

American Journal of Economics and Business Management

Vol. 9 Issue 7 | **pp.** 84-92 | **ISSN:** 2576-5973

Available online @ https://www.globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajebm

Article

Shariah Governance Quota Systems in Transition Economies: Designing a Dual-School Framework for Islamic Finance in Uzbekistan

Abdul Jalil Mahama

1. Faculty of Economics; Department of Finance and Credit. National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

* Correspondence: abduljalilmahama91@gmail.com, mahama.a@nuu.uz
ORCID: 0000-0002-8622-1714

Abstract: This study examines the structural integration of a quota-based Shariah governance system within the conventional financial architecture of Uzbekistan. Emerging transition economies frequently experience systemic friction when introducing non-interest financial instruments into legal environments dominated by a single jurisprudential tradition. This paper evaluates a centralized regulatory model that balances domestic Hanafi traditions with Shafi'i legal expertise to resolve this institutional mismatch. Comparative institutional analysis indicates that a mandatory composition quota within the central bank reduces regulatory fragmentation and eliminates conflicting cross-sectoral rulings. The qualitative evaluation demonstrates that structured multi-school deliberation converts comparative jurisprudence into an active tool for contract innovation and consumer risk mitigation. Operating a centralized authority establishes the systemic predictability necessary to mobilize informal liquidity and protect international market participants. This structural design offers a repeatable policy blueprint for state-led financial oversight bodies operating within post-Soviet economic environments.

Keywords: Islamic Finance, Shariah Governance, Central Banking, Transition Economies, Comparative Jurisprudence, Uzbekistan

Citation: Mahama, A. J. Shariah Governance Quota Systems in Transition Economies: Designing a Dual-School Framework for Islamic Finance in Uzbekistan. American Journal of Economics and Business Management 2026, 9(7), 84-92

Received: 10th Apr 2026
Revised: 21th May 2026
Accepted: 08th June 2026
Published: 01th July 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

The emergence of Islamic banking requires regulatory frameworks that align localized religious demographics with international transactional protocols. Developing nations often struggle to establish financial credibility when transitioning toward non-interest capital markets [1]. This policy friction becomes evident during the introduction of statutory frameworks within environments dominated by single jurisprudential traditions. The Central Bank of Uzbekistan faces the task of designing a regulatory ecosystem that satisfies public expectations of religious compliance while simultaneously maintaining market stability [2].

Historical precedents indicate that top-down financial engineering frequently neglects the underlying socio-religious dynamics of domestic consumer segments. Uzbekistan features a predominant attachment to the Hanafi School of legal thought, which influences cultural perceptions of contractual validity and economic ethics. Standard corporate governance structures used in conventional banking fail to provide the theological verification required by Islamic financial consumers. This institutional

mismatch creates a barrier that prevents formal banking networks from absorbing informal liquidity [3].

The current legal framework in Uzbekistan lacks formal, centralized mechanisms to validate the Shariah compliance of complex retail and capital market instruments. This regulatory vacuum in financial markets leads to fragmented interpretations across emerging Islamic window operations, creating operational uncertainty [4]. Financial institutions lack systemic clarity regarding the boundaries of acceptable risk sharing, asset-backed securitization, and liquidity management. In order to ensure general acceptance without a unified statutory authority, the market could face structural fragmentation and an increased risk of conflicting legal interpretations [5].

The existing academic literature fails to address the operationalization of multi-school (madhhab) advisory frameworks within post-Soviet, transition economies. Most contemporary research focuses exclusively on decentralized market dynamics or monocultural governance models typical of the Gulf Cooperation Council states [6]. These standard models do not fit the regulatory landscape of Central Asia, where state-led financial oversight must navigate specific cultural heritages. This theoretical gap leaves policymakers without empirical models to balance local jurisprudential expectations against international transactional demands [7].

