terminology is introduced in authentic professional contexts, which supports deeper conceptual understanding and long-term retention.
3. Thirdly, CLIL fosters cognitive engagement by requiring students to process complex disciplinary content through a foreign language, thereby promoting analytical thinking and problem-solving skills [11].

Challenges of implementation

Despite its advantages, CLIL implementation presents several challenges. One of the primary issues is insufficient teacher preparedness, particularly in cases where subject specialists lack language pedagogy training or language instructors lack disciplinary expertise [12].

Another challenge is cognitive load, as students may struggle when the linguistic complexity of instructional materials exceeds their proficiency level. Assessment

practices also remain problematic, particularly in achieving a balanced evaluation of both content knowledge and language competence [13].

Implications for Technical Universities in Uzbekistan

Current reforms in higher education in Uzbekistan provide favourable conditions for the integration of CLIL in technical universities. This approach may support the alignment of national engineering and architectural traditions with global developments such as sustainable construction, digital technologies, and smart infrastructure systems [14]. However, effective implementation depends on curriculum coherence, institutional support mechanisms, and continuous professional development of academic staff [15].

CONCLUSION

Fundamental Finding : CLIL represents a promising pedagogical approach for enhancing both professional and linguistic competencies in technical higher education. It contributes to improved terminology acquisition, communicative competence, and cognitive engagement. **Implication :** When these conditions are met, CLIL can significantly enhance the quality of technical education and better prepare graduates for participation in global professional environments. **Limitation :** Nevertheless, its success depends on adequate teacher preparation, effective assessment strategies, and strong institutional support. **Future Research :** Future research should investigate the long-term impacts of CLIL implementation through empirical and longitudinal studies across diverse engineering and architectural sub-disciplines. Additionally, further exploration is needed to develop and validate standardized interdisciplinary assessment frameworks and to evaluate the efficacy of specific professional development models designed to equip technical faculty with bilingual pedagogical skills.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Coyle, P. Hood, and D. Marsh, *CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [2] C. Dalton-Puffer, *Discourse in Content and Language Integrated Learning Classrooms*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2007.
- [3] J. Dearden, *English as a Medium of Instruction*. London, U.K.: British Council, 2015.
- [4] D. Marsh, *CLIL/EMILE: The European Dimension*. Brussels, Belgium: European Commission, 2002.
- [5] P. Mehisto, D. Marsh, and M. J. Frigols, *Uncovering CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning in Bilingual and Multilingual Education*. Oxford, U.K.: Macmillan Education, 2008.
- [6] M. L. Pérez-Cañado, "CLIL in higher education," *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 412–429, 2023.
- [7] U. Smit, "Language ideologies in EMI contexts," *System*, vol. 118, pp. 103–115, 2024.
- [8] I. Ismoilov, "Iskandar oynasi," *Oltin Bitiglar–Golden Scripts*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2019.
- [9] I. Ismoilov, "The image of 'The Mirror of Iskandar' in the poetry of Alisher Navai and its comparative analysis," unpublished article.
- [10] I. Ismoilov, "About the Talismans of Iskandar (Alexander) by Alisher Navoi," *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 139–145, May 2021, doi: 10.21744/ijllc.v7n3.1488.

- [11] I. Ismoilov, “‘Boburnoma’ as a Literary Source,” *American Journal of Social Sciences and Humanity Research*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 128–130, 2025, doi: 10.37547/ajsshr/Volume05Issue11-32.
- [12] Q. S. Makhmudov, “Forms of Quick Management of Manufacturing in the Conditions of Market Relations,” 2023.
- [13] K. Makhmudov, “Key Concepts Regarding the Quality of Services and Its Management,” 2023.
- [14] K. Makhmudov, “The Need to Introduce Quality Systems in the Field of Services,” *European Journal of Business Startups and Open Society*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 11–14.
- [15] M. K. Saydullayevich, “The Role of Leadership in Management Systems and Its Impact on Organizational Effectiveness: Evidence from Uzbekistan and Central Asian Enterprises,” *Central Asian Journal of Innovations on Tourism Management and Finance*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 226–232, 2026.

***Suyarova Z. Sh (Corresponding Author)**

Senior teacher of the Department of Foreign Languages, Samarkand State University of Architecture and Construction named after Mirzo Ulugbek