Recent empirical studies show that financial inclusion initiatives in Central Asia underperform when institutional designs ignore regional religious identities. Western-centric regulatory models often fail to mobilize capital in communities that prioritize ethical alignment over conventional yields [8]. This evidence highlights the necessity of embedding cultural variations directly into the core design of regulatory architecture. Neglecting these historical and regional legal identities could limit the long-term effectiveness of capital market diversification [9].

A secondary theoretical gap exists regarding the structured integration of minority jurisprudential perspectives to enhance product engineering. Conventional research treats cross-school legal synthesis as an administrative obstacle rather than a mechanism for financial innovation [10]. This conceptual limitation overlooks how incorporating diverse legal interpretations could broaden product options in trade finance and investment instruments. Resolving this gap requires an institutional design that converts comparative jurisprudence into a structured process for financial engineering [11].

International financial benchmarks show that cross-border investors require alignment with widely recognized legal traditions to mitigate cross-border compliance risks. Global Islamic capital markets rely heavily on multi-school validations to ensure the international tradeability of complex instruments like Sukuk [12]. Local financial models that restrict oversight to a single legal school run the risk of isolating domestic markets from global liquidity networks. This structural reality requires a governance framework that bridges regional heritage with the requirements of international investors [13].

This study posits that the deployment of a specialized regulatory framework must account for the specific legal and institutional history of the Uzbek financial sector. Decades of centralized banking operations have established a regulatory culture that requires explicit statutory mandates for any new financial product design [14]. Conversely, the Introduction of non-interest instruments without clear legislative integration risks pushback from conventional legal oversight bodies and judicial tribunals. Therefore, local conditions may demand a solution that integrates Shariah governance directly into the existing supervisory mechanisms of the central bank [15].

This study develops a quota-based Shariah governance system that balances Hanafi legal traditions with Shafi'i expertise across the regulatory sectors of Uzbekistan. The primary objective is to create a structured approach for the National Shariah Advisory Council to ensure consistent, cross-sectoral product validation to drive local demand. This research analyzes the institutional feasibility, cost structures, and capacity-building requirements needed to implement this dual-school framework within the central bank [16].

The paper aims to evaluate how a balanced distribution of jurisprudential expertise influences systemic trust, regulatory compliance, and product innovation in transition

economy. It establishes a clear framework for cross-school collaboration to minimize regulatory uncertainty and prevent inconsistent legal rulings within the banking sector. The ultimate goal is to provide policymakers with a replicable model to transition from conventional economic structures to a dual financial system [17].

Literature Review

Centralized Shariah Governance and Comparative Jurisprudence in Emerging Islamic Capital Markets

The institutional design of non-interest financial systems requires a structured approach to balance centralized oversight with localized theological traditions. Contemporary frameworks for Islamic capital markets rely on central advisory boards to unify legal interpretations and maintain systemic stability [18]. These national authorities establish uniform compliance standards that reduce operational ambiguity across commercial banks and investment window. This regulatory consolidation minimizes the systemic friction often caused by contradictory individual board rulings. Centralization of regulatory framework serves as a foundational mechanism to protect investors and maintain market integrity within developing financial sectors [19].

Comparing global regulatory frameworks reveals a distinct structural divergence between highly centralized oversight bodies and decentralized, market-driven architectures. Centralized jurisdictions establish a single statutory council whose legal edicts are completely binding on all supervised financial institutions. This model maximizes transactional predictability and eliminates the risk of conflicting regional compliance determinations [20]. Conversely, decentralized models grant independent corporate boards the autonomy to validate innovative financial contracts without prior state-level verification. While the decentralized approach encourages rapid financial engineering, it introduces systemic vulnerability through fragmented market practices [21].

Rigid centralization can inadvertently suppress corporate competitiveness by restricting the interpretative flexibility needed for rapid product development. Forcing diverse financial institutions to operate under a singular, uniform legal interpretation often creates administrative bottlenecks during the approval of complex capital market instruments [22]. Meanwhile, decentralized structures frequently suffer from cross-institutional inconsistency, which undermines public trust and encourages opportunistic selection of lenient scholarly opinions [23]. Existing regulatory literature overlooks how a structured framework can capture the stability of a centralized council while simultaneously preserving the interpretative diversity necessary for market expansion.

This study addresses the structural gap by evaluating how a multi-school composition quota can function within a single centralized supervisory authority. Integrating diverse jurisprudential viewpoints directly into a national shariah council changes the operational nature of independent legal reasoning [24]. This balanced composition converts comparative jurisprudence from a source of potential administrative delay into a mechanism for risk mitigation and product validation. Additionally, formalizing multi-school participation ensures that a wider range of transactional solutions can be developed to support complex trade finance and capital market operations [25].

The operationalization of a dual-school advisory system could connect classical legal methodology with the administrative realities of modern central banking. Incorporating secondary jurisprudential viewpoints alongside the dominant local tradition expands the available methods for screening capital market instruments [26]. This collaborative deliberation improves the structural robustness of financial products by thoroughly addressing potential compliance risks before market release. Consequently, structured diversity within a centralized council enhances the international tradeability of domestic instruments by aligning them with global market expectations [27].

Applying these comparative governance models to transition economies demands careful alignment with specific regional histories and legal traditions. Post-Soviet financial environments typically exhibit a strong reliance on centralized state supervision and explicit legislative mandates [28]. Introducing non-interest instruments into these

jurisdictions requires a phased approach that respects the local legal identity while correcting acute shortages of specialized domestic professionals. Incorporating external expertise during transitional periods allows emerging markets to establish immediate international credibility while steadily building a sustainable pipeline of domestic scholars [29].

The Governance Impact Framework

The proposed analytical framework evaluates how structured jurisprudential diversity within a central bank affects market dynamics through four distinct channels. These channels are modeled as a closed governance loop where institutional inputs directly determine market outcomes [30].

a. Jurisprudential Representation: This dimension establishes the structural composition of the national council by allocating a mandatory 70% baseline to Hanafi scholars and a 30% baseline to Shafi'i scholars. Formalizing this composition ensures direct alignment with the historical religious identity of the domestic population while securing the comparative legal expertise required for complex financial instruments [31].
b. Regulatory Alignment and Institutional Legitimacy: This component integrates the quota-based advisory council directly into the formal supervisory architecture of the central bank. Embedding this body into the statutory framework grants its decisions clear administrative authority over supervised commercial banks, insurance operators, and securities issuers [32].
c. Decision-Making Diversity and Quality: This channel operationalizes cross-school legal analysis to improve the structural robustness of new financial contract. Combining the depth of Hanafi contract law with Shafi'i perspectives on commercial exchange allows the council to perform comprehensive product reviews that prevent future regulatory challenges [33].
d. Systemic Trust and Market Penetration: This final dimension measures the long-term impact of inclusive governance on public participation and capital accumulation. Therefore, providing a transparent, multi-school validation process would resolve public doubts regarding contract authenticity, encouraging savers to move informal liquidity into the formal banking system [34].

2. Materials and Methods

Research Design and Comparative Framework

This study uses a qualitative, comparative institutional research design to evaluate the integration of a dual-school Shariah governance framework into a conventional central banking architecture. The methodology relies on comparative legal analysis and structural evaluation of statutory texts. This approach analyzes the primary financial legislation and supervisory directives of the Central Bank of Uzbekistan alongside the governing charters of established central Shariah authorities. The institutional evaluation uses a structural matrix to map out how specific governance inputs alter regulatory compliance, product validation, and systemic market trust. Focusing on the structural configuration of these governance frameworks demonstrates how formal composition mandates modify regulatory risks without requiring historical quantitative market data.

Comparative Benchmarks and Structural Adaptations

The feasibility of the proposed framework is grounded in transferable lessons from jurisdictions that successfully integrated centralized advisory bodies into formal banking laws. Table 1 outlines the operational characteristics of these global benchmarks and evaluates their structural relevance to the unique regulatory conditions of Uzbekistan.

Table 1. Comparative Governance Benchmarks and Adaptations for Uzbekistan

Jurisdiction / Body	Key Institutional Feature	Relevance and Structural Adaptation for Uzbekistan
Malaysia (SAC of BNM)	Centralized council issuing legally binding rulings well	Serves as the primary model for statutory authority, due

	integrated into banking laws.	process, and the central publication of standards.
United Arab Emirates (HSA)	Federal-level oversight harmonizing separate institutional boards across financial sectors.	Provides a blueprint for aligning conflicting rulings across commercial banks, takaful, and capital markets.
Bahrain (CBB & AAOIFI)	Rulebooks embed international governance and auditing standards directly into banking supervision.	Models the implementation of fit-and-proper criteria and mandatory external Shariah compliance audits.
Pakistan (State Bank of Pakistan)	Structured framework combining uniform central guidance with mandatory internal institutional boards .	Offers an operational template for maintaining board independence and managing internal corporate reporting.
Indonesia (DSN-MUI)	National council coordinating religious edicts through collaborative regulatory involvement.	Illustrates effective coordination between independent scholars, state regulators, and public outreach networks.

Source: Authors construct.

Feasibility Evaluation and Operational Design Matrix

The operational mechanics of the proposed dual-school framework are structured to address localized capacity constraints through specific administrative provisions. Given the predominant domestic attachment to the Hanafi school, local capacity building could focus on providing existing jurists with advanced training in modern financial economics and international accounting standards.

To address the immediate shortage of domestic Shafi'i expertise, the framework uses a transitional design. This strategy incorporates adjunct appointments and remote participation protocols to leverage international scholars from partner jurisdictions during the initial implementation phases. Table 2 establishes the clear operational parameters, composition distributions, and voting thresholds required to run this centralized model efficiently.

Table 2. Proposed Structural Composition and Operational Rules

Institutional Tier	Size Baseline	Hanafi Composition Quota	Shafi'i Composition Quota	Comparative Expert Allocations	Operational Voting and Governance Protocols
National Advisory Council (CBU)	7 or 9 seats	≥ 4 seats (including Chair)	≥ 2 seats	1 to 3 seats (Comparative Law / Finance)	Quorum would require ≥ 2/3 participation; Additionally, resolutions pass by simple majority with recorded dissents (Bank Islam Malaysia, 2022).
Commercial Windows & Banks	3 or 5 seats	≥ 2 seats	≥ 1 seat	0 to 2 seats (Risk / Financial Accounting)	Concurrent appointments would be restricted to eliminate individual

					conflicts of interest (Hussain et al., 2016).
Takaful Operators	3 or 5 seats	≥ 2 seats	≥ 1 seat	0 to 2 seats (Actuarial Science)	Coordination protocols would require direct alignment with national insurance supervision guidelines.
Securities Committees	3 or 5 seats	≥ 2 seats	≥ 1 seat	0 to 2 seats (Capital Markets)	Rulings of the Shariah Board must be published within standard timelines to prevent product pipeline delays (Lahsasna & Hassan, 2012).
Exchange Listing Boards	5 or 7 seats	≥ 3 seats	≥ 2 seats	0 to 2 seats (Index Design / ESG)	Approves screening methodologies and mandates complete public disclosure of asset validation (Othman et al., 2009).

Source: Authors construct.

3. Result and Discussion

Structural Findings and Institutional Evaluation

The qualitative institutional evaluation establishes that a quota-based, dual-school Shariah governance framework is structurally viable within the existing supervisory architecture of the Central Bank of Uzbekistan. The comparative analysis of five international benchmark jurisdictions Malaysia, the UAE, Bahrain, Pakistan, and Indonesia reveals a clear convergence around centralized statutory authority as the primary mechanism for achieving cross-sectoral regulatory coherence in Islamic finance. Among these models, Malaysia's Securities Advisory Council of Bank Negara Malaysia emerges as the most directly transferable blueprint, given its legally binding ruling authority and systematic integration into national banking legislation. However, none of the benchmarked models was designed for a post-Soviet transition economy in which decades of centralized, interest-based banking have produced a regulatory culture requiring explicit legislative mandates for any novel financial instrument category [35].

The proposed structural composition matrix presented in Table 2 allocating a minimum of four National Advisory Council seats to Hanafi scholars and a minimum of two to Shafi'i scholars across a seven or nine-seat council addresses this institutional gap by formalizing jurisprudential diversity without destabilizing the dominance of the domestic Hanafi tradition. This quota design operationalises the Governance Impact Framework's four channels jurisprudential representation, regulatory alignment, decision-making diversity, and systemic trust as a closed feedback loop in which each governance input reinforces market legitimacy outcomes. Critically, the requirement for recorded dissents and published ruling timelines for Securities Committees and Exchange Listing Boards introduces a degree of procedural transparency that is structurally absent from most Gulf Cooperation Council models, where deliberative processes remain largely opaque.

Discussion in Theoretical Perspective

These findings carry significant theoretical implications for the literature on Islamic finance governance in transition economies. The existing academic literature treats cross-

school jurisprudential synthesis predominantly as an administrative complication to be minimized rather than as a productive institutional resource. The proposed framework inverts this conceptualisation: structured Shafi'i participation at the 30% baseline is not a concession to minority legal opinion but a deliberate mechanism for expanding the range of contractual solutions available for complex instruments such as Sukuk and trade finance structures. This reframing contributes to institutional design theory by demonstrating how minority jurisprudential perspectives can be formally incorporated into regulatory architecture without undermining systemic predictability.

Knowledge Gaps and Directions for Further Research

The study's principal limitation is its exclusively qualitative and conceptual character, a constraint acknowledged by the author and attributable to the absence of operational Islamic finance data within the Uzbek financial sector. This means that the proposed composition quotas while institutionally reasoned remain untested against real transactional volumes, consumer adoption patterns, or product pipeline timelines. Future empirical research should track consumer mobilisation of informal liquidity into formal Islamic banking products following framework implementation, measure the effect of multi-school deliberation on product approval timelines, and assess whether the proposed gender diversity provisions within council appointments influence retail product accessibility. Longitudinal comparative studies across other post-Soviet economies Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, and Kyrgyzstan would further evaluate whether the dual-school model constitutes a replicable regional blueprint or requires substantial country-specific recalibration.

4. Conclusion

This research evaluated the conceptual architecture, operational parameters, and structural integration of a quota-based Shariah governance system within the Central Bank of Uzbekistan. The qualitative institutional evaluation establishes that creating a centralized National Shariah Advisory Council with a mandatory Hanafi and Shafi‘i composition framework is structurally viable. The structural analysis indicates that a centralized design solves the critical policy friction of balancing domestic religious heritage with global capital market interoperability. Operating a specialized Islamic Finance Department inside the central bank provides the oversight necessary to run a public archive and shorten product validation timelines.

These structural insights offer a repeatable regulatory blueprint for other post-Soviet transition economies seeking to incorporate non-interest instruments into conventional banking systems. Establishing multi-school statutory quotas allows regulatory bodies to manage jurisprudential diversity while preventing market fragmentation or conflicting regional rulings. This institutional design converts comparative jurisprudence into an active tool for contract engineering and financial risk mitigation. Inclusive governance of this nature addresses the fundamental question of market viability because it creates the structural predictability required to attract international liquidity and protect domestic consumers.

The scope of this study remains constrained by its qualitative nature, which stems from the current lack of historical operational data within Central Asia. Future research initiatives should investigate consumer adoption trends and transactional velocities once the centralized quota framework becomes fully active. Longitudinal studies can also evaluate how the proposed gender diversity targets influence the development of retail capital instruments.

REFERENCES

[1] Z. Ahmad, A. Muneeza, M. M. Rahman, и Z. Mahomed, «A Comparative Analysis of Shariah Governance Framework of Islamic Bank in Malaysia and Pakistan», *Talaa: Journal of Islamic Finance*, т. 3, вып. 1, сс. 01–17, 2023.

[2] S. Brzuszkiewicz, «A Two-Way Path: State-Driven and Bottom-Up Social Change in Contemporary Arab Gulf Monarchies», *European View*, т. 24, вып. 1, сс. 142–152, 2025.

[3] S. Al-Khazaleh, N. Badwan, R. Al-Smadi, B. Aldallaien, R. Darwazeh, и S. Abdallah-ou-Moussa, «Access to Finance, Financial Constraints and Financial Inclusion: A Literature Review and Future Directions for FinTech Innovations», *Research in Globalization*, с. 100331, 2026.

[4] I. H. Chiu, «An Institutional Account of Responsiveness in Financial Regulation — Examining the Fallacy and Limits of `Same Activity, Same Risks, Same Rules' as the Answer to Financial Innovation and Regulatory Arbitrage», *Computer Law & Security Review*, т. 51, с. 105868, 2023.

[5] Bank Islam Malaysia, «Charter of Shariah Supervisory Council Bank Islam Malaysia Berhad». 2022 г.

[6] M. A. Jalil, «Comparative Models and Methodologies for the Introduction of Islamic Finance in Non-Islamic Economies: A Systematic Analysis of Global Practices», *Economic Development and Analysis*, т. 3, вып. 5, сс. 14–26, 2025.

[7] J. Z. Hafizd, T. Arifin, H. Bisri, и D. Jubaedah, «Convergence of Sharia Principles in Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK) Indonesia Regulations and Their Impact on National Financial Policy», *Khazanah Sosial*, т. 8, вып. 1, сс. 55–75, 2026.

[8] P. Ivanova, «Cross-Border Regulation and Fintech: Are Transnational Cooperation Agreements the Right Way to Go?», *Uniform Law Review*, т. 24, вып. 2, сс. 367–395, 2019.

[9] R. Othman, A. M. Thani, и E. K. Ghani, «Determinants of Islamic Social Reporting among Top Shariah-Approved Companies in Bursa Malaysia», *Research Journal of International Studies*, т. 12, вып. 12, сс. 4–20, 2009.

[10] K. A. Alhadhrami, «Examining the Scope and Comparative Advantages of the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC) as an Exemplary Model within the UAE Federal Legal Framework», *Arab Law Quarterly*, сс. 1–29, 2026.

[11] C. Wronka, «Financial Crime in the Decentralized Finance Ecosystem: New Challenges for Compliance», *Journal of Financial Crime*, т. 30, вып. 1, сс. 97–113, 2023.

[12] S. D. Norton, «Financial Innovation Intra Muslim Capital Markets and Inter Global Counterparts: Implications of Differences», *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, т. 17, вып. 1, сс. 193–209, 2025.

[13] M. Hanisch, C. Goldsby, M. Fortin, и M. Rogerson, «Governing Within Semirigid Limits: Navigating the Centralization–Decentralization Paradox in Blockchain-Based Platforms», *Journal of Operations Management*, т. 71, вып. 7, сс. 917–938, 2025.

[14] R. Sheikh и K. Hussain, «Harmony or Discord: Analyzing Stakeholders' Viewpoints on Islamic Banking», *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, сс. 1–27, 2025.

[15] A. Moldakmatov, K. Idinov, A. Orozonova, и A. Sulaimanov, «Islamic Principles of Finance: Features and Prospects of Their Use in Central Asia», *International Journal on Culture, History, and Religion*, т. 7, вып. SI1, сс. 765–790, 2025.

[16] S. Sumiyati, Y. Yanto, J. Julia, R. Rozi, и A. Nizarudin, «Ontological and Epistemological Disequilibrium: Harmonization of Islamic Financial Accounting Standards and the Economic Political Interests in Indonesia to Maqasid'ul-Shari'ah», *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, сс. 1–16, 2025.

[17] N. Muradzada, «Open Innovation under Institutional Constraints: Relational Knowledge Improvisation in Post-Soviet Startups», *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, с. 100647, 2025.

[18] A. Asadov, I. Turaboev, и R. Yildirim, «Prospects of Islamic Capital Market in Uzbekistan: Issues and Challenges», *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, т. 17, вып. 1, сс. 102–123, 2024.

[19] A. E. Uddin, «Regional Development of Islamic Finance in South Asia», в *The Regulation of Islamic Financial Institutions (IFIs) and Ethical Oversight in South Asia: An Islamic Law Perspective*, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2026, сс. 39–119.

[20] G. Lorenz, «Regulating Decentralized Financial Technology: A Qualitative Study on the Challenges of Regulating DeFi with a Focus on Embedded Supervision», *Stanford Journal of Blockchain Law & Policy*, т. 7, с. 136, 2024.

[21] W.-G. Ringe, «Regulatory Competition in Global Financial Markets — The Case for a Special Resolution Regime», *Annals of Corporate Governance*, т. 1, вып. 3, сс. 175–247, 2016.

[22] D. Fidhayanti, M. S. M. Noh, H. Hanafi, и M. H. Satria, «Regulatory Frameworks in Islamic Fintech: Comparative Insights from Indonesia and Malaysia», *Jambura Law Review*, т. 7, вып. 2, сс. 664–695, 2025.

[23] N. Singhal, S. Goyal, и T. Singhal, «Regulatory, Economic, and Political Challenges for Decentralized Insurance: A Global Perspective», в *Potential, Risks, and Ethical Implications of Decentralized Insurance*, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2024, сс. 165–210.

[24] A. F. S. Hasan, Y. Karbhari, и H. Ahmed, «Religious Governance, Regulation and Standardisation: Evidence from Malaysian Islamic Banks», *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, т. 15, вып. 1, 2021.

[25] B. H. Malkawi, «Shari'ah Board in the Governance Structure of Islamic Financial Institutions», *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, т. 61, вып. 3, сс. 539–578, 2013.

[26] Z. Hasan, *Shari'ah Governance in Islamic Banks*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2012.

[27] R. Grassa, «Shari'ah Governance System in Islamic Financial Institutions: New Issues and Challenges», *Arab Law Quarterly*, т. 27, вып. 2, сс. 171–187, 2013.

[28] J. Wisła и J. Bartoszewska, «Technological Disruption and Regulatory Response: The Case of Decentralised Finance», *Journal of Banking and Financial Economics*, вып. 2(24), сс. 135–164, 2025.

[29] J. Shi, E. A. Firmansyah, Y. Wang, и W. Xu, «Technological Innovation and Regulatory Harmonization in Islamic Finance: A Systematic Review and Machine Learning Analysis (2000–2023)», *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 2025.

[30] A. Haddad и M. N. Souissi, «The Impact of Shariah Advisory Board Characteristics on the Financial Performance of Islamic Banks», *Cogent Economics & Finance*, т. 10, вып. 1, с. 2062911, 2022.

[31] R. Alkhouri и K. Halteh, «The Integrated Ethical Governance Framework: Bridging Ethical Theory and Regulatory Practice in FinTech», *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, т. 13, с. 102661, 2026.

[32] B. S. Raharja, N. Indarti, R. Pereira, и G. Anggadwita, «The Interplay Between Social Capital and Community-Based Financing: Using Bibliometric-Systematic Literature Review for Future Research Agenda», *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Public Policy*, сс. 1–36, 2026.

[33] M. A. Hussain, R. Hassan, и A. Azhar, «The Procedures of Appointment and Cessation of the Shariah Committee Member of the Islamic Banks and Takaful Companies in Malaysia: Legal Analysis», *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, т. 6, вып. 7, сс. 266–270, 2016.

[34] A. Lahsasna и M. K. Hassan, «The Shariah Process in Product Development and Approval in ICM», в *Islamic Capital Markets: Products and Strategies*, 2012, сс. 23–68.

[35] A. A. Jan, F.-W. Lai, M. P. B. M. Isa, и S. Hamad, «The Structure of Shariah Governance in Islamic Banking Industry: A Theoretical Review», *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, т. 24, вып. 6, сс. 10465–10476, 2020.